RESEARCH

Open Access





Sensitive and rapid detection of tet(X2) ~ tet(X5) by loop-mediated isothermal amplification based on visual OTG dye

Guiling Chen^{1†}, Lulin Chen², Sisi Lin², Congzhu Yang¹, Huanlin Liang¹, Kuang Huang², Zhusheng Guo^{2*} and Fei Lv^{1*}

Abstract

The emergence of tigecycline-resistant tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes poses a new threat to the efficacy of anti-infective therapy and the safety of our food and environment. To control the transfer of such genes, a sensitive and rapid molecular method is warranted to detect tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes in clinical isolates. Herein, we established a loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay to rapidly detect tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes, and the results were assessed by chromogenic visualization. The specificity and sensitivity of the primers during the LAMP assay for the simultaneous detection of tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes were determined in this study. All 48 clinical strains without tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes yielded negative results during the LAMP assay, substantiating the high specificity of the LAMP primers. The detection thresholds of this assay were 1.5×10^2 CFU/ml and 0.2 fg/uL corresponding to a 10 to 100-fold and 100-fold increase in sensitivity compared to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays. Out of 52 bacterial strains tested, using PCR as a reference, our research revealed that the LAMP assay demonstrated a sensitivity and specificity of 100%. To sum up, our novel approach has huge prospects for application in the simultaneous detection of tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes and can be applied to detect other drug-resistance genes.

Keywords Tet(X2/X3/X4/X5), Tigecycline resistance, LAMP, Rapid Detection

[†]Guiling Chen contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Zhusheng Guo gzs_2012@163.com Fei Lv lv_fzy@163.com ¹Department of Clinical Laboratory, DongGuan SongShan Lake Tungwah Hospital, Dongguan, Guangdong, China ²Department of Clinical Laboratory, DongGuan Tungwah Hospital, Dongguan, Guangdong, China

Introduction

Tigecycline is widely acknowledged to be a 9-t-butylglycylamido derivative of minocycline. The chemical modification at the C-9 position in the D-loop results in enhanced binding to targets and more effective evasion from common tetracycline resistance mechanisms compared to earlier tetracyclines (tetracycline, doxycycline, and minocycline) [1]. Thus, tigecycline displays broadspectrum antimicrobial activity against multidrug-resistant (MDR)/extensive drug-resistant (XDR) organisms and is one of the antibiotics of last resort for treating complex infections evoked by MDR gram-negative and gram-positive pathogens [2]. However, bacterial resistance has emerged following the extensive application of



© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

tigecycline. In 2019, tet(X3) and tet(X4), a novel plasmidmediated tigecycline resistance mechanism-tetracycline modifying enzyme, were reported in Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter in China, which showed 85.1 and 94.3% homology to tet(X), respectively [3]. A plasmidmediated tet(X5) was subsequently reported, showing 90.0%, 84.5%, and 90.5% homology to tet(X2), tet(X3), and tet(X4) [4]. It has been established that tet(X3/X4/X5) confers high-level resistance to tigecycline in bacteria and is not only located in conjugative plasmids (IncFIB IncFII, IncFIA, IncHIA and IncHIB replicon types) [3, 5, 6], but also found adjacent to insertion sequences (ISs) [3, 4, 7], which mediate the horizontal transfer of resistance between different strains and genera. Additionally, tet(X2) (1167 bp) on the chromosome (almost identical to tet(X) (99.8%)) and tet(X3/X4) on plasmids have been detected in clinical samples from Zhejiang Hospital, China, between 1994 and 2019 indicating the potential endemicity of tet(X2/X3/X4) in China [8].

Over the years, tet(X3/X4/X5) genes on plasmids have been documented in different ecological niches, including livestock, environment, and meat for consumption. Current evidence suggests that tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) is most common in China, with an escalation in annual reports representing a formidable challenge to human health and food security [7–10]. Therefore, a convenient, rapid, and simple method suitable for various applications is urgently required to detect tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes in various samples.

In recent years, a multitude of molecular methods have been developed for the detection of tet(X) and its mutants, such as RNA-based antibiotic susceptibility testing (RBAST) [11], multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [12], MALDI-TOF MS [13], the tetracycline inactivation method assay (TIM) [9], a TaqMan-based multiplex real-time PCR assay [14], a multiplex real-time SYBR green-based PCR assay [15], and combined with PCR and Sanger sequencing [16], etc. Although these methods exhibit enhanced detection in terms of rapidity, sensitivity, and specificity, they may not be suitable for large-scale applications given their complexity to operate, dependence on specialized and expensive equipment, lengthy detection time, and high costs. Therefore, another rapid and simple assay, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), has been developed to complement the existing PCR methods [17].

LAMP is a nucleic acid detection technique first reported in 2000 that can amplify DNA with Bst DNA polymerase under isothermal conditions and allow autocycling strand displacement DNA synthesis. This method can recognize 6–8 different sequences in the target DNA using 4–6 primers, contributing to high specificity and amplification efficiency and its wide application for pathogen detection in infectious diseases [18]. LAMP includes turbidimetry, HNB dye, SYBR green dye, fluorometry, and visual OTG (orange to green) dye, of which HNB dye and SYBR green dye are the most commonly used. Indeed, it is less accurate to judge the results of the turbidimetric method by the naked eye. Accordingly, a turbidimeter is often required to determine the change in turbidity, with a theoretical detection threshold of 10 to 100 copies. It is well-established that the HNB dye and SYBR green dye methods are complicated to operate since they require the manual addition of enzymes, buffers, and dNTPs. Indeed, the cover must be open, and the HNB and SYBR green dyes are added at the end of the reaction, which can easily result in aerosol contamination, giving a theoretical detection limit of 10 to 50 copies. Fluorometry has the highest sensitivity but requires specialized instruments to read fluorescence values, with a theoretical detection limit of one copy. In recent years, an easy-to-operate visual OTG dye kit with premix on enzymes, buffers, and dNTPs has been developed, only requiring the addition of primers and DNA templates. Additionally, the OTG dye is coated on the tube cap, and after the LAMP reaction, the results can be visually interpreted by mixing the product with the tube cap dye, yielding a theoretical detection limit of 10 copies [19, 20].

Herein, a LAMP method based on visual OTG dye was designed and developed for the simultaneous detection of tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) in this study. We optimized the sample processing procedures, which enabled us to complete the whole process from sample processing to result reading within 1 h and accurately interpret the results by the naked eye based on a color change; it was easy to operate, only requiring thermostatic equipment, and suitable for large-scale testing such as community hospitals.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and preparation of templates

In this study, A total of 48 bacterial strains were obtained from different departments at the DongGuan Song-Shan Lake Tungwah Hospital (Dongguan, Guangdong, China). These samples were obtained from 2021 to 2022. The 48 bacterial strains without the tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) gene were determined by PCR, including panresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae KPN142 (Efflux pump overexpression-mediated resistance to tigecycline) [21], carbapenem-resistant, tigecycline-resistant hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae KPN857-2 (Efflux pump and *tet*(*A*) overexpression-mediated resistance to tigecycline), multi-drug resistant hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae HvKP247 [22], and Acinetobacter baumannii Aba912 [23] were used as negative controls. Recombinant bacteria DH5α-pUC57-tet(X2), DH5α-pUC57-tet(X3), DH5αpUC57-tet(X4), and DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X5) were adopted as positive controls (Supplementary Table 1).

	1															2	5																																		72
1. tet(X2)	ATG	AC	A A	TC	C	G A	Α	T	A G	A	Т	AC	A	G	A	CA	A	A	C A	A A	A	Т	G /	A A	Т	Т	T A	A C	Т	Т	A G	Т	G	A T	A	A	G A	A	CC	ЭT	Т	GC	C A	A	ГА	A	ТТ	G	GΤ	G	GΤ
2. tet(X3)	ATG	A C	A A	TG	С	G A	Α	Т	A G	A	Т	A C	A	G	A	C A	A	A	CA	A A	A	Т	G /	A A	Т	Т	TA	A C	Т	T	A G	Т	G	A T	A	A	G A	A	CO	ЭT	Т	GC	C A	A T	ΓА	A	ТТ	G	GT	G	GΤ
3. tet(X4)				- A	Т	G A	G	C	A -	A	Т	A A	A	G	A	A A	A	A	CA	A A	A	Т	G /	AA	Т	Т	TA	AC	Т	Т	A G	Т	G	A T	A	A	G A	A	CO	ЭT	Т	GC	CA	A T	ГА	A	ТТ	G	GΤ	G	GΤ
4. tet(X5)	A T G	A C	A A	TG	C	G A	Α	Т	A G	A	Т	A C	A	G	A	C A	A	A	C /	A A	A	Т	G /	A A	Т	Т	TA	A C	Т	T	A G	Т	G	A T	A	A	G A	A	CO	ЭT	Т	G	CA	A 7	ГА	A	ТТ	G	GΤ	G	GΤ
	73																																																		216
1. tet(X2)	GGA	СС	CG	ΤТ	G	G A	C	Т	3 A	C	Т	A T	G	G	C /	A A	A	A	ΤΊ	C A	Т	Т	AC	C A	G	C.	A A	AA	A	C	G G	С	A 1	ΓA	G	A	C G	Т	т 1	C C	A	G T	Т	TA	A C	G	A A	A	G A	G	A C
2. tet(X3)	GGA	сс	CG	тт	G	G A	C	Т	3 A	C	Т	A T	G	G	C /	A A	A	A	ΤТ	r A	Т	Т	A	C A	G	C	A A	AA	A	C	G G	С	A	Γ A	G	A	C G	Т	ТТ	C	A	G T	Т	TA	AC	G	A A	A	G A	G	AC
3. tet(X4)	GGA	cc	CG	тт	G	G A	C	т	3 A	C	Т	A T	G	G	C /	A A	A	A	ТТ	C A	Т	T	A	C A	G	C.	A A	AA	A	C	G G	С	A	Γ A	G	A	C G	Т	ΤΊ	C C	A	G T	Т	T	AC	G	A A	A	G A	G	AC
4. tet(X5)	GGA	сс	CG	ΤТ	G	G A	C	Т	3 A	C	Т	A T	G	G	C /	A A	A	A	ΤТ	r A	Т	Т	AC	C A	G	C.	A A	AA	A	С	G G	С	A	A	G	A	C G	Т	ΤΊ	C	A	GI	Т	T /	AC	G	AA	A	G A	G	A C
	145																																																		288
1. tet(X2)	AAC	G A	СС	G A	G	AG	G	C /	A A	G	A	A T	Т	T	T	ГС	G	Т	GC	3 A	A	С	С	ст	Т	G	A	c c	Т	A	C A	C	A	A A	G	G	ΓТ	С	A	G G	Т	CA	A G	G /	A A	G	C A	A	T G	A	A A
2. tet(X3)	AAC	G A	СС	G A	G	AG	G	C	A A	G	A	A T	Т	T	T	ГС	G	Т	GC	G A	A	С	С	СТ	Т	G	A	CC	Т	A	C A	С	A	A A	G	G	ΓТ	С	A	G G	Т	C /	A G	G /	A A	G	CA	A	ΤG	A	A A
3. tet(X4)	AAC	GA	СС	G A	G	A G	G	C	A A	G	A	A T	Т	Т	T	ГС	G	Т	GC	G A	A	С	С	СТ	Т	G	A	CC	Т	A	C A	С	A	A A	G	G	ΓТ	С	A	G G	Т	C /	4 G	G /	A A	G	CA	A	T G	A	AA
4. tet(X5)	AAC	GA	СС	G A	G	AG	G	C	A A	G	A	A T	Т	T	T	ГС	G	Т	GC	3 A	A	С	С	СТ	Т	G	A	СС	Т	A	C A	C	A	A A	G	G	ΓТ	С	AC	G G	Т	C /	4 G	G /	A A	G	CA	A	ΤG	A	A A
	217															31	3																																		360
1. tet(X2)	AAT	AT	ТТ	T A	Т	сс	A	C	A A	A	A	A A	Т	G	Т	A A	A	G	СС	CO	G	A	AA	A A	Т	С	G /	A T	Т	Т	G A	C	A	ΑT	С	C	ΓG	A	AA	ΑT	Α	A A	A C	A	G A	A	ΑT	G	A C	Т	ΤА
2. tet(X3)	AAT	AT	ТТ	T A	Т	сс	A	C /	AA	A	A	A A	T	G	Т	A A	A	G	С	c c	G	A	AA	A A	Т	С	G /	A T	Т	Т	G A	C	A	A T	с	C '	T G	A	A	AT	A	A	A C	A	G A	A	A T	G	AC	Т	ТА
3. tet(X4)	AAT	AT	ТТ	T A	Т	сс	A	C /	A A	A	A	A A	Т	G	Т	A A	A	G	с	c c	G	A	AA	A A	Т	С	G /	A T	Т	Т	G A	C	A	A T	С	C :	T G	A	A	A T	A	A	A C	A	G A	A	AT	G	A C	Т	ТА
4. tet(X5)	AAT	AT	ТТ	T A	Т	сс	A	C /	A A	A	A	A A	T	G	Т	A A	G	A	СС	c c	G	A	AA	A A	Т	С	G 🕽	ГТ	Т	Т	G A	C	A	A T	С	C '	T G	A	AA	A T	A	A A	A C	A	G A	A	A T	G	A C	Т	ΤA

Fig. 1 The common conserved sequences of tet(X2), tet(X3), tet(X4), and tet(X5) genes at the base loci 25–313

 Table 1
 The primers of LAMP assay and PCR assay for tet(X2), tet(X3), tet(X4), and tet(X5) genes

Gene	Primer	Sequence (5′–3′)	7 _m (℃)	size (bp)	Reference
tet(X2/X3/X4/X5)	F3	GTTGGACTGACTATGGCA	51	-	This study
	B3	ACCCATTGGTAAGGCTAAG	51		This study
	FIP	CCTCTCGGTCGTTGTCTCTTTAAATTATTACAGCAAAACGGCA	65		This study
	BIP	CAAGAATTTTTGGTGGAACCCTTGTAAGTTTGTAACAATCCCGCTT	65		This study
	LB	CAAAGGTTCAGGTCAGGAAGCAATG	58		This study
tet(X2)	Forward	CGGGATGTCCAAGGTAAGAAAA	54	434	[12]
	Reverse	TGACAACGTCGTATGAATCAA	51		
tet(X3)	Forward	GGTATCAACATTTCAATGCTTG	49	265	[12]
	Reverse	CGATTCGTCCTGCGTATCTTTTG	56		
tet(X4)	Forward	CTGATTCGTGTGACATCATCTTTTG	53	204	[12]
	Reverse	GTTAAATTTCCCATTGGTCAGATTA	50		
tet(X5)	Forward	TGCCGTTGACCTACACAAAGG	57	161	[15]
	Reverse	TGTCAAAACGATTTTCGGGTC	53		

Primer design

The sequences of tet(X2), tet(X3), tet(X4), and tet(X5)genes were retrieved from the GenBank database (accession number: AJ311171.1, MK134375.1, MK134376.1, and CP040912.1); the sequences of tet(X2), tet(X3), tet(X4), and tet(X5) genes were aligned using the MEGA-X software. A common conserved sequence was found at the base loci 25-313 with tet(X2) as a reference (Fig. 1). Therefore, the Primer Explorer (version 5) software (http://primerexplorer.jp/lampv5e/index.html) was employed to design specific primers, the outer forward/backward primer (F3/B3), forward/backward inner primer (FIP/BIP), additional loop primers (LF/LB) (Table 1). The primers of tet(X2), tet(X3), tet(X4), and tet(X5) used for PCR were based on previous reports (Table 1). The tet(X2), tet(X3), tet(X4), and tet(X5) gene sequences and all primers were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

Preparation of bacterial suspension and DNA extraction

DH5α-pUC57-tet(X2), DH5α-pUC57-tet(X3), DH5αpUC57-tet(X4), DH5α-pUC57-tet(X5), and 48 bacteria without the tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) gene bacterial suspension at 0.5 McF (approximately 1.5×10^8 CFU/mL) were prepared. Total DNA was extracted using DNA-EZ Reagents V All-DNA-Out (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd) according to the manufacturer's instructions, which can be applied to insects, fungi, plants, animals, forensic samples (including whole blood, blood stain, seminal stain, saliva, hair, tissue samples, and buccal cells), paraffin-embedded tissue sections, etc. The prepared bacterial suspension was centrifuged at 11,340 g for 5 min. After removal of the supernatant, the precipitate was re-suspended with the addition of 40 µL sterilized ddH₂O. Then, 5 µl of resuspension was added to 45 µl of DNA-EZ Reagents and incubated at $80\,^\circ\!\mathrm{C}$ for 5 min for total DNA extraction. Ultimately, 2 µL extracted bacterial suspension was used as a template for LAMP assay, and 1 μ L bacterial lysate was adopted as a template for PCR.

Plasmid DNA was obtained from DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X2), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X3), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X4), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X5) using the TaKaRa MiniBEST Plasmid Purification Kit Ver.4.0 (Takara) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Ultimately, 2 µL plasmid DNA was used as a template for LAMP assay, and 1 µL plasmid DNA was adopted as a template for PCR.

LAMP assay

The Lyophilized LAMP Kit (Visual OTG Dye, Beijing Baiao Laibo Technology Co., Ltd, Beijing, China) was adopted for LAMP assay. The LAMP assay was carried out in 20 μ L reaction mixtures containing the following components: 15 μ L LAMP OTG Reagent, 3 μ L LAMP Primer Mix, and 2 μ L DNA template. LAMP Primer Mix concentration: 2 μ M F3/2 μ M B3, 16 μ M FIP/16 μ M BIP, 8 μ M LF/8 μ M LB. The LAMP assay was implemented with a reaction temperature of 65 °C and a reaction time of 45 minus per the manufacturer's instructions. The results could be interpreted by the naked eye, with a positive result indicated by a color change from orange to green and a negative result indicated by the color remaining orange. The experiment was repeated three times to ensure accuracy and consistency.

PCR assay

The PCR assay was conducted to compare the sensitivity of the LAMP assay for tet(X2), tet(X3), tet(X4), and tet(X5) gene detection using the reported primers. The PCR assay was performed in 50 µL reaction mixtures containing the following components: 25 µL 2X SanTaq PCR Mix (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd), 1 µL DNA template, 1 µL forward primer (10 µmol/L), 1 µL reverse primer (10 µmol/L), sterilized ddH₂O supplemented to 50 µL. The reaction conditions consisted of pre-denaturation at 94°C (5 min), denaturation at 94°C (30 s), annealing at T_m (30 s), extension at 72°C, 30 s), and final extension at 72°C (7 min), 30 cycles in total. PCR products were analyzed by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Spiked urine specimens, blood specimens, and cerebrospinal fluid specimens

An amount of 1.5×10^8 bacteria of DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X2), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X3), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X4), and DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X5) were spiked in 1000 μ L of clinical specimens (including urine specimens, blood specimens, and cerebrospinal fluid specimens), respectively. Consequently, the manipulation of the sample was validated by relevant literature [19]. The sediment of these samples was mixed and re-suspended with 50 μ L of DNA-EZ reagent, then 2 μ L extracted bacterial suspension was subjected to the LAMP assay template [24].

Results

Specificity and sensitivity of the LAMP assay for tet(X2/X3/X4/X5)

The 48 bacterial strains and blank controls were used as negative controls to test the specificity of the LAMP assay for the *tet*(*X2*), *tet*(*X3*), *tet*(*X4*), and *tet*(*X5*) genes, respectively. To evaluate the sensitivity of LAMP Assay for the detection of *tet*(*X2*), *tet*(*X3*), *tet*(*X4*), and *tet*(*X5*) genes, the present study used DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X2), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X3), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X4), and DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X5) as positive controls. Extracted bacterial suspension and plasmid DNA were assayed in a 10-fold dilution series ranging from 1.5×10^6 CFU/ml to 1.5×10^1 CFU/ml and from 2 pg/µL to 0.02 fg/µL, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 2, the LAMP assays yielded positive results only when DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X2), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X3), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X4), and DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X5) were used as templates. Importantly, 48 bacteria without the tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) gene (including the blank control) were negative, indicating that the LAMP assay was highly specific for tet(X2/X3/X4/X5).

The thresholds of LAMP assay for DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X2), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X3), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X4), and DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X5) were 1.5×10^2 CFU/ml, 10 to 100-fold more sensitive than PCR. Besides, the thresholds of LAMP assay for plasmid DNA of pUC57-tet(X2), pUC57-tet(X3), pUC57-tet(X4), and pUC57-tet(X5) were 0.2 fg/µL, 100-fold more sensitive than PCR.

The thresholds of PCR assay for DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X2), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X3), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X4), and DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X5) were 1.5×10^3 CFU/ml, 1.5×10^3 CFU/ml, 1.5×10^3 CFU/ml, and 1.5×10^4 CFU/ml, respectively. Besides, the thresholds of PCR assay for plasmid DNA of pUC57-tet(X2), pUC57-tet(X3), pUC57-tet(X4), and pUC57-tet(X5) were 20 fg/µL.

The LAMP method was compared to PCR, the gold standard, for 52 samples. The results are presented in Supplementary Table 2. The LAMP assay was employed to identify the presence of tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes, which yielded a total of four positive strains (DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X2), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X3), DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X4), and DH5 α -pUC57-tet(X5)) and 48 negative strains. In general, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of LAMP assay to detect tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes were 100% (CI: 39.6–100%), 100% (CI: 90.7–100%), 100% (CI: 56.1–100%), and 100% (CI: 90.8–100%), respectively (Supplementary Table 2).

Evaluation of the lamp assay in spiked urine specimens, blood specimens, and cerebrospinal fluid specimens

LAMP assays were applied to urine specimens, blood specimens, and cerebrospinal fluid specimens spiked with different amounts of tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) bacteria.



Fig. 2 1) LAMP assay specificity for tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) among 16 of 48 bacteria without the tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) gene. 2–5) sensitivities of LAMP assay (**A** and **B**) and PCR (**C** and **D**) for tet(X2/X3/X4/X5). Lane M, Ladder H1-K (100 ~ 3000 bp) DNA marker. In **A** and **C**, lane 1–7, serial 10-fold dilutions of bacterial lysates from 10⁶ CFU/mL to 10¹ CFU/mL. Lane 8, negative (water). In **B** and **D**, lane 1–7, serial 10-fold dilutions of plasmid DNA from 2 pg/µL to 0.02 fg/µL. Lane 8, negative (water)

PCR was also carried out as a control. The results showed that the detection limit of the LAMP assay for urine specimens, blood specimens, and cerebrospinal fluid specimens were 10^2 CFU/mL, showing 10 to 100-fold and 100-fold higher sensitivity than PCR. Thus, LAMP assays can be efficiently applied to detection of tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes in urine specimens, blood specimens, and cerebrospinal fluid specimens.

Discussion

Tigecycline is regarded as the last resort drug against bacterial infections and is mainly used to treat skin tissue infections, tumors, bacterial pneumonia, and complicated intra-abdominal infections [25]. In addition, tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) is most prevalent in China, with annual increases in its detection rate, posing a great challenge to human health and food security [8, 26].

Lei Xu et al. reported that Plumbagin, an inhibitor of tet(X3)/tet(X4), has shown much promise as a lead drug and an adjunct with tetracyclines to treat infections caused by bacteria, especially XDR bacteria harboring tet(X3)/tet(X4) [27]. Accordingly, the combination of Plumbagin and tigecycline may be used for treatment if the relevant genes are detected in the future. Therefore, we believe there is no need to type tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) because of highly homologous and the same resistance mechanism.

It is well-established that the detection of tet(X2/X3/ X4/X5) has significant value. Traditional PCR, multiplex PCR, quantitative fluorescence PCR, and TIM assays have high requirements for specialized instruments and equipment, costs, and laboratories, which are complicated to operate and unsuitable for large-scale detection in primary hospitals such as community hospitals. The present study developed a simple and specificity LAMP assay based on visual OTG dye. Universal primers were designed to simultaneously detect *tet*(*X2*), *tet*(*X3*), *tet*(*X4*), and *tet*(*X5*) using the LAMP assay; the detection limit was 1.5×10^2 CFU/ml and 0.2 fg/µL, showing 10 to 100-fold and 100-fold higher sensitivity than PCR, respectively.

And when the PCR detection limit is reached, the band is very faint, which can easily lead to false negative. Furthermore, our study optimized the total DNA extraction procedure, offering a theoretical basis for applying the LAMP assay to detect other clinical samples, such as blood. However, a limitation of our study is that tests were not conducted on clinical strains since we did not have a clinical strain harboring tet(X2/X3/X4/X5).

The LAMP assay had demonstrated a sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of 100%, indicating its potential as an effective means of detecting the tet(X2/X3/X4/X5)genes. Although the number of strains tested was limited, we remained confident in the method's capabilities. Currently, there was a limited amount of literature available on the use of fluorescence PCR for detecting tet(X2/X3/ X4/X5). Therefore, fluorescence PCR was not being utilized as a reference in this study. While Li et al. did use fluorescent PCR to detect tet(X3) and tet(X4) [28], the expression of the test results (copy/µL) was inconsistent, making it difficult to effectively compare. Although traditional PCR was used as a reference in this study, fluorescence PCR offered theoretical detection advantages. Laboratories equipped with professional instruments and equipment were recommended to use fluorescent PCR for detecting the target gene. However, the objective of this study was to target community hospitals lacking professional instruments. Therefore, the LAMP assay established in this study for detecting tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) remained significant.

A recent publication had introduced a new nucleic acids isothermal amplification technology named ladder-shape melting temperature isothermal amplification (LMTIA) that employed one or two pairs of primers and heat-stable DNA polymerase to amplify target genes. While currently focused on food detection, this method had shown comparable or superior sensitivity and specificity to both PCR and LAMP assays, suggesting its potential for future use in detecting microorganisms and drug resistance genes [29–33].

Conclusion

This study established a highly sensitive and specific LAMP assay for tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) gene detection. The LAMP assay is specific and simple for detecting other drug-resistance genes, showing advantages over traditional PCR, turbidimetry, HNB dye, and SYBR green dye. This approach has huge prospects for application in the routine screening of clinical drug-resistant bacteria. To our knowledge, this study is the first to use LAMP technology based on visual OTG dye to simultaneously detect tet(X2/X3/X4/X5) genes.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-023-02944-4.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

DongGuan Tungwah Hospital and DongGuan SongShan Lake Tungwah Hospital belong to the same hospital. Part of the results presented here were developed with the help of Department of Microbiology and Immunology of Basical Medicine, Guangdong Medical University.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation were performed by GLC, LLC, and SSL. Data collection and analysis were performed by GLC, CZY, HLL, and KH. Data analysis were performed by GLC, ZSG and FL. The first draft of the manuscript was written by GLC and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. LLC, and SSL prepared Figs. 1 and 2. CZY, HLL, and KH and prepared supplementary Tables 1-2 and Table 1. ZSG and FL finalized the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Dongguan Social Science and Technology Development (General) Project (20211800900702), and Dongguan Social Science and Technology Development (General) Project (20231800904962).

Data Availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no conficts of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The DongGuan SongShan Lake Tungwah Hospital. As this study only focused on bacteria alone and did not use any human material or patient information, the Review Board of the Ethics Committee of The DongGuan SongShan Lake Tungwah Hospital exempted this study from review and waived the need for informed consent. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Received: 10 March 2023 / Accepted: 13 July 2023 Published online: 06 November 2023

References

- Usui M, Fukuda A, Suzuki Y, Nakajima C, Tamura Y. Broad-host-range IncW plasmid harbouring tet(X) in Escherichia coli isolated from pigs in Japan. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2022;28:97–101.
- Cheng Q, Cheung Y, Liu C, Chan E, Wong KY, Zhang R, et al. Functional and phylogenetic analysis of TetX variants to design a new classification system. Commun Biol. 2022;5(1):522.
- He T, Wang R, Liu D, Walsh TR, Zhang R, Lv Y, et al. Emergence of plasmidmediated high-level tigecycline resistance genes in animals and humans. NAT MICROBIOL. 2019;4(9):1450–6.
- Wang L, Liu D, Lv Y, Cui L, Li Y, Li T et al. Novel plasmid-mediated tet(X5) gene conferring resistance to Tigecycline, Eravacycline, and Omadacycline in a clinical Acinetobacter baumannii isolate. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019; 64(1).
- Sun J, Chen C, Cui CY, Zhang Y, Liu X, Cui ZH, et al. Plasmid-encoded tet(X) genes that confer high-level tigecycline resistance in Escherichia coli. NAT MICROBIOL. 2019;4(9):1457–64.
- Zhai W, Tian Y, Lu M, Zhang M, Song H, Fu Y, et al. Presence of Mobile Tigecycline Resistance Gene tet(X4) in clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae. Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(1):e108121.
- Bai L, Du P, Du Y, Sun H, Zhang P, Wan Y et al. Detection of plasmid-mediated tigecycline-resistant gene tet(X4) in Escherichia coli from pork, Sichuan and Shandong provinces, China, February 2019. Euro Surveill. 2019; 24(25).
- Zhang R, Dong N, Shen Z, Zeng Y, Lu J, Liu C, et al. Epidemiological and phylogenetic analysis reveals Flavobacteriaceae as potential ancestral source of tigecycline resistance gene tet(X). NAT COMMUN. 2020;11(1):4648.
- 9. Cui ZH, Ni WN, Tang T, He B, Zhong ZX, Fang LX, et al. Rapid detection of plasmid-mediated high-level tigecycline resistance in Escherichia coli and Acinetobacter spp. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020;75(6):1479–83.
- Sun C, Cui M, Zhang S, Wang H, Song L, Zhang C, et al. Plasmid-mediated tigecycline-resistant gene tet(X4) in Escherichia coli from food-producing animals, China, 2008–2018. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2019;8(1):1524–7.
- Zhang H, Li Y, Jiang Y, Lu X, Li R, Peng D, et al. Rapid and Accurate Antibiotic susceptibility determination of tet(X)-Positive E. coli using RNA biomarkers. Microbiol Spectr. 2021;9(2):e64821.
- Ji K, Xu Y, Sun J, Huang M, Jia X, Jiang C, et al. Harnessing efficient multiplex PCR methods to detect the expanding Tet(X) family of tigecycline resistance genes. VIRULENCE. 2020;11(1):49–56.
- Zheng ZJ, Cui ZH, Diao QY, Ye XQ, Zhong ZX, Tang T, et al. MALDI-TOF MS for rapid detection and differentiation between Tet(X)-producers and nontet(X)-producing tetracycline-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. VIRULENCE. 2022;13(1):77–88.
- 14. Li Y, Shen Z, Ding S, Wang S. A TaqMan-based multiplex real-time PCR assay for the rapid detection of tigecycline resistance genes from bacteria, faeces and environmental samples. BMC MICROBIOL. 2020;20(1):174.
- Fu Y, Liu D, Song H, Liu Z, Jiang H, Wang Y. Development of a Multiplex Real-Time PCR assay for Rapid Detection of Tigecycline Resistance Gene tet(X) variants from bacterial, fecal, and environmental samples. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2020; 64(4).
- Zeng Y, Lu J, Liu C, Ling Z, Sun Q, Wang H, et al. A method for screening tigecycline-resistant gene tet(X) from human gut. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2021;24:29–31.
- Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H, Yonekawa T, Watanabe K, Amino N, et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. NUCLEIC ACIDS RES. 2000;28(12):E63.
- Parida M, Sannarangaiah S, Dash PK, Rao PV, Morita K. Loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP): a new generation of innovative gene amplification

technique; perspectives in clinical diagnosis of infectious diseases. REV MED VIROL. 2008;18(6):407–21.

- Zou D, Huang S, Lei H, Yang Z, Su Y, He X, et al. Sensitive and Rapid Detection of the plasmid-encoded colistin-resistance gene mcr-1 in Enterobacteriaceae isolates by Loop-Mediated isothermal amplification. FRONT MICROBIOL. 2017;8:2356.
- 20. Liu Z, Guo C, Zhang Y, Zhao L, Hao Z. Rapid and Sensitive Detection of the Colistin Resistance Gene mcr-3 by Loop-Mediated isothermal amplification and visual inspection. MICROB DRUG RESIST. 2021;27(10):1328–35.
- Lv F, Cai J, He Q, Wang W, Luo Y, Wang X, et al. Overexpression of Efflux Pumps Mediate Pan Resistance of Klebsiella pneumoniae sequence type 11. MICROB DRUG RESIST. 2021;27(10):1405–11.
- Lv F, Wang W, Luo Y, Wang H, Zhi T, Li X, et al. Genome-based analysis of a Multidrug-Resistant Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae. MICROB DRUG RESIST. 2022;28(8):853–60.
- Jiang L, Yu Y, Zeng W, Guo J, Lv F, Wang X, et al. Whole-genome analysis of New Delhi Metallo-Beta-Lactamase-1-producing Acinetobacter haemolyticus from China. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2020;20:204–8.
- Rivoarilala LO, Victor J, Crucitti T, Collard JM. LAMP assays for the simple and rapid detection of clinically important urinary pathogens including the detection of resistance to 3rd generation cephalosporins. BMC Infect Dis. 2021 Oct 6;21(1):1037.
- 25. Zhang S, Wen J, Wang Y, Wang M, Jia R, Chen S, et al. Dissemination and prevalence of plasmid-mediated high-level tigecycline resistance gene tet (X4). FRONT MICROBIOL. 2022;13:969769.
- Chen C, Cui CY, Wu XT, Fang LX, He Q, He B, et al. Spread of tet(X5) and tet(X6) genes in multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii strains of animal origin. VET MICROBIOL. 2021;253:108954.
- Xu L, Zhou Y, Niu S, Liu Z, Zou Y, Yang Y, et al. A novel inhibitor of monooxygenase reversed the activity of tetracyclines against tet(X3)/tet(X4)-positive bacteria. EBIOMEDICINE. 2022;78:103943.
- Li Y, Shen Z, Ding S, Wang S. A TaqMan-based multiplex real-time PCR assay for the rapid detection of tigecycline resistance genes from bacteria, faeces and environmental samples. BMC Microbiol. 2020;22(20):174.
- Wang Yongzhen B, Wang D, Wang. Detection of pork adulteration in beef with ladder-shape melting temperature isothermal amplification (LMTIA) assay. CyTA - Journal of Food. 2022;20(1):244–50.
- Wang D, Wang Y, Zhang M, Zhang Y, Sun J, Song C, et al. Ladder-shape melting temperature isothermal amplification of nucleic acids. Biotechniques. 2021;71(1):358–69.
- Deguo Wang Y, Wang M, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Sun C, Song, et al. Isothermal amplification of nucleic acids with ladder-shape melting curve. Biotechniques. 2021;71(1):358–69.
- Xiao F, Gu M, Zhang Y, Xian Y, Zheng Y, Zhang Y, et al. Detection of soybeanderived components in dairy products using proofreading enzyme-mediated probe cleavage coupled with ladder-shape melting temperature isothermal amplification (Proofman-LMTIA). Molecules. 2023;10(28):1685.
- Zhang Y, Wang Y, Ouyang X, Wang D, Xiao F, Sun J. Development of a Ladder-Shape Melting Temperature Isothermal Amplification (LMTIA) Assay for the Identification of Cassava Component in Sweet Potato Starch Noodles. Molecules. 2022; 25;27(11):3414.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.