RESEARCH



Oral microbiome homogeneity across diverse human groups from southern Africa: first results from southwestern Angola and Zimbabwe



Vítor Araújo^{1,2,3}, Anne-Maria Fehn^{1,2}, Admire Phiri⁴, Jeffrey Wills⁵, Jorge Rocha^{1,2,3} and Magdalena Gayà-Vidal^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background While the human oral microbiome is known to play an important role in systemic health, its average composition and diversity patterns are still poorly understood. To gain better insights into the general composition of the microbiome on a global scale, the characterization of microbiomes from a broad range of populations, including non-industrialized societies, is needed. Here, we used the portion of non-human reads obtained through an expanded exome capture sequencing approach to characterize the saliva microbiomes of 52 individuals from eight ethnolinguistically diverse southern African populations from Angola (Kuvale, Kwepe, Himba, Tjimba, Kwisi, Twa, !Xun) and Zimbabwe (Tshwa), including foragers, food-producers, and peripatetic groups (low-status communities who provide services to their dominant neighbors).

Results Our results indicate that neither host genetics nor livelihood seem to influence the oral microbiome profile, with Neisseria, Streptococcus, Prevotella, Rothia, and Porphyromonas being the five most frequent genera in southern African groups, in line with what has been shown for other human populations. However, we found that some Tshwa and Twa individuals display an enrichment of pathogenic genera from the Enterobacteriaceae family (i.e. Enterobacter, Citrobacter, Salmonella) of the Proteobacteria phylum, probably reflecting deficient sanitation and poor health conditions associated with social marginalization.

Conclusions Taken together, our results suggest that socio-economic status, rather than ethnolinguistic affiliation or subsistence mode, is a key factor in shaping the salivary microbial profiles of human populations in southern Africa.

Keywords Oral microbiota, Oral microbiome, Saliva, Exome sequencing, Metagenomics, Socio-economic status, Subsistence methods, African populations

*Correspondence:

Maqdalena Gavà-Vidal magdagaya@gmail.com

¹Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, CIBIO, InBIO Laboratório Associado, Universidade do Porto, Campus de Vairão, Vairão 4485-661, Portugal



²Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, BIOPOLIS, Campus de Vairão, Vairão 4485-661, Portugal ³Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Porto 4169-007, Portugal ⁴Department of Linguistics and Language Practice, University of Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa ⁵University of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe

© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

With over 700 identified species, the oral microbiome presents one of the largest microbiota of the human body [1-3], and plays an important role in the maintenance of oral and systemic health [4, 5]. Studies of the oral microbiome among populations from diverse geographical and ethnic backgrounds using next-generation sequencing approaches have identified non-culturable bacteria and found that in healthy oral cavities, up to 96% of all bacteria species belong to six main phyla: Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Spirochaetes [6]. Perturbations in the ecological balance of the oral microbiome (dysbiosis) have been related with oral diseases like caries and periodontitis, with oral cancer, and with systemic diseases like diabetes, obesity, colon, lung, and pancreatic cancer, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), autoimmune disease, and systemic inflammation [3, 5, 7–10].

The diversity in environmental conditions and distinct microbial communities presented by different buccal tissues makes it difficult to assess the definition of what constitutes a normal microbiome profile. Saliva with its conglomerate of bacteria provides an easily accessible and non-invasive material for studying the general oral microbiota composition. Several studies have attempted to relate the high diversity of saliva microbiome profiles with distinct diets, lifestyles, environmental conditions, and host genetics [11-21]. Although some of these studies involved diverse human groups relying on different subsistence strategies [11, 12, 16, 20], the available ethnogeographic coverage is still insufficient to obtain a representative picture of the salivary microbiome diversity in human populations, with African groups being particularly underrepresented [22].

While most studies on the salivary microbiome have been based on high-throughput amplicon sequencing of fragments of the hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene or on shotgun sequencing, Kidd et al. [23] have shown that the microbiome from saliva samples could also be characterized by using reads that do not align to human DNA sequences obtained with an exome capture approach. This method provides an opportunity to expand microbiome studies to diverse ethnic groups for whom genomic data have been obtained from saliva samples.

The present study makes part of our ongoing research on the genetic diversity of different populations from southern Africa with a particular emphasis on southwestern Angola, where several linguistically and ethnically diverse groups reside in a relatively limited geographic area [24–28]. A key region for understanding human population history, southern Africa has been colonized by three distinct pre-colonial settlement layers. The two most ancient layers are associated with speakers of click languages referred to as "Khoisan", which belong to three distinct families: Kx'a, Tuu, and Khoe-Kwadi. The first layer is associated with the Kx'a and Tuu languages spoken by the autochthonous peoples of Southern Africa, who traditionally rely on foraging and harbor the highest levels of human genetic diversity in the world [29–31]. The second layer is represented by Khoe-Kwadi speakers descending from eastern African pastoralists, who migrated into the area from East Africa around ~2 kya but are presently associated with different subsistence strategies, including pastoralism and foraging [32]. The third layer is constituted by Bantu-speaking farmers migrating from West-Central Africa who reached the area around ~1.5 kya [33, 34].

Here, we used the saliva-derived non-human reads generated by exome capture sequencing to characterize the microbial communities of 52 individuals from eight ethnolinguistically diverse populations residing in Angola (Kuvale, Kwepe, Himba, Tjimba, Kwisi, Twa, !Xun) and Zimbabwe (Tshwa) [27, unpublished data] in order to obtain a more accurate picture of the oral microbiome diversity in an understudied region of Africa.

We found homogenous microbiome profiles across the studied populations, except for individuals belonging to the Tshwa and Twa groups, who presented considerably elevated frequencies of pathogenic bacteria belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family. Since both groups are strongly marginalized, we conclude that low socio-economic and health status – not ethnicity or host genetic background – are the major drivers of saliva microbiome differentiation in the studied area.

Methods

Population samples

Saliva samples were collected from 52 unrelated individuals (37 males and 15 females) belonging to eight ethnolinguistically diverse populations from Angola (Kuvale, Kwepe, Himba, Tjimba, Kwisi, Twa, !Xun) and Zimbabwe (Tshwa) (see also [24–28]) (Fig. 1; Supplementary Table 1). The data was collected with the written informed consent of all participants and the permission of local authorities, the Provincial Governments of Namibe and Kunene (Angola), and the Ministry of the Local Governance (Zimbabwe). Ethical approval for this study was obtained from CIBIO/InBIO-University of Porto, ISCED, the University of Zimbabwe, and the Tsoro-o-tso San Development Trust boards.

The seven studied groups from southwestern Angola inhabit geographic areas characterized by high linguistic and cultural diversity. The Kuvale, Himba, Tjimba, Kwepe, Twa and Kwisi dwell in the coastal lowlands of the Angolan Namib Desert, which are characterized by an arid and warm climate. As the desert soil is not suitable for agriculture, pastoralism is the sole food production strategy





Population	Country	Language	Language family	Subsistence pattern	N
Kuvale	Angola	Kuvale	Bantu	Pastoralists	7
Kwepe	Angola	Kwadi	Khoe-Kwadi ¹	Pastoralists	7
Himba	Angola	Himba	Bantu	Pastoralists	7
Tjimba	Angola	Himba	Bantu	Peripatetics	4
Kwisi	Angola	Kuvale	Bantu	Peripatetics	8
Twa	Angola	Kuvale	Bantu	Peripatetics	7
Tshwa	Zimbabwe	Tshwao	Khoe-Kwadi	Peripatetics	5
!Xun	Angola	!Xun	Kx'a	Foragers	7

Fig. 1 Populations analyzed in this study. (A) Map indicating the sampling locations of the studied populations in Southern Africa. Each location is colored by the corresponding population. Country borders are shown in black, the inset shows the Angolan Namib province delimited by a gray contour, and the main intermittent rivers are indicated in light gray. (B) Country, language, language family, subsistence pattern, and number of individuals (N) analyzed for each population. Note: while ¹ Kwadi (Khoe-Kwadi) was the original language of the Kwepe, they presently speak Kuvale (Bantu)

available in the region [35]. The Bantu-speaking Kuvale and Himba cattle herders belong to the Herero pastoral tradition of southwestern Africa, and socially dominate the area. They are surrounded by an array of small-scale populations (Twa, Tjimba, Kwisi) whose livelihoods do not match the traditional division between food-production and foraging and are best described as "peripatetic" [36-38]. While the Tjimba are sometimes considered to be impoverished Himba who lost their cattle, the Twa and Kwisi describe themselves as the autochthonous people of the region and are highly marginalized groups whose origins have often been considered enigmatic [37, 39]. Finally, the formerly Kwadi-speaking Kwepe are small stock herders who may be linked to the early pastoral migration from eastern into southern Africa associated with the Khoe-Kwadi language family [40, 41]. In addition to the Namib populations, we analyzed the Kx'aspeaking !Xun foragers from the neighboring Kunene Province from Angola. This area is characterized by open savanna woodland and makes part of the Kalahari sands landscape unit, with higher rainfall and temperature variance than in the coastal plain [35]. To supplement our Angolan samples with data from other regions of southern Africa, we further included the Khoe-Kwadispeaking Tshwa from the Tsholotsho District of western Zimbabwe. While their traditional subsistence relied on foraging, they had to leave their traditional hunting grounds in Hwange National Park during the early 20th century and have since experienced considerable levels of social marginalization [42].

Saliva collection, DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing

Details about sample collection and DNA extraction for the Angolan samples are provided in Pinto et al. [24] and Oliveira et al. [25]. The Zimbabwean Tshwa samples were collected in 2015 from the Tsholotsho District. Volunteers were asked to spit up to 2 mL of saliva into tubes containing 2 mL of lysis buffer, which were stored at room temperature until processing. DNA extraction was performed using the Easyspin Genomic DNA Tissue Kit SPDT250 from Citomed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Library preparation and expanded exome enrichment were performed using Nextera[®] Rapid Capture Enrichment kit by Illumina following the protocol version #15037436 v01. DNA concentration for each sample was measured using Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and normalized to 5 ng/ μ L. The 52 individuals were sequenced in two sequencing runs on an Illumina's HiSeq 1500 System (Illumina) using 250 cycles in paired-end mode.

Sequence processing and alignment

FASTQ files were processed to remove low-quality reads by filtering for a Phred Quality Score of 30 (Q30) with Sickle (v1.33) [43] in pair-end mode. Reads that passed the quality filter were aligned to the human genome hg19 using the *-mem* option of Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) software (v0.7.15) [44]. From the resulting BAM files, we extracted the non-human reads (unmapped reads) using SAMtools [45] and applied further quality filters in accordance with Kidd et al. [23] with PRINSEQ tool [46]. We removed reads with less than 50 bp, reads with a mean quality score<25, and reads which were exact duplicates. Since PRINSEQ works with FASTQ files, the BAM files were first converted using BEDtools [47].

The high-quality metagenomic reads were blasted against the microbiome reference genomes from the Human Microbiome Project (HMP) [48] (NCBI BioProject PRJNA28331 [Accessed November 19, 2018]) with the software BLAST+ [49] using the option *blastn*, and the best hit for each read was retained. For the species-level binning, we used the most stringent criteria in accordance with Kidd et al. [23], requiring that the alignment covered at least 75% of the read length, and that the sequences were at least 95% identical.

We obtained an average of ~32.3 million reads per individual with the Expanded Exome Capture Sequencing approach. Of those, 2.67% did not align to the human genome hg19 (~690,000 reads per individual) (Supplementary Fig. 1). After quality control, an average of ~307,000 high-quality non-human reads per individual were aligned against the microbiome reference genomes of the Human Microbiome Project (HMP) [48] and we built an abundance table with the number of metagenomic reads aligned against each microbial species in each sample. An abundance table was also constructed at the genus level by merging species of the same genus.

Statistical analyses

All analyses were carried out using R studio version 4.1.1717 [50] at the genus level, unless indicated otherwise. We estimated alpha diversity (diversity within individuals) using the Shannon index [51] with the function "diversity" from the vegan v2.5-7 package [52] after rarefying all samples to a depth of 29,184 reads per sample, corresponding to the minimum number of reads obtained in an individual. Beta diversity (diversity between individuals) was calculated using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity [53] with the function "vegdist" from the package vegan v2.5-7. Prior to calculating the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity values, we normalized the read counts by applying a variance-stabilizing transformation (VST) using DESeq2 [54] as suggested in McMurdie and Holmes [55]. The VST normalization takes into account that total reads (library size) per sample may differ between samples by orders of magnitude, a fact that should be considered when comparing samples. To evaluate whether differences existed across and between distributions, we used Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests, respectively, and a Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction for multiple testing was applied (adjusted p_value < 0.05).

To explore how individuals clustered according to their microbiome profiles, we used a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot and a correspondence analysis (CA) based on genera counts after applying VST. The NMDS was performed on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix using the function "isoMDS" with default parameters from the package MASS v7.3.54 [56] (Fig. 3A). The CA was performed using the function "dudi.coa" from the package ade4 v1.7-13 [57] and visualized with the function "fviz_ca" from the package factoextra v1.0.7 [58] (Fig. 4).

In order to compare the microbiome composition of the sampled populations with those from the literature, we included a panel of salivary microbiome data from four African and two European sample populations. The African population samples include different genetic backgrounds and subsistence strategies: the ‡Khomani foragers from South Africa [23], the Batwa foragers from Uganda, and two agricultural groups from the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and Sierra Leone (SL) [12]. The European samples consist of Italians [59] and Germans [16]. These studies were carried out using different methodologies: while Nasidze et al. [12] and Li et al. [16] used amplicon amplification of hypervariable fragments V1 and V2 of the 16S rRNA gene, Caselli et al. [59] used whole-genome sequencing, and Kidd et al. [23] whole-exome sequencing (WES), corresponding to the approach used in the present study. Since no information on read counts per genus was available for all six populations of the comparative panel, we calculated F_{st} values [60] based on the relative frequencies of the ten most frequent genera shown in Fig. 2 with the PHYLIP software [61] and visualized them through an NMDS plot (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Correlations between the relative abundances of the ten most frequent genera have been assessed using the Pearson correlation coefficient. For the Angolan groups, we additionally calculated the correlation between microbiome (Bray-Curtis distances) and genetic data (F_{st} distances using mtDNA and Y-chromosome [25, 26]) by means of Mantel tests [62]. Differential abundance (DA) of taxa between all population pairs and between the three subsistence methods (foraging, pastoralist, peripatetic) was calculated using DESeq2, which provides false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-values (Supplementary Tables 4–7).

Results

Oral microbiome composition

We identified a total of nine phyla in the eight sampled groups from Angola and Zimbabwe: *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Actinobacteria*, *Fusobacteria*, *Spirochaetes*, *Synergistetes*, *Verrucomicrobia*, and *Euryarchaeota* (Supplementary Table 1), with four phyla (*Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Actinobacteria*) recruiting 96% of the reads (Fig. 2A). These four phyla are also predominant (92–99%) in a comparative panel of four African and two European populations (Fig. 2B). The nine phyla could be additionally broken down into 206 genera (Supplementary Table 2) and 574 taxa at higher resolution, including 468 identified species (Supplementary Table 3). *Neisseria* (phylum *Proteobacteria*), *Streptococcus* (*Firmicutes*), *Prevotella* and *Porphyromonas* (both *Bacteroidetes*), and *Rothia* (*Actinobacteria*) represent between 62% and 74% of the microbiome communities of Angolan populations (Fig. 2C). These wellknown genera of the oral microbiome are also abundant in other African and European populations from the comparative panel (Fig. 2D).

As it has been suggested that different genera may associate to form distinct communities with particular microbial combinations [63, 64], we have assessed patterns of co-occurrence by calculating Pearson correlations between the relative abundances of the 10 most frequent genera that were found in the 52 sampled individuals. We found four significant positive correlations after FDR correction (Supplementary Fig. 3A-D): *Prevotella* with *Veillonella* (r=0.74; p<0.001); *Actinomyces* with *Veillonella* (r=0.58; p<0.001); *Prevotella* with *Actinomyces* (r=0.58; p<0.001); and *Neisseria* with *Haemophilus* (r=0.54; p<0.001). In contrast, the frequencies of *Neisseria* were negatively correlated with *Actinomyces* (r = -0.43; p=0.01), *Prevotella* (r = -0.40; p=0.03) and *Veillonella* (r = -0.37; p=0.04) (Supplementary Fig. 3E-G), thus clearly revealing two alternative microbial combinations: *Prevotella-Veillonella-Actinomyces* and *Neisseria-Haemophilus*.

When microbiome profiles are compared across the studied populations, the Tshwa from Zimbabwe stand out for their unusually high frequency of the *Proteobacteria* phylum (66% in the Tshwa vs. 24–46% in the seven Angolan populations), which is also common in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) (77%) and Sierra Leone (SL) (72%) [12] (Fig. 2A and B). These elevated frequencies are mostly due to the *Enterobacter* and *Klebsiella* genera, which represent 52% of all reads in the Tshwa, 51% in SL and 27% in the DRC (Fig. 2C and D). A





high frequency of *Enterobacter* and *Klebsiella* (22%) was also found among the Batwa from Uganda [12] (Fig. 2D).

Consideration of individual relative abundance profiles reveals that the Tshwa have very uneven genera distributions, with three out of five individuals displaying microbiomes that are dominated by two genera: *Klebsiella* in individual ZIM28 (86% of 2.45 million reads) and *Enterobacter* in individuals ZIM32 (84% of 361,940 reads) and ZIM39 (86% of 790,392 reads) (Supplementary Fig. 2G and Supplementary Table 2). A high frequency (72% of 973,242 reads) of the *Enterobacter* genus was also found in a single Twa individual (AngH229) from Angola (Supplementary Fig. 2F).

When compared with the other populations analyzed here, the unusual character of the microbiome profile of the Tshwa is further reflected in the low alpha diversity calculated after rarefying the number of reads, which measures the variability of the microbial compositions of each sampled individual (Supplementary Fig. 4A). However, no significant differences existed in alpha values between populations grouped according to subsistence patterns (Supplementary Fig. 4B), nor between sexes.

Clustering analysis based on microbial profiles

We carried out clustering analyses in order to investigate if individual differences in the composition of bacteria genera are structured by ethnic group, subsistence pattern, or geography. Figure 3A shows a nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot based on pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarity values between individual microbiome profiles, calculated after a Variance Stabilization Transformation (VST) to correct for unequal library sizes [55]. Apart from the clear differentiation of the Tshwa and Twa individuals with unique microbiome profiles (ZIM28, ZIM32, ZIM39 and AngH229), most individuals are scattered across the plot without any clear clustering (Fig. 3A). A similar result was obtained when comparisons were done at the species level (not shown).

In agreement with the NMDS plot, the distributions of Bray-Curtis distances show that, except for comparisons involving the Tshwa, microbiome differences between individuals from the same population are similar to those between individuals from different populations (Fig. 3B).

The same pattern was observed when the distributions of Bray-Curtis distances were calculated within and between subsistence patterns or sexes (not shown).

This general lack of structuring is also reflected in the absence of correlation between average Bray-Curtis distances in microbiome composition across populations and F_{st} genetic distances calculated with available mtDNA (Mantel test r=11, p=0.33) and Y-chromosome data (r=-0.13, p=0.63) from Angola [25, 26]. In addition, the differences in microbiome composition within Angola are not correlated with geographic distances among populations (r=0.06; p=0.25). Only when the outlying Tshwa from Zimbabwe are included in the comparisons can a significant correlation with geographic distance (r=0.39; p=0.002) be observed, suggesting that there is no robust association between microbiome differentiation and geographic distance in our data.



Fig. 3 Pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarity values. (A) Non-metric MDS depicting inter-individual Bray-Curtis dissimilarity values. Colored symbols represent individuals from different populations. Circles, triangles, and diamond symbols represent pastoralists, peripatetics, and foragers, respectively. Tshwa (ZIM28, ZIM32, ZIM39) and Twa (AngH229) individuals with one *Enterobacteriaceae* taxon at a frequency > 70% are indicated. (B) Distribution of mean pairwise Bray-Curtis values within and between populations. Horizontal lines inside boxplots represent the median, and red circles correspond to mean values

In order to further identify the most important genera driving microbiome differentiation we additionally performed a correspondence analysis (CA) based on genera counts with a VST. The resulting CA plot is consistent with the patterns observed in the NMDS plot and shows that the 10 genera most strongly separating the samples are *Cedecea*, *Citrobacter*, *Edwarsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Hafnia*, *Morganella*, *Proteus*, *Salmonella*, *Serratia*, and *Yokenella*, all belonging to the *Proteobacteria* phylum (Fig. 4).

We have also attempted to compare the microbiome profiles of our sampled groups with published data on other African and European populations from the comparative panel shown in Fig. 2. As read counts could not be obtained for all published groups, and genera abundances were available only for the most frequent genera, we carried out an NMDS analysis based on F_{st} -like distances between populations, considering the relative frequencies of their 10 most common genera (Supplementary Fig. 5). In accordance with the profiles displayed in Fig. 2, the observed patterns of microbiome differentiation show that the Tshwa from Zimbabwe, the Batwa from Uganda, and the individuals from DRC and SL – all with high frequencies of *Enterobacteriaceae* – appear

as outliers. All other populations, including the various Angolan groups, Germans, Italians and the "Khoisan" foragers from South Africa, have similar microbiome compositions and do not form any apparent clusters (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Differential abundance of taxa across populations

To investigate whether specific taxa vary significantly in frequency among populations, despite the general absence of structuring in the salivary microbiome composition, we used DESeq2 to assess the differential abundance (DA) of the 206 identified genera between pairs of populations, in a total of 5768 pairwise comparisons. After correcting for multiple testing, we identified 171 significant comparisons involving 41 genera (Supplementary Table 4). Thirteen out of the 41 genera were found to be overrepresented in at least three pairwise comparisons involving a specific population (Fig. 5). Seven out of these 13 genera are among the most important genera driving microbiome differentiation in the CA plot (Fig. 4) and are overrepresented in the Tshwa and/or the Twa: Cedecea, Citrobacter, Enterobacter, Salmonella, Serratia, Yokenella (all from the Enterobacteriaceae family), and Hafnia (from the Hafniaceae family) (Fig. 5). Of note are



Fig. 4 Correspondence analysis (CA). CA based on genera counts after VST normalizing. Colored symbols represent individuals belonging to different populations. Circles, triangles, and diamond symbols represent pastoralists, peripatetics, and foragers, respectively. The ten genera with the highest contribution are shown in the plot. Tshwa (ZIM28, ZIM32; ZIM39) and Twa (AngH229) individuals with outstanding microbiome profiles are also indicated



Fig. 5 Differential Abundance (DA) analysis between populations at the genus level. Dot plot showing the number of pairwise comparisons in which a genus (Y axis) was overrepresented in a given population (X axis)

also the overrepresentations of the fermentation-associated *Lactobacillus* and *Pseudopropionibacterium* genera in the pastoralist Himba, who are known to consume large amounts of fermented milk [65–69] (Fig. 5).

We further extended the DA analysis to taxa identified at the species level and found that several *Enterobacteriaceae* species that are enriched in the Tshwa and/ or Twa are known opportunistic pathogens involved in health-care infections and/or immunocompromised patients: *Cedecea davisae, Escherichia coli, Yokenella regensburguei,* several species of *Citrobacter (C. freundii,* *C. youngae, C. koseri*) and *Klebsiella* (*K. oxytoca, K. pneumoniae*) [70] (Supplementary Table 5 and Supplementary Fig. 6).

To identify taxa that are enriched in groups with a particular subsistence pattern, we performed a DA analysis comparing genera and species levels between foragers, pastoralists and peripatetics (Supplementary Tables 6 and 7, Supplementary Fig. 7). In accordance with the DA analysis carried out between populations, most significant differential abundances were shown by taxa enriched in the peripatetic groups (Supplementary Fig. 7). Nonetheless, grouping individuals according to their livelihood allowed us to increase the statistical power and detect interesting taxa, like *Bifidobacteria breve* that is more abundant in foragers than in both pastoralists and peripatetics (Supplementary Fig. 7). *B. breve* is a probiotic species with important benefits, which is used to prevent intestinal inflammation, as well as in the treatment of diarrhea and constipation [71].

Discussion

To obtain a more accurate picture of the human oral microbiome composition and its role in human health and disease, comparative data from a broad range of populations from different geographical areas following diverse subsistence strategies is needed. Here, we have analyzed the salivary microbiome profiles of eight diverse ethnolinguistic groups from Angola and Zimbabwe who explore different ecological settings and livelihoods, including pastoralism, foraging, and peripatetic lifeways.

We found similar amounts of inter-individual microbiome differentiation within and between groups, resulting in a lack of population structure based on the oral microbiome composition, in agreement with what was observed by Nasidze et al. [11] for other groups. This pattern suggests that the diversity of bacterial communities in the studied groups appears to be more influenced by individual factors than by genetic differentiation between populations.

However, since our study is based on low sample sizes, encompassing small, inbred groups, a broader analysis of more individuals would be needed to confirm these results. In addition, our data was collected in the context of population history research and therefore lacks individual metadata on diet, hygiene habits and general health status, which may shed further light on the observed patterns of variation. Notwithstanding these limitations, our results revealed taxa compositions and distribution patterns consistent with those observed in other studies based on different methodologies [11, 12, 16, 23, 59, 64, 72, 73]. This similarity further indicates that our data did not present a batch effect, suggesting that non-human reads obtained from Exome Capture Sequencing on saliva samples allow for a faithful characterization of the saliva microbiome [23].

In addition to general patterns of diversity observed in all sampled groups, we found that four individuals, three Tshwa from Zimbabwe and one Twa from Angola, display extremely differentiated microbiome profiles. This differentiation is due to a high proportion of pathogenic taxa, especially from the *Enterobacteriaceae* family (e.g., *E. hormaechei, E. cancerogenus*, and *Klebsiella michiganensis*), which are linked to poor sanitary conditions as well as nosocomial infections affecting immunocompromised patients [70]. Previous studies focusing on the role of HIV in shaping the salivary microbiome have shown that a compromised immune system is vulnerable to microbial changes, leading to elevated frequencies of Enterobacteriaceae among HIV-positive individuals [74-78]. While we do not have data on the health status and sanitary conditions of the sampled individuals, our observations in the field align with previous studies which suggest that especially the Tshwa experience considerable levels of social marginalization and poverty, including lack of access to clean water supplies and regular alimentation [42]. Furthermore, data released by the World Health Organization (WHO) suggests that in 2018, Zimbabwe presented the 4th highest HIV prevalence from a total of 36 African countries (https://www.afro.who.int/ health-topics/hivaids), in line with the observation that Tshwa communities are especially affected by HIV [42]. It therefore seems likely that the outstanding microbiome profiles seen in our data could be caused by compromised immunity and a poor nutritional level. Nevertheless, further studies should formally test this.

Conclusions

Our results provide new insights into the diversity of the salivary microbiome displayed by African populations, focusing on a diverse set of ethnic groups from Angola and Zimbabwe. Rather than aligning with genetic distance, ethnic affiliation or subsistence pattern, inter-individual diversity appears to be related to socio-economic conditions, access to sanitation, and health status. Our findings therefore underline the important role played by the oral microbiome in the context of systemic health.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-023-02970-2.

Supplementary Material 1 Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all individuals who kindly participated in this study, the governments of Namibe and Kunene Provinces in Angola for supporting our work, Teresa Aço, Fernanda Lages, João Guerra, Raimundo Dungulo, and Serafim Nemésio for assistance in the preparation of fieldwork, António Mbeape, José Domingos, Okongo Toko for assistance with sample collection, Sandra Oliveira for assistance with sample collection and performing DNA extractions, and Susana Lopes, Sandra Afonso, and Jolita Dilyte for their help in Exome sequencing at the CIBIO facilities.

Author contributions

JR and MGV conceived and designed the study. JR, AMF, AP, and JW collected the samples. MGV generated sequencing data. VA and MGV performed bioinformatic and statistical analyses. MGV, JR, and AMF wrote the article. All the authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

FEDER funds through the Operational Programme for Competitiveness Factors—COMPETE and by National Funds through FCT—Foundation for Science and Technology under the PTDC/BIA-EVF/2907/2012, PTDC/BIA- GEN/29273/2017, and FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-028341. Work co-funded by the projects NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-000046 and NORTE-01-0246-FEDER-000063, supported by Norte Portugal Regional Operational Programme (NORTE2020), under the PORTUGAL 2020 Partnership Agreement, through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). MGV was supported by UID/ BIA/50027/2013, POCI-01-0145-FEDER-006821, and UID/BIA/50027/2019. AMF was supported by FCT contract CEECIND/02765/2017.

Data Availability

The sequence datasets generated for this study can be found in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) repository under the PRJEB53437 accession number (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB53437).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The saliva samples and personal data were collected with the written informed consent of all participants and the permission of local authorities, the Provincial Governments of Namibe and Kunene (Angola), and the Ministry of the Local Governance (Zimbabwe). Ethical approval for this study was obtained from CIBIO/InBIO-University of Porto, ISCED, the University of Zimbabwe, and the Tsoro-o-tso San Development Trust boards.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors report there are no competing interests or conflicts of interest to declare.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Material for this article can be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

Received: 31 March 2023 / Accepted: 4 August 2023

Published online: 18 August 2023

References

- Consortium HMP. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature. 2012;486(7402):207–14.
- Benn A, Heng N, Broadbent JM, Thomson WM. Studying the human oral microbiome: challenges and the evolution of solutions. Aust Dent J. 2018;63(1):14–24.
- Belstrøm D. The salivary microbiota in health and disease. J Oral Microbiol. 2020;12(1):1723975.
- Duran-Pinedo AE, Frias-Lopez J. Beyond microbial community composition: functional activities of the oral microbiome in health and disease. Microbes Infect. 2015;17(7):505–16.
- Acharya A, Chan Y, Kheur S, Jin LJ, Watt RM, Mattheos N. Salivary microbiome in non-oral disease: a summary of evidence and commentary. Arch Oral Biol. 2017;83:169–73.
- Verma D, Garg PK, Dubey AK. Insights into the human oral microbiome. Arch Microbiol. 2018;200(4):525–40.
- Chen H, Jiang W. Application of high-throughput sequencing in understanding human oral microbiome related with health and disease. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:508.
- Sultan AS, Kong EF, Rizk AM, Jabra-Rizk MA. The oral microbiome: a lesson in coexistence. PLoS Pathog. 2018;14(1):e1006719.
- Lu M, Xuan S, Wang Z. Oral microbiota: a new view of body health. Food Sci Hum Wellness. 2019;8(1):8–15.
- 10. Willis JR, Gabaldon T. The human oral Microbiome in Health and Disease: from sequences to Ecosystems. Microorganisms. 2020;8(2):308.
- 11. Nasidze I, Li J, Quinque D, Tang K, Stoneking M. Global diversity in the human salivary microbiome. Genome Res. 2009;19(4):636–43.
- 12. Nasidze I, Li J, Schroeder R, Creasey JL, Li M, Stoneking M. High diversity of the saliva microbiome in Batwa Pygmies. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(8):e23352.

- Mason MR, Nagaraja HN, Camerlengo T, Joshi V, Kumar PS. Deep sequencing identifies ethnicity-specific bacterial signatures in the oral microbiome. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(10):e77287.
- Belstrøm D, Holmstrup P, Nielsen CH, Kirkby N, Twetman S, Heitmann BL, et al. Bacterial profiles of saliva in relation to diet, lifestyle factors, and socioeconomic status. J Oral Microbiol. 2014;6:103402.
- De Filippis F, Vannini L, La Storia A, Laghi L, Piombino P, Stellato G, et al. The same microbiota and a potentially discriminant metabolome in the saliva of omnivore, ovo-lacto-vegetarian and vegan individuals. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(11):e112373.
- Li J, Quinque D, Horz HP, Li M, Rzhetskaya M, Raff JA, et al. Comparative analysis of the human saliva microbiome from different climate zones: Alaska, Germany, and Africa. BMC Microbiol. 2014;14:316.
- Takeshita T, Matsuo K, Furuta M, Shibata Y, Fukami K, Shimazaki Y, et al. Distinct composition of the oral indigenous microbiota in south korean and japanese adults. Sci Rep. 2014;4:6990.
- Demmitt BA, Corley RP, Huibregtse BM, Keller MC, Hewitt JK, McQueen MB, et al. Genetic influences on the human oral microbiome. BMC Genomics. 2017;18(1):659.
- Keller MK, Kressirer CA, Belstrøm D, Twetman S, Tanner ACR. Oral microbial profiles of individuals with different levels of sugar intake. J Oral Microbiol. 2017;9(1):1355207.
- Lassalle F, Spagnoletti M, Fumagalli M, Shaw L, Dyble M, Walker C, et al. Oral microbiomes from hunter-gatherers and traditional farmers reveal shifts in commensal balance and pathogen load linked to diet. Mol Ecol. 2018;27(1):182–95.
- Lokmer A, Aflalo S, Amougou N, Lafosse S, Froment A, Tabe FE, et al. Response of the human gut and saliva microbiome to urbanization in Cameroon. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):2856.
- Allali I, Abotsi RE, Tow LA, Thabane L, Zar HJ, Mulder NM, et al. Human microbiota research in Africa: a systematic review reveals gaps and priorities for future research. Microbiome. 2021;9(1):241.
- Kidd JM, Sharpton TJ, Bobo D, Norman PJ, Martin AR, Carpenter ML, et al. Exome capture from saliva produces high quality genomic and metagenomic data. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:262.
- 24. Pinto JC, Oliveira S, Teixeira S, Martins D, Fehn AM, Aço T, et al. Food and pathogen adaptations in the Angolan Namib desert: tracing the spread of lactase persistence and human african trypanosomiasis resistance into southwestern Africa. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2016;161(3):436–47.
- Oliveira S, Fehn AM, Aço T, Lages F, Gayà-Vidal M, Pakendorf B, et al. Matriclans shape populations: insights from the Angolan Namib Desert into the maternal genetic history of southern Africa. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2018;165(3):518–35.
- Oliveira S, Hübner A, Fehn AM, Aço T, Lages F, Pakendorf B, et al. The role of matrilineality in shaping patterns of Y chromosome and mtDNA sequence variation in southwestern Angola. Eur J Hum Genet. 2019;27(3):475–83.
- 27. Almeida J, Fehn AM, Ferreira M, Machado T, Hagemeijer T, Rocha J, et al. The genes of freedom: genome-wide insights into Marronage, admixture and ethnogenesis in the Gulf of Guinea. Genes. 2021;12(6):833.
- Oliveira S, Fehn A-M, Amorim B, Stoneking M, Rocha J. Genome wide variation in the Angolan Namib desert reveals unique Pre-Bantu ancestry. Preprint at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.02.16.528838v1 (2023).
- Tishkoff SA, Reed FA, Friedlaender FR, Ehret C, Ranciaro A, Froment A, et al. The genetic structure and history of Africans and African Americans. Science. 2009;324(5930):1035–44.
- Pickrell JK, Patterson N, Loh PR, Lipson M, Berger B, Stoneking M, et al. Ancient west eurasian ancestry in southern and eastern Africa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(7):2632–7.
- Schlebusch CM, Malmström H, Günther T, Sjödin P, Coutinho A, Edlund H, et al. Southern african ancient genomes estimate modern human divergence to 350,000 to 260,000 years ago. Science. 2017;358(6363):652–5.
- 32. Fehn AM, Amorim B, Rocha J. The linguistic and genetic landscape of southern Africa. J Anthropol Sci. 2022;100:243–65.
- Rocha J, Fehn AM. Genetics and Demographic History of the Bantu. In ELS, W. & Sons, Ed. 2016; pp.1–9.
- 34. Bostoen K. The Bantu expansion. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of African History, (Oxford University Press, 2018).
- Mendelsohn JM, Mendelson S. Sudoeste de Angola: um retrato da terra e da vida. South West Angola: a portrait of land and life. Windhoek: Raison; 2018.
- 36. Bollig M, Hunters, Foragers, Smiths S. The Metamorphosis of Peripatetic peoples in Africa. Customary Strangers. New Perspectives on Peripatetic peoples in the Middle East, Africa, and Asia; 2004; pp.195–231.

- Estermann C. The ethnography of southwestern Angola, volume I: the nonbantu peoples; the Ambo ethnic group. Ed. by Gordon Gibson New York & London: Africana; 1976.
- Estermann C. The ethnography of southwestern Angola, volume III: the herero people. Ed. by Gordon Gibson New York & London: Africana; 1982.
- MacCalman H, Grobbelaar B. Preliminary report of two stoneworking OvaTjimba groups in the northern Kaokoveld of South West Africa. Windhoek: Staatsmuseum; 1965.
- Güldemann T. A linguist's view: Khoe-Kwadi speakers as the earliest foodproducers of southern Africa. South Afr Humanit. 2008;20:93–132.
- Snyman Ernst J, Westphal O. "The age of 'Bushman' languages in southern African pre-history" in Bushman and Hottentot Linguistic Studies, J. W. Snyman, Ed. (University of South Africa, 1980). 1980;59–79.
- 42. Hitchcock RK, Begbie-Clench B, Murwira A. The San in Zimbabwe: livelihoods, land and human rights. Copenhagen: International Work Group for Indigenous Affairs (IWGIA); Johannesburg: Open Society Initiative for Southern Africa (OSISA); and Harare. University of Zimbabwe; 2016. Report N° 22.
- Joshi N, Fass J, Sickle. A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for FastQ files. 2011.
- Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. 2013.
- 45. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(16):2078–9.
- Schmieder R, Edwards R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(6):863–4.
- 47. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(6):841–2.
- Human Microbiome Jumpstart Reference Strains Consortium, Nelson KE, Weinstock GM, Highlander SK, Worley KC, Creasy HH, et al. A catalog of reference genomes from the human microbiome. Science. 2010;328(5981):994–9.
- 49. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215(3):403–10.
- Team R, RStudio. Integrated Development for R. RStudio. Boston, MA: PBC; 2021.
- 51. Shannon C. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. Bell Syst Tech J. 1948;27(3):379–423.
- 52. Oksanen J, Blanchet F, Friendly M, Kindt R, LLegendre P, McGlinn D. Package "vegan": Community Ecology Package. 2020.
- 53. Bray JR, Curtis JT. An ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of Southern Wisconsin. Ecol Monogr. 1957;27:325–49.
- 54. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550.
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Waste not, want not: why rarefying microbiome data is inadmissible. PLoS Comput Biol. 2014;10(4):e1003531.
- Venables W, Ripley B. Package "MASS. 2002. http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/ MASS4/.
- 57. Dray S, Dufour A-B. The "ade4" Package: implementing the duality Diagram for Ecologists. J Stat Softw. 2007;22(4).
- Kassambara A, Mundt F, Factoextra. Extract and Visualize the Results of Multivariate Data Analyses. R Package Version 1.0.7. 2017.
- Caselli E, Fabbri C, D'Accolti M, Soffritti I, Bassi C, Mazzacane, et al. Defining the oral microbiome by whole-genome sequencing and resistome analysis: the complexity of the healthy picture. BMC Microbiol. 2020;20(1):120.
- 60. Reynolds J, Weir BS, Cockerham CC. Estimation of the coancestry coefficient: basis for a short-term genetic distance. Genetics. 1983;105(3):767–79.
- 61. Felsenstein J. PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) version 3.6. 2005.

- 62. Mantel N. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer Res. 1967;27(2):209–20.
- 63. Takeshita T, Kageyama S, Furuta M, Tsuboi H, Takeuchi K, Shibata Y, et al. Bacterial diversity in saliva and oral health-related conditions: the Hisayama Study. Sci Rep. 2016;6:22164.
- 64. Willis JR, González-Torres P, Pittis AA, Bejarano LA, Cozzuto L, Andreu-Somavilla N, et al. Citizen science charts two major "stomatotypes" in the oral microbiome of adolescents and reveals links with habits and drinking water composition. Microbiome. 2018;6(1):218.
- 65. Bourdichon F, Casaregola S, Farrokh C, Frisvad JC, Gerds ML, Hammes WP, et al. Food fermentations: microorganisms with technological beneficial use. Int J Food Microbiol. 2012;154(3):87–97.
- 66. Holzapfel WH, Brian JB. Wood. Lactic acid bacteria: biodiversity and taxonomy. John Wiley & Sons; 2014.
- Rungsri P, Akkarachaneeyakorn N, Wongsuwanlert M, Piwat S, Nantarakchaikul P, Teanpaisan R. Effect of fermented milk containing Lactobacillus rhamnosus SD11 on oral microbiota of healthy volunteers: a randomized clinical trial. J Dairy Sci. 2017;100(10):7780–7.
- Dassi E, Ferretti P, Covello G, HTM-CMB-2015, Bertorelli R, Denti MA, et al. The short-term impact of probiotic consumption on the oral cavity microbiome. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):10476.
- 69. Bollig M. Risk Management in a hazardous environment: a comparative study of two Pastoral Societies. New York: Springer; 2006.
- Davin-Regli A, Lavigne JP, Pagès JM. Enterobacter spp.: update on taxonomy, clinical aspects, and emerging Antimicrobial Resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2019;32(4):e00002–19.
- Bozzi Cionci N, Baffoni L, Gaggìa F, Di Gioia D. Therapeutic Microbiology: the role of *Bifidobacterium breve* as Food supplement for the Prevention/Treatment of Paediatric Diseases. Nutrients. 2018;10(11):1723.
- Zaura E, Keijser BJ, Huse SM, Crielaard W. Defining the healthy "core microbiome" of oral microbial communities. BMC Microbiol. 2009;9:259.
- Rabe A, Gesell Salazar M, Michalik S, Fuchs S, Welk A, Kocher T, et al. Metaproteomics analysis of microbial diversity of human saliva and tongue dorsum in young healthy individuals. J Oral Microbiol. 2019;11(1):1654786.
- Back-Brito GN, El Ackhar VN, Querido SM, dos Santos SS, Jorge AO, Reis Ade S, et al. Staphylococcus spp., Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonadaceae oral isolates from brazilian HIV-positive patients. Correlation with CD4 cell counts and viral load. Arch Oral Biol. 2011;56(10):1041–6.
- Hegde MC, Kumar A, Bhat G, Sreedharan S. Oral microflora: a comparative study in HIV and normal patients. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014;66(Suppl 1):126–32.
- Kistler JO, Arirachakaran P, Poovorawan Y, Dahlén G, Wade WG. The oral microbiome in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive individuals. J Med Microbiol. 2015;64(9):1094–101.
- Coker MO, Mongodin EF, El-Kamary SS, Akhigbe P, Obuekwe O, Omoigberale A, et al. Immune status, and not HIV infection or exposure, drives the development of the oral microbiota. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):10830.
- Li S, Su B, He QS, Wu H, Zhang T. Alterations in the oral microbiome in HIV infection: causes, effects and potential interventions. Chin Med J (Engl). 2021;134(23):2788–98.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.