

Research article

Open Access

Genetic characterization of clinical and agri-food isolates of multi drug resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg from Canada

Ashleigh K Andrysiak^{1,2}, Adam B Olson¹, Dobryan M Tracz¹, Kathryn Dore³, Rebecca Irwin⁴, Lai-King Ng^{1,2}, Matthew W Gilmour*^{1,2} and Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Collaborative

Address: ¹Bacteriology and Enteric Diseases Program, National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, ²Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, ³Foodborne, Waterborne and Zoonotic Infections Division, Public Health Agency of Canada, Guelph, ON, Canada and ⁴Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses (LFZ), Public Health Agency of Canada, St-Hyacinthe, QC and Guelph, ON, Canada

Email: Ashleigh K Andrysiak - Ashleigh_Andrysiak@phac-aspc.gc.ca; Adam B Olson - Adam_Olson@phac-aspc.gc.ca; Dobryan M Tracz - Dobryan_Tracz@phac-aspc.gc.ca; Kathryn Dore - Kathryn_Dore@phac-aspc.gc.ca; Rebecca Irwin - Rebecca_Irwin@phac-aspc.gc.ca; Lai-King Ng - Lai_King_Ng@phac-aspc.gc.ca; Matthew W Gilmour* - Matthew_Gilmour@phac-aspc.gc.ca; Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Collaborative - Matthew_Gilmour@phac-aspc.gc.ca

* Corresponding author

Published: 6 June 2008

Received: 13 February 2008

BMC Microbiology 2008, **8**:89 doi:10.1186/1471-2180-8-89

Accepted: 6 June 2008

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2180/8/89>

© 2008 Andrysiak et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg ranks amongst the most prevalent causes of human salmonellosis in Canada and an increase in resistance to extended spectrum cephalosporins (ESC) has been observed by the Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance. This study examined the genetic relationship between *S. Heidelberg* isolates from livestock, abattoir, retail meat, and clinical human specimens to determine whether there was a link between the emergence of MDR *S. Heidelberg* in chicken agri-food sources and the simultaneous increase of MDR *S. Heidelberg* in human clinical samples.

Results: Chromosomal genetic homogeneity was observed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), DNA sequence-based typing (SBT) and DNA microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization (CGH). Sixty one percent of isolates were indistinguishable by PFGE conducted using *Xba*I and *Bln*I restriction enzymes. An additional 15% of isolates had PFGE patterns that were closely related to the main cluster. SBT did not identify DNA polymorphisms and CGH revealed only genetic differences between the reference *S. Typhimurium* strain and *S. Heidelberg* isolates. Genetic variation observed by CGH between *S. Heidelberg* isolates could be attributed to experimental variation. Alternatively, plasmid content was responsible for differences in antimicrobial susceptibility, and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analyses followed by replicon typing identified two divergent plasmid types responsible for ESC resistance.

Conclusion: Due to the overall limited genetic diversity among the isolates, it was not possible to identify variable traits that would be suitable for source tracking between human and agri-food isolates of *S. Heidelberg* in Canada.

Background

Non-typhoidal serovars of *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* are responsible for outbreaks and sporadic occurrences of gastrointestinal illness that result in significant human morbidity worldwide [1]. Human salmonellosis in North America is attributed primarily to *S. enterica* serovars Typhimurium and Enteritidis, with other serovars that vary in regional prevalence constituting the remainder [2,3]. Due to the high incidence of *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis*, serotyping alone is not sufficient to establish the chain of transmission from putative animal, environmental or food sources to human cases. Serotyping in combination with other more discriminatory methods such as phage typing, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), DNA sequence-based typing (SBT), comparative genomic hybridizations (CGH) or plasmid profiling may provide useful subtyping information. For example, serotyping has been used in combination with PFGE to successfully link *S. enterica* isolates recovered from agri-food products to outbreak-associated cases of human salmonellosis [4,5].

S. Heidelberg is a member of *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* serogroup B and is highly prevalent in both Canada and the United States, but is infrequently reported in European countries [3,6]. In Canada, *S. Heidelberg* consistently ranks with *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* as one of the top three most prevalent causes of human salmonellosis, accounting for between 12–20% of human clinical *Salmonella* isolates reported annually between 2000 and 2005 [2]. *S. Heidelberg* is also one of the most common *Salmonella* serovars isolated from broiler chickens and egg laying flocks in Canada and accordingly outbreaks of human *S. Heidelberg* infections have been associated with consumption of contaminated poultry products including chicken, chicken nuggets and eggs [7,8]. Other modes of transmission such as person-to-person spread or direct contact with infected animals have rarely been reported [7].

The Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance was established by the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) in 2002. CIPARS collects information regarding antimicrobial resistance trends amongst enteric pathogens isolated from human clinical specimens, livestock and retail meat sources to assess the human health risk associated with the use of antimicrobials in food animal production. CIPARS has noted that *S. Heidelberg* was frequently isolated from poultry sources, with this serovar accounting for 72% of all *Salmonella* isolates recovered from retail chicken in 2004 [8]. In addition, there has been an increase in resistance to multiple antibiotics, particularly extended spectrum cephalosporins (ESC), amongst *S. Heidelberg* isolates from human and agri-food sources [2,8].

In this study, the genetic relationship between *S. Heidelberg* isolates from retail chicken, abattoir chicken ceca and chicken, cattle, swine and human clinical samples was examined using a variety of molecular methods. Our goal was to identify variable genetic traits that would support the investigation of potential linkages between human multi-drug resistant (MDR) *S. Heidelberg* isolates and MDR *S. Heidelberg* in chicken agri-food sources.

Results and Discussion

Genetic variation due to chromosomal determinants

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is frequently used to subtype *Salmonella* [3,9] and has previously been used to link cases of human salmonellosis with contaminated agri-food products [4]. A comparison of PFGE data for all 107 *S. Heidelberg* isolates submitted by CIPARS to PulseNet Canada from 2001 to 2004 for PFGE analyses was retrospectively performed. PFGE identified limited genetic diversity amongst these human and non-human isolates originating from 5 provinces, as 61% of isolates were indistinguishable by *Xba*I and *Bln*I PFGE (patterns SHEXAI.0001 and SHEBNI.0001, respectively; data not shown). An additional 15% of the isolates differed from pattern SHEXAI.0001 by 1–3 fragments and therefore meet the criteria of being closely related to this restriction pattern [10]. The lack of genetic diversity observed using PFGE therefore makes this method unsuitable for identification of epidemiological links between human and agri-food isolates. Thus a subset of up to 39 strains (Table 1; Figure 1) representing a diverse range of temporally and geographically distinct *S. Heidelberg* isolates with different PFGE types, sources, sites of isolation, phage types and resistance phenotypes were selected for examination by additional subtyping methods.

DNA sequence-based typing (SBT) was conducted on *S. Heidelberg* strains using a previously published scheme examining the *fimA*, *manB*, and *mdh* loci. This SBT scheme has previously been shown to distinguish amongst some *Salmonella* isolates of the same serovar, including *S. Agona* and *S. Typhimurium* [11]. Ten *S. Heidelberg* isolates were selected from human, chicken, turkey and bovine sources (including both ESC resistant and susceptible isolates, if available) for examination by SBT (Table 1; Table 2). No nucleotide polymorphisms were observed amongst 2502 bp sequenced from each of these strains therefore the application of this method offered no additional discrimination.

Comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) between *S. Heidelberg* genomic preparations (Table 1) and a DNA microarray based upon *S. Typhimurium* LT2 was used to attempt to identify variable regions or traits between *S. Heidelberg* strains that were not detected by either PFGE or SBT. The CGH data indicated that there were several

Table 1: Bacterial strains used in this study and PCR-based replicon typing and resistance gene characterization for *S. Heidelberg* and *E. coli bla_{cmy-2}* plasmids.

Strain ^a	Year	PT ^b	Province ^c	Source	Resistance Phenotype ^d	Resistance Genotype ^e	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i> plasmid mediated resistance determinants ^e	Rep ^h
01-7169	2001	29	ON	Human stool	Amp, Chl, Cro, Gen, Kan, Str, Sxt, Tio	<i>aadA2, strA, sulI</i>	n/a	n/a
02-0102	2001	11a	ON	Human stool	Chl, Str, Sul	<i>aadA1, aadA2</i>	n/a	n/a
02-2339 ^{fg}	2002	19	ON	Human stool	Sensitive	n/a	n/a	n/a
02-4660 ^{fg}	2002	19	ON	Human stool	Amc, Amp, Chl, Fox, Str, Sxt, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, dhfrA1, floR, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, dhfrA1, floR, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	A/C
02-5785 ^f	2002	AT02-5785	ON	Human stool	Amc, Amp, Chl, Fox, Gen, Kan, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, floR, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	n/i	n/a
02-5980	2002	29	QC	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
03-0262 ^{fg}	2003	41	QC	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Fox, Cep, Cro, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
03-0845 ^f	2003	29	ON	Human stool	Amc, Amp, Fox, Cep, Chl, Gen, Kan, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>aadB, bla_{cmy-2}, cmIA, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	<i>aadB, bla_{cmy-2}, cmIA, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	A/C
03-3012	2003	4	QC	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Cep, Cro, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
03-4601	2003	AT03-4601	QC	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Cep, Chl, Cro, Fox, Tio, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, floR, strA, tetA</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, floR, strA, tetA</i>	A/C; II
03-4690	2003	32	ON	Human stool	Amc, Amp, Cep, Cro, Fox, Gen, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, strA, tetB</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
03-7402 ^{fg}	2003	29	ON	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Cep, Cro, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
04-0346 ^{fg}	2004	54	QC	Human stool	Amc, Amp, Cep, Chl, Cro, Fox, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, floR, strA, tetA</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, floR, tetA</i>	A/C
04-1511	2004	41	ON	Human stool	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, strA, tetA</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
04-3194	2004	29	ON	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Cro, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
04-3293	2004	29a	QC	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Cro, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
04-4717	2004	29	QC	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Cro, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
05-5435	2004	29	QC	Human blood	Amc, Amp, Cro, Fox, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
04-5511 ^{fg}	2004	41	ON	Human stool	Sensitive	n/a	n/a	n/a
05-4260	2001	29	ON	Bovine passive	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	n/d	n/a	n/a
05-4262 ^{fg}	2001	Untypable	AB	Chicken passive	Amc, Amp, Cep, Chl, Fox, Gen, Kan, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, floR, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, floR, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	A/C; II
05-4263 ^g	2001	Untypable	ON	Chicken passive	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, tetB</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
05-4264	2002	Atypical	ON	Bovine passive	Amc, Amp, Cep, Chl, Fox, Gen, Kan, Str, Sxt, Tet, Tio	<i>aadA1, bla_{cmy-2}, dhfrA1, floR, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	n/i	n/a
05-4269 ^{fg}	2003	29	ON	Turkey passive	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
05-4272	2003	29	QC	Chicken retail	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
05-4275	2003	32	QC	Chicken retail	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Gen, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}, strA, tetB</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II
05-4277	2003	29	QC	Chicken retail	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	II

Table 1: Bacterial strains used in this study and PCR-based replicon typing and resistance gene characterization for *S. Heidelberg* and *E. coli bla_{cmv-2}* plasmids. (Continued)

05-4287	2003	29	ON	Chicken abattoir	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	II
05-4294	2004	29	ON	Chicken retail	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	II
05-4299	2004	29	ON	Chicken abattoir	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	Unknown
05-4316 ^f	2004	29	PE	Bovine passive	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	II
05-4354 ^g	2004	41	QC	Porcine passive	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	Unknown
05-4355	2004	29	QC	Porcine passive	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmv-2}</i>	II
00-5440	2000	29	AB	Human	Sensitive	n/a	n/a	n/a
05-1147	2005	29	QC	Human	Sensitive	n/a	n/a	n/a
539	unknown	n/d	ON	Chicken rinse	Sensitive	n/a	n/a	n/a
564	unknown	n/d	ON	Egg yolk mix	Sensitive	n/a	n/a	n/a
1170	unknown	n/d	ON	Cocoa beans	Sensitive	n/a	n/a	n/a
S-467	1948	n/d	BC	Human	Sensitive	n/a	n/a	n/a
<i>E. coli</i> 830	2004	n/d	AB	Chicken abattoir	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Gen, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>aadA1, bla_{cmv-2}, sulI</i>	<i>aadA1, bla_{cmv2}</i>	Unknown
<i>E. coli</i> 831	2004	n/d	QC	Chicken abattoir	Amc, Amp, Chl, Fox, Kan, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>aadA1, bla_{cmv-2}, floR, strA, sulI, tetA</i>	<i>aadA1, bla_{cmv-2}, floR, strA, tetA</i>	A/C
<i>E. coli</i> 832	2004	n/d	QC	Porcine abattoir	Amc, Amp, Cep, Chl, Fox, Smx, Str, Tet, Tio	<i>bla_{cmv-2}, floR, strA, tetA</i>	<i>bla_{cmv-2}, strA, tetA, floR</i>	A/C
<i>E. coli</i> 833	2004	n/d	ON	Chicken abattoir	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Gen, Smx, Str, Tio	<i>aadA1, bla_{cmv-2}, floR, sulI</i>	<i>aadA1, bla_{cmv2}</i>	II
<i>E. coli</i> 834	2004	n/d	QC	Bovine retail	Amc, Amp, Cep, Fox, Tio	<i>bla_{cmv-2}, bla_{cmv-2}</i>	<i>bla_{cmv2}</i>	II

^a All strains are *S. Heidelberg* unless identified otherwise

^b PT, phage type; n/d, not determined

^c AB, Alberta; BC, British Columbia; PE, Prince Edward Island; QC, Quebec; ON, Ontario

^d Amc, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; Amp, ampicillin; Fox, cefoxitin; Tio, ceftiofur; Cro, ceftriaxone; Cep, cephalothin; Chl, chloramphenicol; Gen, gentamycin; Kan, kanamycin; Nal, nalidixic acid; Str, streptomycin; Sul, sulfamethoxazole; Tet, tetracycline; Smx, sulfisoxazole; Sxt, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. n/a, not applicable.

^e *aadA1*, streptomycin/spectinomycin adenylyltransferase; *aadB*, aminoglycoside adenylyltransferase; *cmlA*, chloramphenicol/florphenicol efflux; *dhfr*, dihydrofolate reductase; *floR*, efflux; *strA*, streptomycin phosphotransferase; *sulI*, dihydropteroate synthase; *tetA*, efflux; *tetB*, efflux; *bla_{cmv-2}*, beta-lactamase. n/a, not applicable; n/i, plasmid not isolated; n/d, not determined.

^f CGH were conducted on these isolates

^g DNA sequence-based typing was performed on these isolates

^h n/a, not applicable

bacteriophage-related determinants that were putatively divergent or absent amongst all of the *S. Heidelberg* isolates in reference to *S. Typhimurium* LT2 (Figure 2). These included the Fels-1, Fels-2 and Gifsy-1 prophage genes, which is an observation similar to previous CGH studies that demonstrated variable carriage of prophage genes amongst *Salmonella* serovars [12-14]. Comparison of the CGH patterns between *S. Heidelberg* isolates identified limited strain-to-strain variation between isolates, with the exception of STM0691-STM0709 (Figure 2). The *fldA*, *miaB*, *potE* and *furR* loci encoded in this putatively divergent region were amplified and sequenced from 5 *S. Heidelberg* isolates (05-1147, 00-5440, 03-7402, 05-4262 and 02-4660) however, no nucleotide polymorphisms were observed throughout these gene sequences (data not shown). These data indicated that the minimal strain-to-

strain differences identified by CGH may actually be due to experimental variation rather than actual biological differences. In addition, the limited genomic diversity between *S. Heidelberg* isolates identified by this microarray-based method may be representative of the true level of diversity, however, the lack of *S. Heidelberg* specific sequences on the array may have prevented the ability to distinguish between isolates. In the absence of a sequenced *S. Heidelberg* genome, CGH studies based on a *S. Heidelberg*-specific microarray platform are not currently possible.

Resistance Determinants

Resistance phenotypes were determined by minimum inhibitory concentration [10] testing (Table 1). The isolates were then screened by PCR to determine the corre-




Figure 1
Dendrogram of *S. Heidelberg* DNA macrorestriction patterns generated using *Xba*I. Strains were selected to present a diverse range of patterns. Dendrogram was created using Applied Maths Bionumerics version 4.0 using unweighted pair group method (UPGMA) with a dice coefficient of similarity, 1% band tolerance and 1.5% optimization. The scale bar indicates percent similarity.

sponding genotypes and to examine whether the resistance genotypes of isolates could be indicative of the isolate source (Table 1; Table 2). All *S. Heidelberg* isolates that exhibited an ESC resistance phenotype were PCR positive for the *bla_{cmv-2}* gene, with the exception of a single bovine isolate (05-4260). The *bla_{cmv-2}* gene was also responsible for the ESC resistance phenotype of five *E. coli* isolates included in the study to represent other sources of ESC resistance determinants disseminating in the agri-food continuum along with *S. Heidelberg*. The DNA sequence of the *bla_{cmv-2}* gene was identical amongst all of the *S. Heidelberg* isolates and the five *E. coli*.

Tetracycline resistance was mediated by a combination of *tetA* and *tetB* genes in *S. Heidelberg* and solely *tetA* in *E. coli* (Table 1). Chloramphenicol resistance was mediated

by *aadB*, *cmlA*, *floR* and streptomycin resistance was mediated by *strA* alone or in combination with either *aadA1* or *aadA2* or both. Trimethoprim resistance was mediated by the *dhfRA1* gene. Sulfizoxazole/sulfamethoxazole resistance was mediated by the *sul1* gene in 6 of 9 *S. Heidelberg* isolates. The gene mediating sulfizoxazole/sulfamethoxazole resistance in the remaining 3 isolates was not identified. The carriage of the *sul1* genes by isolates indicated the possible presence of class I integrons [15]. Accordingly, class I integrons could be amplified from 5 *S. Heidelberg* and 3 *E. coli* isolates (Table 3). The *S. Heidelberg* integron amplicons were either 1.2 or 3.0 kbp and DNA sequencing identified several resistance determinants carried within the variable region of the integrons in addition to *sul1* carried within the 3' conserved segment (Table 3). The integron amplified from strain 02-5785 could not be

Table 2: Oligonucleotides used in this study

Primer	Target ^a	Sequence 5'-3'	Product Size (bp)	Annealing Temp	Reference
aadA1F	<i>aadA1</i>	TATCAGAGGTAGTTGGCGTCAT	484	54°C	[25]
aadA1R		GTTCCATAGCGTTAAGGTTTCATT			
aadA2F	<i>aadA2</i>	TGTTGGTTACTGTGGCCGTA	712	61°C	[25]
aadA2R		GATCTCGCCTTTCACAAAGC			
cmy-2-1	<i>bla_{cmy-2}</i>	ACACTGATTGCGTCTGACG	1143	60°C	[26]
cmy-2-2		AATATCCTGGGCCTCATCG			
cmy-2-3		AGTTCTGGCCAGTATTTCCG	n/a	sequencing	
cmy-2-4		TGCAACCATTAAAACTGGC	n/a	sequencing	
cmy-2-5		TTCTTTTAATTACGGAAC	n/a	sequencing	
dhfRA1F	<i>dhfRA1</i>	GTGAAACTATCACTAATGGTAGCT	470	50°C	[27]
dhfRA1R		ACCCTTTTGCCAGATTTGGTACCT			
fimAF	<i>fimA</i>	TCAGGGAGAAACAGAAAATAAT	760	55°C	[11]
fimAR		TCCCCGATAGCCTCTTCC			
fldA-R	<i>fldA</i>	TTAGGCGTTGAGGATGTCG	530	55°C	This study
fldA-F		GCAATCACTGGCATCTTTTTTC			
floRF	<i>floR</i>	AACCCGCCCTCTGGATCAAGTCAA	548	60°C	[25]
floRR		CAAATCACCGGCCACGCTGTATC			
furR-F	<i>furR</i>	AAAGAAGGCTGGCCTGAAAG	452	50°C	This study
furR-R		TTATTTAGTCGCGTCATCGTG			
intAF	3' CS	GGCATCCAAGCAGCAAG	variable	52°C	[25]
intAR	5' CS	AAGCAGACTTGACCTGG			
intAF2a	integron	AACCTTTTTGGCCTCCAG	n/a	sequencing	This study
intAF2b		CCTCCAGCGCTTGTGTAG	n/a	sequencing	
intAF3		TCAGTGTTAGTCCCATCTCC	n/a	sequencing	
intAF4		ACTTTAGTTGGCGGTACTCC	n/a	sequencing	
intAR3		CCATAAAACGAGCCGTAAC	n/a	sequencing	
intAR4		CAAACCTAGAAAACGCAAAGA	n/a	sequencing	
manBF	<i>manB</i>	CATAACCCGATGGACTACAACG	893	55°C	[11]
manBR		ACCAGCAGCCACGGGATCAT			
mdhF	<i>mdh</i>	GATGAAAGTCGCAGTCCTCG	849	50°C	[11]
mdhR		TATCCAGCATAGCGTCCAGC			
miaB-R	<i>miaB</i>	TAGAATCCTACGCCAGCTC	1424	55°C	This study
miaB-F		GGGCTGTCAGATGAACGAG			
pefA	<i>pefA</i>	TCACTGTCTCCTGGGCTTCT	219	50°C	This study
pefA		CTTCAGTCTGGCCACCTTTC			
potE-R	<i>potE</i>	AATTCAAACGCGGTGAGAC	1319	55°C	This study
potE-F		TGTCGTGCAGCTCACAATTC			
rep11F	<i>rep1</i>	CGAAAGCCGGACGGCAGAA	139	50°C	[17]
rep11R		TCGTCTGTTCCGCCAAGTTCGT			
repA/CF	<i>repA</i>	GAGAACCAAAGACAAAGACCTGGA	465	50°C	[17]
repA/CR		ACGACAAACCTGAATTGCCTCCTT			
spvC1	<i>spv</i>	AACTCCTTGACACAACCAATG	230	50°C	This study
spvC1		ACCATATCCCTGAGCACACTG			
strAF	<i>strA</i>	AGCAGAGCGCGCCTTCGCTC	684	61°C	[25]
strAR		CCAAAGCCCCTTCCACCGAC			
sul1F	<i>sul1</i>	TCACCGAGGACTCCTTCTTC	631	60°C	[25]
sul1R		AATATCGGGATAGAGCGCAG			
tetAF	<i>tetA</i>	GCTACATCCTGCTTGCCCTTC	210	70°C	[28]
tetAR		CATAGATCGCCGTGAAGAGG			
tetBF	<i>tetB</i>	TTGGTTAGGGGCAAGTTTTG	659	64°C	[28]
tetBR		TTGGTTAGGGGCAAGTTTTG			
tetGF	<i>tetG</i>	CCGGTCTTATGGGTGCTCTA	693	56°C	[25]
tetGR		CCAGAAGAACGAAGCCAGTC			
thdFF	<i>thdF</i>	TTGATTTCCGGATGAGGAG	1553	55°C	This study
thdFR		AGGCATTGACAAAGGTCAGG			

^a 3' CS; 3' conserved segment of class I integrons, 5' CS; 5' conserved segment of class I integrons. Primers intAF2a, intAF2b, intAF3, intAF4, intAR3 and intAR4 were designed to sequence the intAF/intAR amplicon.




Figure 2

DNA microarray-based comparative genomics of *S. Heidelberg*. Array probes represent the linear order of *S. Typhimurium* LT2 coding sequences from left to right, and with the *Salmonella* genomic island I (SGII) present at the far-left side. White denotes similarity to LT2, green denotes putative divergence and red represents putative duplication or copy number change. The region STM0691–0704 which was putatively divergent between *S. Heidelberg* strains is represented by "A". Clusters of bacteriophage-related determinants that are divergent in *S. Heidelberg* compared to *S. Typhimurium*: B, STM0892–0929 (Fels-1 prophage); C, STM2584–2636 (Gifsy-1 prophage); D, STM2694–2739 (Fels-2 prophage).

successfully sequenced. Identical integrons encoding the *dhfRA1* trimethoprim resistance determinant were amplified from 02–4660 and 05–4264. Three *E. coli* isolates carried identical class I integrons encoding *aadA1*. Notably, no class I integrons were amplified from chicken agri-food isolates indicating that these isolates have acquired resistance determinants by other mechanisms.

Genetic Variation Attributable to the carriage of Plasmids

The genetic diversity between isolates examined by PFGE, SBT and CGH was limited at chromosomal determinants thus no genetic traits suitable for use in source tracking could be identified. However, different resistance determinants and integrons were observed therefore the plasmid content of the isolates was determined to examine another possible source of genetic variation. The total plasmid content amongst sensitive isolates and isolates resistant to one or more antimicrobial varied due to the carriage of two high molecular weight (HMW) plasmids: one common to all strains and a larger plasmid present only in ESC resistant strains. The common plasmid may represent the HMW virulence plasmid that has been described in other *S. enterica* subsp *enterica* serovars [16], however, the virulence determinants *spv*, *pefA* and *rck* were not detected in the *S. Heidelberg* strains by PCR (data not shown). One or more low molecular weight (LMW) plasmids ranging from 3 to 6 kbp were also observed in each strain regardless of resistance phenotype (data not shown).

Isolation of plasmids mediating cephalosporin resistance was achieved by electroporating the total plasmid preparation from individual ESC resistant isolates into One Shot® TOP10 Electrocomp™ *E. coli* cells. Transformant colonies containing ESC resistance determinants were selected with cefoxitin and the *bla*_{cmv-2} gene was amplified by PCR from all of the transformant strains. Transformant

colonies could not be obtained using plasmid DNA preparations from strains 02–5785 and 05–4264. Of the two HMW plasmids originally observed in the *S. Heidelberg* strains, only the larger plasmid was isolated from all cefoxitin resistant transformants. Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analyses were performed on the resistance plasmids using *Bgl*III to determine genetic relatedness (Figure 3). The presence of the *bla*_{cmv-2} gene on this HMW plasmid (hereafter referred to as the *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmid) was confirmed by Southern blot of the RFLP fragments. The *bla*_{cmv-2} gene could be localized to the largest RFLP (~20 kbp) fragment in all isolates with the exception of two human blood isolates (03–3012 and 02–5980; data not shown). The 1.2 kbp and 3.0 kbp integrons encoded by isolates 02–4660 and 03–0845 respectively, were localized to the *bla*_{cmv-2} HMW plasmid by screening the *bla*_{cmv-2} transformant colonies by PCR and by Southern blot of the RFLP fragments (Table 3). The integron amplified from isolates 05–4264, 01–7169 and 02–5785 could not be localized to the *bla*_{cmv-2} HMW plasmid because the plasmid could not successfully be isolated from these strains or they did not harbour HMW plasmids (Table 3).

The *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmids extracted from *E. coli* transformants exhibited diverse RFLP patterns (Figure 3) suggesting that multiple plasmid backbones were involved in the emergence of ESC in *S. Heidelberg*. Notably, isolates from different sources (i.e. human clinical and porcine clinical; human clinical and chicken clinical or retail) had identical RFLP patterns. Identical RFLP patterns were also obtained from *S. Heidelberg* 05–4294 and *E. coli* 833 indicating that *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmids are successfully transferred between species. The horizontal transfer of *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmids between bacterial species and the transfer of the *bla*_{cmv-2} gene between plasmid backbones limits the utility of plasmid characterizations to discern the epidemiologic

Table 3: Characterization of class I integrons encoded by *S. Heidelberg* and *E. coli*. Integrons were amplified using primers *intAF* and *intAR* [25] and sequenced using these same primers, and if necessary to achieve complete coverage, *intAF2a*, *intAF2b*, *intAF3*, *intAF4*, *intAR3* and *intAR4* (Table 2).

Isolate	Source	Integron length (kbp)	Location	Genes encoded ^a
01-7169	Human – stool	1.2	Unknown ^b	<i>aadA2</i>
02-4660	Human – stool	1.2	HMW plasmid	<i>dhfrA1</i>
02-5785	Human – stool	1.2	Unknown ^c	nd
03-0845	Human – stool	3.0	HMW plasmid	<i>aadB</i> , <i>cmlA</i>
05-4264	Bovine – passive	1.2	Unknown ^c	<i>dhfrA1</i>
<i>E. coli</i> 830	Chicken – abattoir	1.0	HMW plasmid	<i>aadA1</i>
<i>E. coli</i> 831	Chicken – abattoir	1.0	HMW plasmid	<i>aadA1</i>
<i>E. coli</i> 833	Chicken – abattoir	1.0	HMW plasmid	<i>aadA1</i>

^a *aadA1*, streptomycin adenylyltransferase; *aadA2*, streptomycin/spectinomycin adenylyltransferase; *aadB*, aminoglycoside adenylyltransferase; *cmlA*, chloramphenicol/florphenicol efflux; *dhfrA1*, dihydrofolate reductase; nd, not determined

^b no HMW plasmids were observed in this strain

^c HMW plasmids were observed, but could not be isolated from this strain after transformation attempts

relationships amongst isolates. RFLP analyses were also performed on the full plasmid preparations from *S. Heidelberg* sensitive and resistant *S. Heidelberg* isolates to characterize the HMW plasmid common to all *S. Heidelberg* isolates (Figure 3). Restriction fragments of the common plasmid in the sensitive strains corresponded to fragments in the resistant strains indicating that these plasmids are similar between isolates.

Replicon typing schemes have recently been hypothesized to be a more accurate method of determining plasmid relationships than RFLP [17]. We investigated the ability of a PCR-based replicon typing scheme to supplement plasmid RFLP data. Two different replicons, *repA/C* and *repI1*, were identified for the *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmids by screening the *bla*_{cmv-2} transformants (Table 1). These plasmids were also screened by PCR and Southern blot for individual resistance determinants. All 7 *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmids isolated from 5 *S. Heidelberg* and 2 *E. coli* that encoded a *repA/C* replicon also encoded multi drug resistance (combinations of *aadA1*, *dhfrA1*, *floR*, *strA*, *sul1*, and *tetA*) (Table 1, Figure 3). The presence of *repA/C bla*_{cmv-2} plasmids that carry resistance cassettes have also been identified amongst *S. Typhimurium* isolates [18]. The class I integrons localized to the *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmid demonstrated a mechanism for the generation of MDR. The *repA/C* plasmids had diverse RFLP patterns compared to the *repI1* plasmids further indicating the mosaic nature of these plasmids. The *repA/C* were unable to be transferred by conjugation to the recipient *E. coli* strain RG192 with the exception of 03-7402 that was conjugative.

The majority of the *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmids isolated from both *S. Heidelberg* and *E. coli* were shown to carry *repI1* replicons and only the *bla*_{cmv-2} resistance gene was detected by PCR and Southern blot (Table 1, Figure 3). All *repI1* plasmids tested successfully transferred by conjugation to a recipient *E. coli* strain with the exception of 04-3293. The con-

jugative ability of *repI1* plasmids can help explain their widespread presence amongst the *S. Heidelberg* isolates. A single chicken-passive isolate was shown to carry both the *repA/C* and *repI1* replicons along with the *bla*_{cmv-2}, *strA*, and *floR* resistance determinants. Three plasmids encoding only the *bla*_{cmv-2} resistance determinant did not encode either the *repA/C* or *repI1* replicons. The *repA/C* MDR plasmids were isolated from human-clinical and both chicken and porcine samples, and similarly, the *repI1* plasmids were isolated from diverse sources, therefore replicon typing could not be used to establish a link between human illness and a particular agri-food *S. Heidelberg* source.

Conclusion

There was limited genetic diversity at the chromosomal level amongst the *S. Heidelberg* isolates. Plasmid content exhibited strain-to-strain variation and was responsible for differences in antimicrobial susceptibility between isolates. No suitable genetic trait was identified to permit source tracking of human *S. Heidelberg* infections. However, the paucity of *S. Heidelberg* in other agri-food sources such as pigs or cows indicates that chicken agri-food products are the most likely source of human salmonellosis among the commodities surveyed by CIPARS [8]. *S. Heidelberg* is also frequent in clinical turkey samples [8], but CIPARS does not currently routinely survey *Salmonella* in turkey at the abattoir or retail level and the frequency of *S. Heidelberg* in healthy turkey is unknown. It is therefore possible that if the main reservoir for *S. Heidelberg* is poultry, amongst this population of strains there is in fact limited overall genetic diversity and no experimental method will identify epidemiologically significant genetic traits.

In addition, this study demonstrates the elements responsible for ESC resistance and MDR in *S. Heidelberg*. ESC resistance of *S. Heidelberg* isolates could be attributed to

the carriage of *bla_{cmv-2}* on HMW resistance plasmids and other resistance determinants were localized to integrons. Two resistance plasmids were observed: *repA/C* non-conjugative HMW plasmids encoding ESC resistance in combination with other resistance determinants, and conjugative *repI1* plasmids encoded solely ESC resistance.

Methods

Bacterial strains

39 *S. Heidelberg* and 5 *Escherichia coli* isolates included in this study were obtained from human clinical, retail, and

agri-food animal sources by CIPARS (Table 1). Antibiotic susceptible *S. Heidelberg* isolates 1170, 539 and 564 were provided by the Bureau of Microbial Hazards. Phage typing was performed as previously described [19].

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Resistance to antimicrobials was determined at the Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses (LFZ) or the National Microbiology Laboratory (NML) by broth microdilution using the Sensititre™ ARIS Automated Microbiology System (Trek Diagnostic System Ltd, Cleveland Ohio). Break-




Figure 3
RFLP of the *bla_{cmv-2}* plasmid using *BglIII*. Dendrogram created with Bionumerics version 4.0 using UPGMA with a fuzzy band coefficient of correlation, 2% optimization and 10% tolerance. A – indicates RFLP performed on the full plasmid profile isolated from resistant *S. Heidelberg* strains. B – indicates RFLP performed on the full plasmid profile from sensitive *S. Heidelberg* strains. No scale bar is reported due to the high band tolerance settings used in this analysis.

points for resistance were taken from the NCCLS/CLSI guidelines and were as follows: amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; $\geq 32/16$ $\mu\text{g/ml}$, ampicillin; ≥ 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, cefoxitin; ≥ 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, ceftriaxone; ≥ 64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, cephalothin; ≥ 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, chloramphenicol; ≥ 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, ciprofloxacin; ≥ 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, gentamicin; ≥ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, kanamycin; ≥ 64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, nalidixic acid; ≥ 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, sulfizoxazole/sulfamethoxazole; ≥ 512 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, tetracycline; ≥ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; $\geq 4/76$ $\mu\text{g/ml}$ [20] and ceftiofur; ≥ 8 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ [21]. The breakpoint used for streptomycin resistance was ≥ 64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ [22]

Pulsed-field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)

PFGE was performed by PulseNet Canada at the NML according to the PulseNet USA protocol using *Xba*I and *Bln*I [23]. Pattern analysis and dendrogram construction were performed using the BioNumerics version 4.0 software package (Applied Maths, Austin, TX) with 1% tolerance and 1.5% optimization.

Genomic DNA extractions

Wild type *S. Heidelberg* or *E. coli* strains were used to inoculate 4 ml of Luria Bertani [17] broth (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Alternatively, One Shot® TOP10 Electrocomp™ (Invitrogen) *E. coli* transformants containing *S. Heidelberg bla_{cmv-2}* plasmids were inoculated into 6 ml LB broth containing 20 $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ cefoxitin (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, ON). Cultures were incubated overnight at 37°C with rotation at 200 rpm. Bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation for 5 min at 5000 rpm and resuspended in 2 ml TE buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) (10 mM Tris-HCL, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Lysozyme (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) (0.5 mg/ml), RNase (Roche Diagnostics) (1.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), and proteinase K (10 mM Tris-HCL pH 7.5, 20 mM CaCl₂, 50% glycerol) (Sigma-Aldrich) (0.12 mg/ml) were added to the cell resuspension mixture. Following incubation at 37°C for 1 h, sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) (Ambion, Austin, TX) was added to a concentration of 0.1% (wt/vol) and the mixture was incubated at 65°C until clearing occurred. The mixture was then transferred to Phase Lock Light tubes (Eppendorf, Hamburg Germany) for phenol-chloroform DNA extraction using a volume of phenol-chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) (Invitrogen) equal to that of the cell resuspension mixture. Phenol-chloroform:isoamyl alcohol extraction was repeated until the aqueous layer was clear. Following a final extraction with an equal volume of chloroform (Fisher, Ottawa, ON), the aqueous layer was transferred to a new tube. DNA was precipitated at -20°C for 20 min using 0.6 vol of isopropanol (Fisher) and 0.1 vol 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.5) (Ambion). Following precipitation, DNA was washed with 70% ethanol and resuspended in 200 μl TE buffer. DNA was quantified on a NanoDrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Rockland, DE) and diluted to 20 ng/ μl for use as PCR template.

PCR and DNA Sequencing

PCR reactions for *tetA*, *tetB* and *tetG* were performed using 1 unit of Fast start *Taq* DNA polymerase (Roche Diagnostics) in a reaction mixture containing 1 \times Fast start *Taq* DNA polymerase buffer with MgCl₂ (Roche Diagnostics), 1 mM MgCl₂ (Roche Diagnostics), 0.2 μM dNTP mixture (Invitrogen), 0.2 μM each primer (Table 2), 20 ng template DNA and distilled water DNase, RNAase free (Invitrogen) to 25 μl . The thermocycling parameters used for *tetA*, *tetB* and *tetG* reactions containing Fast start *Taq* DNA polymerase were: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at a primer specific temperature listed in Table 2 for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 1 min followed by one final extension at 72°C for 7 min. All other PCR reactions were performed using 1 unit of Platinum Hifi *Taq* DNA Polymerase High Fidelity (Invitrogen) in a reaction mixture containing 1 \times High Fidelity PCR Buffer (600 mM Tris-SO₄ [pH 8.9], 180 mM ammonium sulfate) (Invitrogen), 0.2 mM dNTP mixture (Invitrogen), 2 mM MgSO₄ (Invitrogen), 0.3 μM each primer (Table 2), 20 ng template DNA and distilled water DNase, RNAase free (Invitrogen) to 25 μl total volume. The thermocycling parameters used for *intA*, *potE* and *miaB* PCR reactions containing Platinum Hifi *Taq* were: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by thirty cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing for 30 sec, at a primer specific temperature listed in Table 2, extension for 60 sec (*intA*) or 90 sec (*potE*, *miaB*) at 68°C followed by one final extension at 68°C for 7 min. The thermocycling parameters used for all other reactions containing Platinum Hifi *Taq* were: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing for 30 sec, at a primer specific temperature listed in Table 2, extension for 30 sec at 68°C followed by one final extension at 68°C for 7 min. PCR amplicons were resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels in 0.5 \times TBE buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) at 120 V for 60 min. Sequencing was conducted by the DNA Core facility at the NML using an ABI3730 apparatus (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with the primers used to generate the template (Table 2). Complete sequencing of the *bla_{cmv-2}* PCR product required the design of additional sequencing primers listed in Table 2.

Comparative Genomic Hybridization

DNA microarrays were constructed as previously described using 4492 commercially supplied 70-mer oligonucleotides (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON) representing the coding sequences of the *S. Typhimurium* LT2 genome as well as all putative open reading frames from *Salmonella* Genomic Island I (SGI1) [24]. Genomic DNA from test *S. Heidelberg* and reference *S. Typhimurium* LT2 strains was isolated by phenol-chloroform extraction, sheared by nebulization and labelled by Cy3 dCTP or Cy5 dCTP

incorporation as previously described [19]. Labelled DNA from test and reference strains was hybridized to the array as previously described with each test-versus-reference comparison performed in triplicate and at least one of the slides hybridized as a dye swap. Following hybridization, slides were sequentially washed in buffer 1 (1 × SSC [3.0 M sodium chloride, 0.3 M sodium citrate] and 0.2 % SDS) for 6 min at 56 °C, buffer 2 (0.1 × SSC and 0.2 % SDS) for 4 min at room temperature, and twice in buffer 3 (0.1 × SSC) for 2 min at room temperature. Slides were scanned using an Agilent DNA microarray scanner (Agilent Technologies, Mississauga, ON). Data analysis was conducted as previously described to identify specific loci that were absent or divergent between different *S. Heidelberg* strains [19].

DNA sequence-based typing (SBT)

SBT was conducted using a previously published scheme based on the *fimA*, *manB*, and *mdh* loci [11]. PCR and sequencing were conducted using primers and annealing temperatures listed in Table 2. Sequence analysis was carried out using Seqman II (DNASTar Inc) and sequences were concatenated to create allelic profiles for each strain.

Plasmid Profiles

A single colony was used to inoculate 8 ml of LB broth and incubated overnight at 37 °C with rotation at 200 rpm. Complete plasmid profiles were isolated from 2 ml of overnight culture using a QIAGEN plasmid mini kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's directions. Plasmids were resuspended in 25 µl TE buffer (10 µM Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 1 µM EDTA) (Sigma-Aldrich) and resolved by gel electrophoresis on 0.9 % agarose gels in 0.5 × TBE buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) at 90 V for 90 min. Following staining for 20 min in ethidium bromide (2 µg/ml) and destaining for 20 min in ddH₂O gels were visualized by UV transillumination using a BioRad GelDoc XR (Bio-rad). Plasmid size was estimated using either a supercoiled DNA ladder (Invitrogen) containing supercoiled plasmids ranging from 2 to 16 kbp.

Electroporation

Transformation of the plasmids encoding *bla*_{cmv-2} was achieved by adding 5 µl of the plasmid preparations to 50 µl OneShot® TOP10 Electrocomp™ *E. coli* cells (Invitrogen) in a 0.1 cm chilled cuvette (Cell Projects, Kent, UK). A BioRad Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad) was used to apply a 1.25 kV pulse and 1 ml of S.O.C medium (Invitrogen) was immediately added to the cuvette and the contents transferred to a sterile culture tube. Following incubation of the transformation culture at 37 °C for 60 min, 20 and 200 µl aliquots were plated onto LB agar (Invitrogen) plates containing 20 µg/ml cefoxitin (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Potential transformant colonies were inoculated into 8 ml LB broth containing 20 µg/ml cefox-

itin and incubated overnight at 37 °C with agitation. DNA extractions were then conducted and PCR using the *bla*_{cmv-2} primer set was performed to verify transformants carried the *bla*_{cmv-2} gene.

Plasmid isolation from transformants

A single transformant colony was inoculated into 8 ml of LB broth with 20 µg/ml cefoxitin and incubated overnight at 37 °C with rotation at 200 rpm to create a starter culture. Starter culture was diluted 1/500 into 150 ml LB broth with 20 µg/ml cefoxitin and grown overnight at 37 °C with rotation at 200 rpm. Plasmids were isolated from 50 ml of overnight culture using a Qiagen plasmid midi kit according to the manufacturer's directions with the following modification: plasmid DNA was precipitated using 2 ml 7.5 M ammonium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich) in combination with 0.7 vol of isopropanol (Fisher) and resuspended in 100 µl TE buffer.

Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP)

Purified plasmid DNA (25 µl) was digested overnight at 37 °C with 20 units of *Bgl*III (New England Biolabs, Pickering, ON). The resulting plasmid fragments were separated by gel electrophoresis on 0.7 % Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) (Gibco) agarose gels at 60 V for 6 h in TAE (400 mM Tris-acetate, 10 mM EDTA). A 1 Kb Plus DNA Ladder (Invitrogen) and Track it λ DNA/*Hind* III fragments (Invitrogen) were used as molecular size standards. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide (2 µg/ml), destained overnight in ddH₂O at 4 °C, visualised with UV transillumination and photographed using a Bio-Rad Gel Doc XR. RFLP pattern analysis and was conducted using Bionumerics version 4.0 software with 2% optimization and 10% tolerance. A dendrogram based on RFLP patterns was generated in Bionumerics using the unweighted pair group method of analysis (UPGMA) with a fuzzy band logic coefficient of correlation, 2% optimization, and 10% tolerance.

Southern Blot

RFLP gels were depurinated in 250 mM HCl for 12 min, denatured in a 1.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M NaOH solution for 30 min and finally neutralized in a 1.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5 solution for 30 min. DNA was transferred by capillary blotting to a positively charged nylon Hybond-N+ (Amersham Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK) membrane using the TurboBlotter system (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH) according to manufacturer's directions with 10 × SSC (Ambion) transfer buffer. Membranes were rinsed in 6 × SSC (Ambion) and DNA was fixed to the membrane by UV treatment. Nucleic acid labelling and detection was carried out following the manufacturer's directions using the ECL Direct Nucleic Acid Labelling and Detection System (Amersham Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK). Labelled probes targeting the *aadA1*, *bla*_{cmv-2}, *dhfRA1*, *floR*, *strA*, *sul1*, *tetA*, and *tetB* genes were gener-

ated from PCR products. PCR amplicons were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit according to manufacturer's directions, quantified on a NanoDrop ND-1000 and diluted to 10 ng/μl. Amplicons (150 ng) were denatured by boiling for 5 min and snap cooling on ice for 5 min. Probe DNA was labelled at 37°C for 10 min through the addition of equal volumes of DNA labelling reagent (Amersham Life Sciences) and glutaraldehyde (Amersham Life Sciences). Membranes were pre-hybridized at 42°C for 30 min in 25 ml pre-heated ECL gold hybridization buffer (Amersham Life Sciences) containing 0.5 M NaCl and 5 % (w/v) blocking agent (Amersham Life Sciences). Labelled probe was added to hybridization buffer and hybridization was allowed to proceed overnight at 42°C in a Fisher Isotemp hybridization oven (Fisher). Following hybridization, excess probe was removed by washing twice with primary wash buffer (0.5 × SSC, 0.4 % SDS) at 42°C for 20 min and twice with 2 × SSC for 5 min at room temperature. The presence of target gene was detected on Hyperfilm ECL (Amersham) autoradiography film according to manufacturer's directions. Film was developed in a Feline™ developer (Fisher).

Conjugation

Salmonella Heidelberg strains were used as *bla*_{cmv-2} plasmid donor strains. Recipient *E. coli* RG192 was serially passaged against rifampicin (Sigma-Aldrich) until resistance to 384 μg/ml was achieved. Cefoxitin (20 μg/ml) and rifampicin (384 μg/ml) were used as selective agents for the donor and recipient strains, respectively. Single colonies of donor and recipient strains from selective plates were inoculated into 8 ml of LB broth containing the appropriate selective antibiotic and grown overnight at 37°C at 200 rpm. Overnight cultures were then sub-cultured into LB broth without selective antibiotic and incubated at 37°C for 5 h at 200 rpm. Recipient and donor cells were combined in a 4:1 ratio in LB broth. Following overnight incubation at 37°C, transconjugants were selected by plating onto LB agar containing cefoxitin (20 μg/ml) and rifampicin (384 μg/ml). The transfer of *bla*_{cmv-2} was confirmed by performing PCR to detect the presence of the *bla*_{cmv-2} gene followed by isolation of plasmids from *E. coli* transconjugants.

Abbreviations

Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance [8]; comparative genomic hybridization (CGH); extended spectrum cephalosporin (ESC); minimum inhibitory concentration [10]; multi-drug resistant (MDR); National Microbiology Laboratory (NML); pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE); restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP); sequence-based typing (SBT).

Authors' contributions

AKA performed resistance gene PCR, CGH, SBT and plasmid analyses for this study, as well as drafted the manuscript. ABO and DMT participated in CGH, plasmid analyses and assisted in drafting and revising the manuscript. L-KN, KD, RI and CIPARS assisted in conceiving the study, provided background and epidemiological data for CIPARS strains and revised the manuscript. MWG supervised the project and drafted and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Members of the CIPARS Collaborative: Provincial Public Health laboratories of AB, BC, MB, NB, NF, NS, ON, PE, PQ and SK; Canadian Food Inspection Agency and participating federal abattoirs. We thank Walter Demczuk from the NML and Jean-Yves D'Aoust at the Bureau of Microbial Hazards for providing the strains and strain details used in this study, Danielle Daignault and Andrea Desruisseau from LFZ for providing agri-food strains, PulseNet Canada for performing PFGE, Mike Mulvey for providing microarray slides, Melissa McCracken, Connie Barton, Dennis Le and Joanne McCrear for technical assistance, and Dr. John Wylie and Dr. Rick Holley for helpful discussions. Oligonucleotide synthesis and DNA sequencing was performed by the DNA Core facility at the National Microbiology Laboratory.

References

- Angulo FJ, Johnson KR, Tauxe RV, Cohen ML: **Origins and consequences of antimicrobial-resistant nontyphoidal *Salmonella*: implications for the use of fluoroquinolones in food animals.** *Microbial Drug Resistance* 2000, **6**:77-63.
- Demczuk W, P Ng, L-K., Ahmed, R., Clark, C., Tabor, H., Dore K., Ciampa N., and Cole L: **Laboratory surveillance data for enteric pathogens in Canada: Annual summary 2005.** Winnipeg, National Microbiology Laboratory; 2005.
- WHO: **Global Salm-Surv: Progress Report 2000-2005.** [<http://www.who.int/salmsurv/links/GSSProgressReport2005.pdf>].
- Sandt CH, Krouse DA, Cook CR, Hackman AL, Chmielecki WA, Warren NG: **The key role of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis in investigation of a large multiserotype and multistate foodborne outbreak of *Salmonella* typhimurium infections centered in Pennsylvania.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2006, **44**(9):3208-3212.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): **Multistate outbreak of *Salmonella* typhimurium infections associated with eating ground beef - United States 2004.** *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2006, **55**(7):180-182.
- Aarestrup FM, Hasman H, Olsen I, Sorensen G: **International spread of *bla*(CMY-2)-mediated cephalosporin resistance in a multiresistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heidelberg isolate stemming from the importation of a boar by Denmark from Canada.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004, **48**(5):1916-1917.
- Currie A, MacDougall L, Aramini J, Gaulin C, Ahmed R, Isaacs S: **Frozen chicken nuggets and strips and eggs are leading risk factors for *Salmonella* Heidelberg infections in Canada.** *Epidemiol Infect* 2005, **133**(5):809-816.
- CIPARS: **Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance 2004.** Guelph, ON, Public Health Agency of Canada; 2004.
- Harbottle H, White DG, McDermott PF, Walker RD, Zhao S: **Comparison of multilocus sequence typing, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, and antimicrobial susceptibility typing for characterization of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Newport isolates.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2006, **44**(7):2449-2457.
- Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, Mickelsen PA, Murray BE, Persing DH, Swaminathan B: **Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing.** *J Clin Microbiol* 1995, **33**(9):2233-2239.

11. Sukhnanand S, Alcaine S, Warnick LD, Su WL, Hof J, Craver MP, McDonough P, Boor KJ, Wiedmann M: **DNA sequence-based subtyping and evolutionary analysis of selected *Salmonella enterica* serotypes.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2005, **43(8)**:3688-3698.
12. Reen FJ, Boyd EF, Porwollik S, Murphy BP, Gilroy D, Fanning S, McClelland M: **Genomic comparisons of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Dublin, Agona, and Typhimurium strains recently isolated from milk filters and bovine samples from Ireland, using a *Salmonella* microarray.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005, **71(3)**:1616-1625.
13. Porwollik S, Santiviago CA, Cheng P, Florea L, McClelland M: **Differences in gene content between *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis isolates and comparison to closely related serovars Gallinarum and Dublin.** *J Bacteriol* 2005, **187(18)**:6545-6555.
14. Porwollik S, Wong RM, McClelland M: **Evolutionary genomics of *Salmonella*: gene acquisitions revealed by microarray analysis.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002, **99(13)**:8956-8961.
15. Fluit AC, Schmitz FJ: **Class I integrons, gene cassettes, mobility, and epidemiology.** *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1999, **18(11)**:761-770.
16. Rychlik I, Gregorova D, Hradecka H: **Distribution and function of plasmids in *Salmonella enterica*.** *Vet Microbiol* 2006, **112(1)**:1-10.
17. Carattoli A, Bertini A, Villa L, Falbo V, Hopkins KL, Threlfall EJ: **Identification of plasmids by PCR-based replicon typing.** *J Microbiol Methods* 2005, **63(3)**:219-228.
18. Carattoli A, Miriagou V, Bertini A, Loli A, Colinon C, Villa L, Whichard JM, Rossolini GM: **Replicon typing of plasmids encoding resistance to newer beta-lactams.** *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006, **12(7)**:1145-1148.
19. Olson AB, Andrysiak AK, Tracz DM, Guard-Bouldin J, Demczuk W, Ng LK, Maki A, Jamieson F, Gilmour MW: **Limited genetic diversity in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis PT13.** *BMC Microbiol* 2007, **7**:87.
20. NCCLS/CLSI: **Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: fifteenth informational supplement. NCCLS/CLSI/ document M100-S15.** 2005.
21. NCCLS/CLSI: **Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals: approved standard - second edition. NCCLS document M31-A2.** 2007.
22. CDC: **National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System for Enteric Bacteria (NARMS) 2001 annual report.** 2003.
23. Swaminathan B, Barrett TJ, Hunter SB, Tauxe RV: **PulseNet: the molecular subtyping network for foodborne bacterial disease surveillance, United States.** *Emerg Infect Dis* 2001, **7(3)**:382-389.
24. Golding GR, Olson AB, Doublet B, Cloeckert A, Christianson S, Graham MR, Mulvey MR: **The effect of the *Salmonella* genomic island I on in vitro global gene expression in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium LT2.** *Microbes Infect* 2007, **9(1)**:21-27.
25. Randall LP, Cooles SW, Osborn MK, Piddock LJ, Woodward MJ: **Antibiotic resistance genes, integrons and multiple antibiotic resistance in thirty-five serotypes of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from humans and animals in the UK.** *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004, **53(2)**:208-216.
26. Mulvey MR, Bryce E, Boyd DA, Ofner-Agostini M, Land AM, Simor AE, Paton S: **Molecular characterization of cefoxitin-resistant *Escherichia coli* from Canadian hospitals.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005, **49(1)**:358-365.
27. Mulvey MR, Soule G, Boyd D, Demczuk W, Ahmed R: **Characterization of the first extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Salmonella* isolate identified in Canada.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2003, **41(1)**:460-462.
28. Mendez B, Tachibana C, Levy SB: **Heterogeneity of tetracycline resistance determinants.** *Plasmid* 1980, **3(2)**:99-108.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

