### RESEARCH



# Whole genome sequencing of Salmonella enterica serovars isolated from humans, animals, and the environment in Lagos, Nigeria

Kabiru Olusegun Akinyemi<sup>1\*</sup>, Christopher Oladimeji Fakorede<sup>1</sup>, Jörg Linde<sup>2</sup>, Ulrich Methner<sup>2</sup>, Gamal Wareth<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Herbert Tomaso<sup>2</sup> and Heinrich Neubauer<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background** Salmonella infections remain an important public health issue worldwide. Some serovars of nontyphoidal Salmonella (NTS) have been associated with bloodstream infections and gastroenteritis, especially in children in Sub-Saharan Africa with circulating *S. enterica* serovars with drug resistance and virulence genes. This study identified and verified the clonal relationship of Nigerian NTS strains isolated from humans, animals, and the environment.

**Methods** In total, 2,522 samples were collected from patients, animals (cattle and poultry), and environmental sources between December 2017 and May 2019. The samples were subjected to a standard microbiological investigation. All the isolates were identified using Microbact 24E, and MALDI-TOF MS. The isolates were serotyped using the Kauffmann-White scheme. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was conducted using the disc diffusion method and the Vitek 2 compact system. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes, sequence type, and cluster analysis were investigated using WGS data.

**Results** Forty-eight (48) NTS isolates (1.9%) were obtained. The prevalence of NTS from clinical sources was 0.9%, while 4% was recorded for animal sources. The serovars identified were *S*. Cotham (n = 17), *S*. Give (n = 16), *S*. Mokola (n = 6), *S*. Abony (n = 4), *S*. Typhimurium (n = 4), and *S*. Senftenberg (n = 1). All 48 *Salmonella* isolates carried intrinsic and acquired resistant genes such as aac.6...laa, mdf(A), qnrB, qnrB19 genes and goIT, goIS, pcoA, and silP, mediated by plasmid Col440I\_1, incFIB.B and incFII. Between 100 and 118 virulence gene markers distributed across several *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands (SPIs), clusters, prophages, and plasmid operons were found in each isolate. WGS revealed that strains of each *Salmonella* serovar could be assigned to a single 7-gene MLST cluster, and strains within the clusters were identical strains and closely related as defined by the 0 and 10 cgSNPs and likely shared a common ancestor. The dominant sequence types were *S*. Give ST516 and *S*. Cotham ST617.

\*Correspondence: Kabiru Olusegun Akinyemi kabiru.akinyemi@lasu.edu.ng

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

**Conclusion** We found identical *Salmonella* sequence types in human, animal, and environmental samples in the same locality, which demonstrates the great potential of the applied tools to trace back outbreak strains. Strategies to control and prevent the spread of NTS in the context of one's health are essential to prevent possible outbreaks.

Keywords Salmonella, WGS, MLST, Virulence gene, Resistant gene, Serotyping, Nigeria

#### Background

Salmonellosis in humans is caused by Gram-negative zoonotic bacteria of the species Salmonella enterica and Salmonella bongori and remains an important public health problem worldwide. Salmonellosis accounts for 93.8 million cases of gastroenteritis, with an estimated 155,000 deaths recorded globally every single year [1]. Most cases of salmonellosis are acquired from contaminated food such as dairy and poultry products [2]. Of the more than 2,600 different serovars of S. enterica, only a few non-typhoidal serovars (NTS) are responsible for most human infections [2]. Salmonella Typhi and S. Paratyphi, which are human-restricted, cause systemic illness, i.e., typhoid or paratyphoid fever [3]. NTS serovars are diverse in their host range and vary in their pathogenicity [4]. For severe cases of salmonellosis like sepsis or SIRS (Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome), antibiotic treatment is indicated. Unfortunately, multidrug resistance (MDR) with resistance to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole has become a growing concern in NTS and some invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella (iNTS) infections [5]. Fluoroquinolone resistance in isolates from African countries has increased in recent decades, and resistance to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, particularly third- and fourth generation cephalosporins, was found in Nigeria [6]. The development of NTS isolates resistant to extended spectrum cephalosporins such as ceftriaxone represents another substantial public health issue [7]. In Africa, NTS strains appear to be different from those that cause diarrheal disease in industrialized countries and cause invasive disease with bacteraemia more often in children, with 4100 deaths per year [8, 9]. The role of animal reservoirs and human-to-human transmission of iNTS strains is unclear [10, 11]. The control of zoonotic diseases in Nigeria is difficult due to the diversity of reservoirs and lack of surveillance. Zoonotic pathogens can contaminate the environment, spill over into the food chain, and appear at any time during processing and post-processing procedures. 29% of the national burden of human disease has been linked to the environment in Nigeria, while the remaining 71% has been traced to diarrheal diseases, malaria, respiratory infections, etc. [11]. A high prevalence of *Salmonella* in commercial poultry farms (43.6%) was reported [12]. Products from cattle and poultry have been identified as major sources of human Salmonella infections in Nigeria [13]. Furthermore, poultry and poultry products are the major sources of protein for humans. The domestic industry (Poultry Industry) rose from an estimated 350,000 metric tons (MT) of eggs and 200,000 MT of poultry meat produced in 2003 to an estimated production of 650,000 MT of eggs and 290,000 MT of poultry meat in 2013 [14, 15]. The industry is estimated to be worth \$600 million, i.e., approximately 165 million birds in 2013. By 2015, these numbers had risen to about 180 million birds, with the bulk of the poultry production coming from backyard poultry farming. This increase is attributed to the ban on the import of chicken (excluding day-old chicks) in 2003 [14, 15]. A recent survey (2008-2015) on Salmonella bacteraemia in children in central and northwest Nigeria revealed that 20.7-23.6% of the Salmonella bacteraemia cases were due to non-typhoidal Salmonella, with up to 45% and 39% of the isolates being Salmonella Typhimurium and S. Enteritidis, respectively [16]. Bacterial resistance to antibiotics can be attributed to irrational use due to advertising strategies, application without previous susceptibility testing, or consumption of food produced from previously treated animals [17]. Antimicrobial resistance due to the acquisition of resistance gene clusters either horizontally or vertically is on the rise [18]. These gene clusters have been reported to have an epidemiological link with community-associated infections in many countries, including Nigeria [18–20]. The benefit of the recent explosion of genomic sequence information for Salmonella to study drug resistance in Salmonella serotypes cannot be overemphasized. In outbreak investigations and epidemiological surveillance, many laboratories, including reference laboratories, have employed serotyping and phage typing for decades [21]. But due to the polyphyletic nature of Salmonella serovars, evolutionary groupings may fail if phenotypic methods such as serotyping are used alone [21]. Thus, in the last two decades, pathogen characterization has already shifted to genomic analysis techniques, e.g., multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) and the variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) [22, 23]. Since whole-genome sequencing (WGS) has become widely available and affordable as a tool for genotyping bacteria, it is replacing older genomic techniques [24]. The Salmonella Typhi genome was first reported in 2001, and several thousand Salmonella strains of various serovars have been sequenced [7, 24]. The challenge of discriminating highly related lineages of bacteria was resolved by analysis of the genome sequence using WGS and bioinformatics pipelines [24, 25]. In Nigeria, there are currently few

studies on the molecular detection of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes [26, 27].

The aim of this study is to investigate virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes among the *Salmonella enterica* serovars and to identify potential clonal relationships between strains from different sources using whole genome sequencing.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### **Ethical approvals**

Ethical approval from the ethics committee of the following institutions was obtained before patients' enrolment: The Human Research and Ethics Committee of the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital with reference number LREC/06/10/1012 and the Lagos State Health Service Commission with reference number LSHSC/2222/VOL. VC/352.

#### **Preliminary investigation**

A total of 2,522 samples were collected between December 2017 and January 2019, comprising 2,002 human samples (blood 1,042 and stool 960) from hospitalized and outpatients with clinical diagnoses of febrile illness and diarrheal disease, 150 samples of cattle dung (animal from the point of slaughter) and 270 samples of poultry feces (birds ready for sale), and 100 hospital effluent (wastewater) samples. Samples were screened for *Salmonella* growth using standard protocols [28, 29] at the Department of Microbiology, Lagos State University, Nigeria.

#### **Bacteria identification**

A total of 51 presumptive Salmonella isolates, comprising 13 strains from in-patients of the general hospitals (Alimosho General Hospital and Massy Street Children Hospital), 9 strains from out-patients of the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, 11 and 6 strains from dung and faecal samples of cattle and poultry respectively, and 12 strains from wastewater of Gbagada General Hospital, were initially identified using a MICROBACT 24E identification system (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, UK). The isolates were stored in cryotubes with Nutrient-Agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) at room temperature and sent to the Institute of Bacterial Infections and Zoonoses (IBIZ) of the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut (FLI) in Jena, Germany. These isolates were further analyzed following DIN EN ISO 6579-1:2017-07. Briefly, they were re-suspended in 3 mL of buffered peptone water for  $6-18\pm2$  h (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) at 37 °C. Enrichment in a selective liquid medium was done in Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RVS) Soya Peptone Broth (RVS Broth) (OMNILAB-Laborzentrum GmbH, Bremen, Germany) for 24±3 h at 41.5 °C. For selective media cultivation, two methods according to DIN EN ISO 6579-1:2017-07 were applied. Animal isolates were cultured on Xylose-Lysin-Deoxycholate Agar (XLD) (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) and Rambach Agar (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) at 37 °C for

 $24\pm3$  h. Human and sewage isolates were cultivated on Rambach Agar and Bismuth Sulfite Agar (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA) at 37 °C for  $24\pm3$  h for the early screening and detection of typhoidal and paratyphoid *Salmonella*.

For species confirmation of the animal isolates, an Ultraflex II MALDI-TOF MS instrument (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany) was used as described by [30]. After positive *Salmonella* confirmation, serological testing was done according to ISO/TR 6579-3:2014 for serovar identification.

The early identification of typhoidal and paratyphoidal Salmonella serovars for the human and sewage isolates was done with serological tests according to ISO/ TR 6579-3:2014. The species confirmation for Salmonella isolates was done with anti-Salmonella A-67+Vi omnivalent (Sifin Diagnostics GmbH, Berlin, Germany). Then, the O groups for S. Typhi (O: 9), S. Paratyphi A (O: 2), S. Paratyphi B (O: 4), and S. Paratyphi C (O: 7) were tested. A BSL-3 laboratory was available to further characterize isolates that would be found positive for anti-Salmonella O: 9 (Sifin Diagnostics GmbH, Berlin, Germany) and therefore suspicious to be S. Typhi. The non-suspicious isolates were also identified using an Ultraflex II MALDI-TOF MS instrument as Salmonella at the genus level (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany). Analysis was carried out with the Biotyper 3.1 software (Bruker Daltonik GmbH).

#### MALDI-TOF MS

Isolates were identified using MALDI-TOF MS [30]. Briefly, bacteria from overnight cultures were suspended in 300  $\mu$ l of bi-distilled water and mixed with 900  $\mu$ l of 96% ethanol (Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) for precipitation. After centrifugation for 5 min at 10,000 x g, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 50 µl of 70% (vol/vol) formic acid (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Steinheim, Germany). Fifty microliters of acetonitrile (Carl Roth GmbH) were added, mixed, and centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 x g. One and a half microliters of the supernatant were transferred onto an MTP 384 Target Plate Polished Steel TF (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany). After air-drying, the material was overlaid with 2  $\mu$ l of a saturated solution of -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH) in a mix of 50% acetonitrile and 2.5% trifluoroacetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH). After air-drying, spectra were acquired with an Ultraflex II instrument (Bruker Daltonik GmbH). The instrument was calibrated using the IVD Bacterial Test Standard (Bruker Daltonik GmbH). Analysis was carried out with

the Biotyper 3.1 software (Bruker Daltonik GmbH). The following interpretation of results was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendation: A score of  $\geq 2.3$  represented reliable species-level identification; a score of 2.0–2.29 represented probable species level identification; a score of 1.7–1.9 represented probable genus-level identification; and a score  $\leq 1.7$  was considered an unreliable identification [31]. Pure cultures were stored appropriately in cryo-tubes at -80 °C (Mast Diagnostica GmbH, Reinfeld, Germany).

### Serotyping using the traditional White-Kaufman Le-Minor serotyping of 48Salmonellaisolates

All *Salmonella* strains were serotyped using poly- and monovalent anti-O as well as anti-H sera (SIFIN, Germany) according to the Kauffmann-White scheme [32].

#### Antibiotic resistance testing

The isolates were re-cultivated on Columbia agar plates with 5% sheep blood for 24 h at 37 °C for antibiotic susceptibility testing with the Vitek 2 Compact system (Bio-Mérieux, Marcy-etoile, France) according to EUCAST guidelines [33] for 24 different antibiotics on two cards (AST-N195 and AST-N248): ampicillin, amoxicillin+clavulanic acid, piperacillin, piperacillin-tazobactam, cefalexin, cefuroxime, cefuroxime-acetyl, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, cefepime, azithronam, ertapenem, imipenem, meropenem, amikacin, gentamicin, Tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, tigecycline, fosfomycin, nitrofurantoin, colistin, trimethoprim, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Appropriate dilutions of the colonies were made according to the manufacturer's instructions for MIC evaluation. The strains with Vitek Extended Spectrum ß-Lactamase (ESBL) were further characterized phenotypically: ESBL (CTX-M like), AmpC (High-Level Case (AmpC)), or/and carbapenemase (Carbapenemase (+Oder - ESBL) phenotype were confirmed using a combination disk test (CDT) according to the manufacturer's instructions and EUCAST guidelines. For ESBL resistance testing, MASTDISCS® Combi Extended Spectrum ß lactamase (ESBL) Set (CPD10) (Mast Diagnostica GmbH, Reinfeld, Germany) with Ceftazidime 30 µg and Ceftazidime 30 µg+Clavulanic Acid 10 µg, Cefotaxime 30 µg, and Cefotaxime 10 µg+Clavulanic Acid 10 and cefpodoxime 30 µg and Cefpodoxime 10 µg+Clavulanic Acid 1 µg were used. For the detection of carbapenemases, KPC, MBL, and OXA-48 MASTDISCS° were used: carbapenemases (Rosco, Taastrup, Denmark) with Meropenem 10 µg, Meropenem 10 µg+Phenylboronic acid, Meropenem 10 µg+Dipicolinic acid, Meropenem 10 µg+Cloxacillin, and Temocillin. For the AmpC detection, the 69 C AmpC Detection Disc Set (Mast Diagnostica GmbH, Reinfeld, Germany) was used with cefpodooxime 10  $\mu$ g, AmpC stimulator, an ESBL inhibitor, and an AmpC inhibitor.

#### Whole genomic sequence and bioinformatics analysis *Next-generation-sequencing (NGS)*

The QIAGEN<sup>®</sup> Genomic-tip 20/G kit (QIAGEN, Germany) was used to prepare genomic DNA. NGS libraries were prepared using the NextEra XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina Inc., USA). An Illumina MiSeq instrument (Illumina Inc., USA) was used for paired-end sequencing. Raw sequences from this study are available and were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with bio project accession PRJEB56537 in the ENA bio project database: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/ browser/view/PRJEB56537.

#### **Bioinformatics analysis: data analysis**

The Linux-based bioinformatics pipeline WGSBAC v. 2.2.0 (https://gitlab.com/FLI\_Bioinfo/WGSBAC) was used to analyze raw sequencing data as previously described [34, 35]. For quality control, WGSBAC used FastQC v. 0.11.7 [36] and calculated sequencing coverage. As a next step, the pipeline assembled sequencing reads using Shovill v. 1.0.4 [37] and accessed assembly quality using QUAST v. 5.0 [38]. To check for potential contamination, Kraken v2.1.1 [39] was used to classify the raw sequencing. To predict serovars based on sequencing data, WGSBAC used SISTR v. 1.0.2 [40] and SeqSero2 [41].

For the detection of genes and point mutations potentially leading to antimicrobial resistance (AMR), AMRFinderPlus (v. 3.6.10) [42] was used within WGS-BAC. ABRicate (v. 0.8.10) [43] together with the databases Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) [44] and PlasmidFinder [45] were used to detect virulence factors and plasmids, respectively. For genotyping, WGS-BAC first performed 7-gene multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) on assembled genomes using the software mlst v. 2.16.1 [46]. High-resolution genotyping was performed using both an SNP-based approach and an allele-based approach. Snippy v. 4.3.6 to identify core-genome single nucleotide polymorphisms (cgSNPs) was utilized within WGSBAC in standard settings [47]. As a reference genome, the complete genome sequence of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium strain LT2 (GenBank accession GCA\_000006945.2) was used. To calculate pairwise SNP distances, SNPs-dists (v 0.63) were applied. Hierarchical clustering was performed using the hierClust function v.5.1 of the statistical language R. A cut-off of 10 cgSNPs was used to define closely related strains and 0 cgSNPs to define identical strains.

For the allele-based approach, core-genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST) was performed by applying Ridom Seqsphere+v. 5.1.0 [48] with default

|                         | Human samples |       | Animal san<br>Cattle Poul | nples<br>try | Environmental<br>samples | Total |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------|
|                         | Blood         | Stool | Dung                      | Feaces       | Effluent                 |       |
| Number of samples       | 1042          | 960   | 150                       | 270          | 100                      | 2,522 |
| Number of + ve cultures | 163           | 308   | 54                        | 72           | 70                       | 667   |
| Salmonellaisolates (n)  | 10            | 9     | 11                        | 6            | 12                       | 48    |
| Other isolates          | 153           | 299   | 43                        | 66           | 58                       | 619   |

Table 1 Sample summary and Salmonella enterica positive isolates distributed across human, animal and environmental sources

\*+ve: positive bacterial culture



Fig. 1 Distribution of Salmonella enterica serovars according to sample source from Lagos, Nigeria

settings together with the specific core-genome scheme (cgMLST v2) for *Salmonella enterica* developed by EnteroBase [49]. Again, a cut-off of 10 alleles was used to define clusters.

#### Results

## Identification, distribution, and serotyping of Nigerian *Salmonella enterica* isolates

Out of 2,522 samples analyzed in this study, 667 samples showed bacterial growth on selective media. From these positive bacterial culture samples, 51 presumptive *Salmonella* isolates were identified by Microbact 24E

(Oxoid, England). Of the 51 presumptive *Salmonella* isolates, 48 isolates were identified as *Salmonella enterica* using MALDI-TOF MS (Table 1), and the remaining three isolates were *Citrobacter* spp. The serotyping results of the 48 *Salmonella* isolates revealed six different serovars from human, animal, and environmental sources (Fig. 1). The serovars with their predicted antigenic profiles included *S.* Cotham (n=17), *S. Give* (n=16), *S.* Mokola (n=6), *S.* Abony (n=4), *S.* Typhimurium (n=4) and *S.* Senftenberg (n=1) and are presented in Table S1. The distribution of the serovars is as follows: *S.* Cotham (2 from human, 10 from animal, and 5 from sewage

samples), *S.* Give (12 from human, 2 from animal, and 2 from sewage samples), *S.* Mokola (5 from animal samples and 1 from a sewage sample), *S.* Abony (1 from a clinical sample and 3 from sewage samples), *S.* Typhimurium (3 from human samples and 1 from a sewage sample), and *S.* Senftenberg (1 from a human sample), In this study, seven strains of S. Give, one strain of S. Typhimurium, and one strain of S. Senftenberg were iNTS.

#### Whole genome sequencing data

Genome sequencing of the 48 Salmonella enterica isolates analyzed in this study yielded an average of 1,513,603 reads per isolate (range 465,448-3,046,296; Table S1). The mean coverage of the 48 Salmonella isolates was 52-fold (ranging from 23-fold to 148-fold in **Table S2**). To check for putative contamination, the software Kraken2 was used, which classified each read (or contig). On the species level, the top hit for all 48 isolates was always "Salmonella". Table S3. The N50 of the 48 assembled genomes ranges from 153,458 bp to 708,946 bp. (**Table S4**)

#### **Resistance profiling and AMR genes in 48**

### Nigerian Salmonella enterica serovar isolates from different sources

All forty-eight *Salmonella enterica* isolates were 100% susceptible to ampicillin, piperacillin-tazobactam, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, cefepime, azithronam, ertapenem, imipenem, meropenem, tigecycline, fosfomycin, colistin, trimethoprim, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Meanwhile, 16 (33.33%) isolates were resistant to moxifloxacin and 14 (29.2%) isolates showed intermediate resistance to Ciprofloxacin. There was no phenotypic expression of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ES $\beta$ L), inducible AmpC, Metallo- $\beta$ -Lactamase, or *bla*OXA-48 among the isolates (Fig. 2).

All isolates contained intrinsic chromosomal encoded aminoglycoside acetyltransferase aac(6)-Iaa resistance genes as well as mdf(A) genes coding for a multidrug efflux pump. Acquired quinolone resistance gene qnrB was detected in four strains of Salmonella Give 8.3% (4/48), while 12 strains of Salmonella Give harbored qnrB19. All Salmonella serovars harbor efflux mechanism genes sinH, mdsB, and mdsA and genes golT and golS coding for resistance to copper/gold and gold, respectively. However, genes pcoE, pcoS, pocR, pcoD, pcoC, pcoB, pcoA, silP, silA, silB, silF, silC, silR, silS, and silE coding for resistance to copper, silver, and copper/ silver were found in only one Salmonella Senftenberg



Fig. 2 Antibiogram of Salmonella enterica serovars isolated from different sources in Nigeria in accordance with EUCAST Expert Rules x 3.2

strain. Plasmid replicons were detected in 20 of the 48 isolates. All 16 *Salmonella* Give strains harbored plasmid Col440I\_1, while plasmid incFIB.B/incFII.S was detected in four *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains (Table 2).

An average of 100 to 118 virulence gene markers distributed across several Salmonella pathogenicity islands (SPIs), clusters, and plasmid operons were detected in all 48 Salmonella isolates. A total of 95 virulence genes were common to all Salmonella isolates. The virulence genes ctdB, fae, faeD, and faeE were found only in Salmonella Give and S. Cotham strains. IpfA, IpfB, IpfC, IpfD, IpfE, pipB2, and sopD2 genes were detected in Salmonella Senftenberg, S. Abony and S. Typhimurium. The virulence genes spvB, spvC, spvR, ssel, srfH, sseK2, and sspH2 were found only in S. Typhimurium strains, while the entE gene was detected in only S. Mokola strains (Table 3). The result of the raw sequence data for the serovar prediction using SISTR and SeqSero indicated a pass QC status for 42 isolates. Only six isolates of serovar Mokola had a warning QC status (Table S1), as only 186 cgMLST330 loci matched the number of cgMLST330 loci found (n=330). The reason for this warning might be the relatively low number of publicly available genome sequence of serovar Mokola which have beend used for training these tools. In fact, Enterobase, the largest collection of Salmonella genome sequences with 403.715 strains (accessed May 2023), contained only three Mokola strains. The multi-locus sequence typing (cMLST), allelic profiles, and sequence types (ST) of 48 Salmonella enterica isolates were assigned by comparing the sequences with those in the MLST profile database. The 48 Salmonella isolates yielded six unique serovars, and the 7-gene MLST identified one sequence type (ST) for each serovar. Sequence type ST617 is shared by all 17 Salmonella Cotham strains while ST516 is shared by all 16 Salmonella Give strains. Similar findings were made with the ST19 sequence type for the four Salmonella Typhimurium strains and the ST1483 sequence type for the four Salmonella Abony strains. The only strain of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Senftenberg belongs to the ST14 sequence type. In the MLST profile database, no sequence type for Salmonella Mokola was found (Table S5).

The core-genome multi-locus sequence typing (cMLST)clustering of 48 *Salmonella* isolates based on the source of the isolate, type of samples, and clinical diagnosis revealed five distinct clusters of 46 *Salmonella* isolates. Two of the *Salmonella* isolates (*S.* Senftenberg and one *S.* Typhimurium) were not assigned to any cluster. Cluster 1 with ST617 consisted of 17 *Salmonella* strains distributed among human isolates (2 strains), animal isolates (7 strains from cattle and 3 strains from poultry), and five environmental isolates. Cluster 2 with ST516 included 16 *Salmonella* strains, i.e., 12 human isolates, 2

animal isolates (one cattle and one poultry), and 2 environmental isolates. Cluster 3 with unknown ST consisted of 6 strains, of which 5 strains were from animals (three strains from poultry and two from cattle) and one strain from an environmental source. Cluster 4 with ST1483 comprised 4 strains, of which 3 were from environmental samples and one was from a human patient. Cluster 5 with ST19 was made up of 3 strains, of which 2 were from human patients and one from animal sources (**Table S6** and Fig. 3. The distribution of the isolates within the local government areas is shown in Fig. 4.

#### Discussion

The intensity with which non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (NTS), responsible for foodborne diseases, acquires antimicrobial resistance genes over the years is worrisome and of public health concern [50]. Using WGS and bioinformatics tools, this study examined clonal relationships among NTS isolates from humans, animals, and the environment, their virulence potential, and the presence of antimicrobial resistance genes that may pose a public health threat if spread to other bacterial agents. Two thousand five hundred twenty-two samples yielded 48 different *Salmonella*. The infection was present in 0.9% (19/2002) of human samples, 4% (17/420) of animal samples, and 12% (12/100) of environmental sample (sewage and wastewater).

The 4% prevalence rate from animal sources (poultry: 7.3% (11/150) and cattle: 2.2% (6/270)) reported in this study is lower than that reported by Jibril et al. [51] with 14.3% for animal faecal samples. A similar high prevalence was documented in other African countries, such as Ethiopia with 14.9% [52] and Ghana with 44% [53]. This difference could be caused by sample size, as a much lower number of samples was collected in this study. In Denmark, an annual report on *Salmonella enterica* zoonosis revealed a prevalence of 0-1.8% [54]. The low prevalence recorded in Denmark has been associated with effective surveillance and control programs, a situation that is not well-footed in Nigeria.

The dominant serotype in this study was *S*. Cotham (35.4%), followed by *S*. Give (33.3%), *S*. Mokola (12.5%), *S*. Typhimurium (8.3%), *S*. Abony (8.3%), and *S*. Senftenberg (2.1%). Except for S. Typhimurium, the serovars found in this study had not been characterized in Nigeria before, either from animals (poultry and cattle), humans, or the environment. Besides, little is known about their potential to cause human disease. Interestingly, serovars *S*. Give and *S*. Cotham were cultured from patients, animals, and wastewater from abattoirs and the hospital environment. Thus, both serovars (*S*. Give and *S*. Cotham) may be emerging *Salmonella* serovars in Nigeria. Although some of the serovars isolated in this study are not commonly associated with human salmonellosis, they may be

| Table 2    | Summary    | of the ir | ntrinsic ar | nd acqui | ired res | sistance | e genes, | transpo | rter ge | enes and | l plasmic | d replice | ons in 4 | 48 Salr | nonella | a enterica |
|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|------------|
| strains fr | om Nigeria |           |             |          |          |          |          |         |         |          |           |           |          |         |         |            |

| Strain ID | Serotype    | Source               | Intrinsic genes  | Acquired genes/Transporters          | Plasmid<br>replicon |
|-----------|-------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 19CS0255  | Senftenberg | Animal (cattle)      | zattle) aac.6laa/mdf(A) sinH, mdsB. mdsA, golT, golS, pcoE,pcoS,<br>pocR, pcoD, pcoC, pcoB, pcoA,silP,silA,silB,<br>silF,silC,silR,silS,silE |                                      | -                   |
| 19CS0257  | Abony       | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0290  | Abony       | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0294  | Abony       | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0295  | Abony       | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0250  | Cotham      | Animal (poultry)     | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0263  | Cotham      | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0267  | Cotham      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0269  | Cotham      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0271  | Cotham      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0272  | Cotham      | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0274  | Cotham      | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0275  | Cotham      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0277  | Cotham      | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0278  | Cotham      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0279  | Cotham      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0283  | Cotham      | Animal (poultry)     | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0284  | Cotham      | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0285  | Cotham      | Animal (poultry)     | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0288  | Cotham      | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0291  | Cotham      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0292  | Cotham      | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS         | -                   |
| 19CS0245  | Give        | anderes Resistogramm | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS   | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0246  | Give        | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0247  | Give        | Animal (poultry)     | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0248  | Give        | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0249  | Give        | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0251  | Give        | Animal (poultry)     | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS   | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0259  | Give        | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0260  | Give        | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0261  | Give        | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS   | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0262  | Give        | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0264  | Give        | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0266  | Give        | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, golS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0268  | Give        | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0280  | Give        | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS   | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0289  | Give        | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0293  | Give        | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | qnrB19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS | Col440I_1           |
| 19CS0270  | Mokola      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | -                   |
| 19CS0273  | Mokola      | Animal (poultry)     | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | -                   |
| 19CS0276  | Mokola      | Human                | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | -                   |
| 19CS0281  | Mokola      | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | -                   |
| 19CS0282  | Mokola      | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | -                   |
| 19CS0287  | Mokola      | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6…laa/mdf(A)   | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | -                   |
| 19CS0253  | Typhimurium | Sewage/wastewater    | aac.6…laa/mdf(A)   | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | incFIB.B/incFII.S   |
| 19CS0256  | Typhimurium | Animal (cattle)      | aac.6…laa/mdf(A)   | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | incFIB.B/incFII.S   |
| 19CS0258  | Typhimurium | Human                | aac.6…laa/mdf(A)   | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | incFIB.B/incFII.S   |
| 19CS0286  | Typhimurium | Animal (poultry)     | aac.6laa/mdf(A)  | sinH, mdsB, mdsA, goIT, goIS         | incFIB.B/incFII.S   |

 Table 3
 Distribution of Salmonella virulence genes clustered within several Salmonella pathogenicity Islands (SPIs) and plasmid operons from different source from Nigeria

| Strain ID | Serovar     | Num of<br>virulence<br>gene | Virulence loci   | Virulence genes  |  |  |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 19CS0245  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2, SPI-3 SPI-11SPI-5, SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB, fae. faeD faeE sspH1*  |  |  |
| 19CS0246  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | CtdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0247  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | CtdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0248  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | CtdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0249  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | CtdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0250  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | CtdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0251  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0253  | Typhimurium | 116                         | SPI-1 Long polar fimbriae cluster, Plasmid encoded fim-<br>briae cluster, Plasmid virulence Operon SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5<br>SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon | gogB grvA ipfA ipfB ipfC<br>ipfD ipfE pefA pefB pefC<br>pefD pipB2 rck sodC1<br>sopD2 spvB spvC spvR ssel.<br>srfH sseK2 sspH2*            |  |  |
| 19CS0255  | Senftenberg | 101                         | SPI-1 Long polar fimbriae cluster SPI-3 SPI-5  | ipfA ipfB ipfC ipfD ipfE<br>pipB2 sopD2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0256  | Typhimurium | 116                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 Long polar fimbriae cluster, Plasmid<br>encoded fimbriae cluster, Plasmid virulence Operon SPI-5<br>SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | gogB grvA ipfA ipfB ipfC<br>ipfD ipfE pefA pefB pefC<br>pefD pipB2 rck sodC1<br>sopD2 spvB spvC spvR ssel.<br>srfH sseK2 sspH2*            |  |  |
| 19CS0257  | Abony       | 106                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 Long polar fimbriae cluster SPI-5 SPI-12<br>SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ipfA ipfB ipfC ipfD ipfE<br>pipB2 shdA sodC1 sopD2<br>sseK2 sspH2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0258  | Typhimurium | 118                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 Long polar fimbriae cluster,<br>Plasmid encoded fimbriae SPI-5iae cluster, Plasmid viru-<br>lence Operon fimbriae operon    | gogB grvA ipfA ipfB ipfC<br>ipfD ipfE pefA pefB pefC<br>pefD pipB2 rck shdA sodC1<br>sopD2 spvB spvC spvR ssel.<br>srfH sseK2 sspH2 sspH1* |  |  |
| 19CS0259  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0260  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0261  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0262  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | CtdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0263  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0264  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | CtdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0266  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0267  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0268  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-11 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0269  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0270  | Mokola      | 102                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 fimbiae operon  | entE pipB2 shdA sodC1<br>sopD2 sseK2 sspH2*  |  |  |
| 19CS0271  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0272  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-11 SPI-3 SP1-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0273  | Mokola      | 102                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | entE pipB2 shdA sodC1<br>sopD2 sseK2 sspH2*  |  |  |
| 19CS0274  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0275  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 f SPI-24/CS54 imbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0276  | Mokola      | 102                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | entE pipB2 shdA sodC1<br>sopD2 sseK2 sspH2*  |  |  |
| 19CS0277  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0278  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0279  | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |  |  |
| 19CS0280  | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2SPI-11 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |  |  |
| 19CS0281  | Mokola      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | entE pipB2 shdA sodC1<br>sopD2*  |  |  |

#### Table 3 (continued)

| Strain ID             | Serovar     | Num of<br>virulence<br>gene | Virulence loci   | Virulence genes  |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 19CS0282              | Mokola      | 102                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | entE pipB2 shdA sodC1<br>sopD2 sseK2 sspH2*  |
| 19CS0283              | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |
| 19CS0284              | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |
| 19CS0285              | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |
| 19CS0286              | Typhimurium | 116                         | SPI-1 SPI-3 2 Long polar fimbriae cluster, PlasmidgogB grvA iplencoded fimbriae cluster, Plasmid virulence Operon SPI-5ipfD ipfE pefASPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operonpefD pipB2 rcsopD2 spvB ssofH sseK2 sst |  |
| 19CS0287              | Mokola      | 101                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon   | entE pipB2 shdA sodC1<br>sopD2 sspH2*  |
| 19CS0288              | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |
| 19CS0289              | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sodC1<br>sopD2 sspH1 sspH1*  |
| 19CS0290              | Abony       | 106                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-24/CS54 Long polar fimbriae cluster<br>SPI-5 fimbriae operon   | ipfA ipfB ipfC ipfD ipfE<br>pipB2 shdA sseK2 sspH2*  |
| 19CS0291              | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |
| 19CS0292              | Cotham      | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE pipB2*   |
| 19CS0293              | Give        | 100                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-24/CS54 fimbriae operon  | ctdB fae. faeD faeE sspH1*   |
| 19CS0294              | Abony       | 106                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 Long polar fimbriae<br>cluster SPI-5 fimbriae operon  | ipfA ipfB ipfC ipfD ipfE<br>pipB2 shdA sodC1 sopD2<br>sseK2 sspH2*   |
| 19CS0295              | Abony       | 106                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 Long polar fimbriae<br>cluster SPI-5 fimbriae operon  | ipfA ipfB ipfC ipfD ipfE<br>pipB2 shdA sodC1 sopD2<br>sseK2 sspH2*   |
| GCF0000069452ASM694v2 | LT2         | 117                         | SPI-1 SPI-2 SPI-3 SPI-5 SPI-12 SPI-24/CS54 Long polar<br>fimbriae cluster, Plasmid encoded fimbriae cluster, Plasmid<br>virulence Operon fimbriae operon   | gogB grvA ipfA ipfB ipfC<br>ipfD ipfE pefA pefB pefC<br>pefD pipB2 rck sodC1<br>sopD2 SshdA spvB spvC<br>spvR ssel.srfH sseK2 sspH2* |

\*Represents the following 95 virulence genes: avrA csgA csgB csgC csgD csgE csgF csgG entA entB fepC fepG fimC fimD fimH fimH fimI invA invB invC invE invF invG invH invI invJ mgtB mgtC mig.14 misL ompA orgA orgB orgC pipB prgH prgI prgJ prgK ratB sicA sicP sifA sifB sinH sipA.sspA sipB.sspB sipC.sspC sipD slrP sopA sopB. sigD sopD sopE2 spaO spaP spaQ spaR spaS spiC.ssaB sptP ssaC ssaD ssaE ssaG ssaH ssaI ssaJ ssaK ssaL ssaM ssaN ssaO ssaP ssaQ ssaR ssaS ssaT ssaU ssaV sscA sscB sseA sseB sseC sseD sseE sseF sseG sseJ sseK1 sseL steA steB steC

3° 24' 23.2128" E. (Longitude)

of special importance in the Nigerian setting. S. Give, for example, was reported in a multistate outbreak in Germany in 2004 that resulted in severe gastroenteritis and hospitalization of those infected [55].S. Give has become widespread recently in poultry in Nigeria [51] and Burkina Faso [56], and serovars S. Give and S. Cotham were reported repeatedly from animal sources in Nigeria in the past [11, 57]. This finding needs further research for a reliable risk assessment. In sub-Saharan Africa, S. Typhimurium and S. Enteritidis strains were the prevailing iNTS serovars associated with invasive systemic infections in children and adults [58, 59], with an estimated mortality rate among in-patients ranging from 4.4 to 27% for children [60] and 22 to 47% for adults [61]. In Burkina Faso, Salmonella Enteritidis-associated bacteremia was also documented [56]. In this study, seven strains of *S*. Give, one each of *S*. Typhimurium, and *S*. Senftenberg strain, were iNTS. Although a previous study in Nigeria documented *S*. Typhimurium and *S*. Enteritidis-associated bacteremia [62], these are the first reported iNTS Give and Senftenberg-associated bacteremia cases in Nigeria. The emerging iNTS *S*. Typhimurium and *S* Enteritidis seem to be already prominent in Nigeria, and their potential to cause human disease and spread to other neighboring countries is not in doubt.

All forty-eight *Salmonella enterica* isolates were 100% susceptible to piperacillin-tazobactam, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, cefepime, azithronam, ertapenem, imipenem, meropenem, tigecycline, fosfomycin, colistin, trim-ethoprim, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

The results also revealed that none of the *Salmonella* isolates produced extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases



Fig. 3 The minimum spanning tree (MST) of Salmonella strains (nodes) was used in this study. The node colour corresponds to the source of the strains (see legend). The allelic distances from cgMLST analysis are denoted at branches. Clusters of genetically similar strains were defined using a cut-off of 10 alleles and are visualized in grey



**Fig. 4** Map of Nigeria showing the location of Lagos State and the distribution of *Salmonella enterica* serovars across different local government areas of Lagos State

(ES $\beta$ L), Metallo- $\beta$ -lactamases, AmpC, or OXA-48 phenotypically.

Chromosomally encoded aminoglycoside acetyltransferase *aac.(6)-Iaa* resistance genes were present in all 48 *Salmonella* isolates (100%) and conferred resistance to aminoglycosides like tobramycin, amikacin, and gentamicin, which are known to bind the bacterial 50 S subunit of the ribosome and prevent protein synthesis. The presence of this gene has made the use of aminoglycoside ineffective in vivo especially for the treatment of invasive Salmonellosis and as such EUCAST expert rule 3.2 of 2019 reported all aminoglycoside should be reported as resistant irrespective of the result of the susceptibility results.

Acquired quinolone resistance genes were detected in all 16 (100%) strains of S. Give. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) qnrB gene was detected in 4, while the qnrB19 gene was found in 12 S. Give strains. All S. Give strains harbored plasmid Col440I. Fifteen S. Give strains (93.75%) were resistant to ciprofloxacin and 16 (100%) to moxifloxacin. These strains were also phenotypically resistant to this antibiotic family but susceptible to all other tested antibiotics. This result agrees with the results of a recent report on phenotypic and genotypic antimicrobial resistance and the presence of resistance genes in Salmonella isolates from poultry, where neither phenotypic expression of ESBL nor ESBL genes were present in the Salmonella strains studied [51]. This observation corresponds well with the fact that  $\beta$ -lactams are reported to be used rarely or not often in poultry production in Nigeria [63, 64], possibly due to their high price. However, fluoroquinolones have been reported to be used as a growth promoter and possibly contributed to the emergence of Salmonella and other bacteria resistant to ofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. Predictions by ResFinder indicated that these S. Give strains carried either *qnr*B19 or qnrB genes with or without the presence of point mutations in DNA gyrase and topoisomerase. It has been documented that the qnrB19 and qnrB genes encode transferable fluoroquinolone resistance mechanisms that are responsible for reduced susceptibility to quinolones [65]. Also, a point mutation in the quinolone resistancedetermining region (QRDR) of the DNA gyrase-A (gyrA) and topoisomerase C (parC) genes is known to cause clinical resistance in members of *Enterobacteriaceae* [65]. Similar reports have been documented in Salmonella isolates from Nigeria [57, 66, 67]. The high-level detection of qnr genes in S. Give may contribute not only to the stepwise development of high-level fluoroquinolone resistance but also to its spread between bacteria species [68]. The first report of a plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) mechanism, qnrA, was described in the late 1990s, and since then, several variants of the qnr gene have been discovered [5].

Among the 48 Salmonella isolates in this study, 20 isolates belonging to two serovars contained plasmid replicons. In total, three different plasmid replicas were detected, with Col440I being the most predominant replicon found in the "16" "S". Give was predicted to carry qnrB, qnrB-19, sinH, mdsB, mdsA, golT, and golS, respectively. The Col440II-like plasmid detected in S. Schwarzengrund isolates in Chile highlighted the fact that a small pPAB19-4-like plasmid plays an important role in the dissemination of qnrB19 [69]. Incompatible plasmids incFIB.B and incFII.S were found in all four strains of S. Typhimurium predicted to carry the *sin*H, *mds*B, *mds*A, golT, and golS genes. The plasmids incFIB.B and incFII.S from S. Typhimurium were aligned with the reference sequence GCF0000069452ASM694v2 (LT2). There was 100% identity with 100.0% query cover between the sequences in this study and the reference sequence.

In this study, acquired *golT* genes coding for resistance to copper or gold and *golS* genes coding for resistance to gold were detected in all 48 isolates, with a percentage of similarity ranging from 96.71 to 100% when compared to the GCF0000069452ASM694v2 (LT2) reference strain. Furthermore, the only iNTS *S*. Senftenberg strain detected in this study harbored several genes that confer resistance to heavy metals such as copper (*pcoE, pcoS, pocR, pcoD, pcoC, pcoB,* and *pcoA*), copper/silver (*silA, silB, silF, silC, silR,* and *silS*), and silver (*silP* and *silE*). The presence of these heavy metal resistance genes in this strain and its role as an invasive pathogen remain subjects of concern.

Several virulence gene markers of *Salmonella enterica* distributed across several *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands (SPIs) have been found responsible for systemic transmission leading to severe infections [70, 71]. Virulence genes found in all 48 *Salmonella* isolates were predicted by all-vfbd.xls. 100 to 118 virulence gene markers distributed across several *Salmonella* pathogenicity

islands (SPIs), clusters, prophages, and plasmid operons were found in each isolate. A total of 95 virulence genes were predicted to be common to all six *Salmonella enterica* serovars. The virulence genes *ctdB*, *fae*, *faeD*, and *faeE* were common to *Salmonella* Give, and *S*. Cotham. While *ipfA*, *ipfB*, *ipfC*, *ipfD*, *ipfE*, *pipB2*, and *sopD2* were detected in *S*. Senftenberg, *S*. Abony, and *S*. Typhimurium. The virulence genes spvB, spvC, spvR, ssel.srfH, sseK2, and sspH2 were found only in *S*. Typhimurium, while the *entE* was unique to *S*. Mokola. However, *rck* genes that confer resistance to or protect against complement-mediated immune response were detected in all *S*. Typhimurium strains with 100% homology to the gene of strain GCF0000069452ASM694v2 (LT2).

In Salmonella pathogenicity, the type 3 secretion system (T3SS), encoded by SPI-1 and SPI-2, contains major virulence determinants. The presence of the major virulence factors avrA, mgtC, sopB, ssaQ, and invA in all 48 isolates is an indication of their ability to colonize the liver of the host [50] and therefore may cause serious human disease. The ssp.H1 and steB genes coding for effectors are found in all 16 (100%) S. Give strains and one (25%) S. Typhimurium strain. These effector genes are mediators of cell invasion and modifications, which are major contributing factors to intracellular growth [72]. The cytolethal distending toxin islet gene (cdtB) was detected in all the S. Give and S. Cotham in this study. This gene has been reported to play a vital role in disease pathogenesis. This toxin islet has been known to cause DNA damage and cell cycle arrest in impaired cells [73]. The islet gene (cdtB) was detected in Salmonella Telelkebir in a similar study conducted in Southwestern Nigeria [27].

The *sop*A and *sop*E2 pseudogenes were detected in all 48 isolates. *SopD2* pseudogenes were found in all *S*. Abony, *S*. Typhimurium, *S*. Senftenberg, and *S*. Mokola isolates, while *shd*A pseudogenes were confined to *S*. Abony and *S*. Mokola isolates. Langridge et al. [74] defined a pseudogene as a "gene with a mutation" (i.e., a premature stop codon, frameshift, truncation, or syntenic deletion) compared to an intact version of that gene. It is easier for *Salmonella* to enter epithelial cells when the effector genes found in *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1) are pseudogenized. This enhances *Salmonella* adaptability to systemic infection in humans [75, 76].

As part of the limitations of the study, it was not possible to determine if the number of pseudogenes present in each of the 48 *Salmonella enterica* strains was due to identical or non-identical mutations. The *Salmonella* plasmid virulence (*spv*) locus harbors five genes designated *spv RABCD*. *The Salmonella* virulence plasmid (*spv*)-*RBC* was found in the four *S*. Typhimurium strains. The expression of the *spv* genes has been reported to play a role in the intracellular multiplication of Salmo*nellae* by distorting the cytoskeleton of the eukaryotic cells using its spvB ADP-ribosylates actin [77, 78]. The two operons of the chaperone-usher class pef and ipf (plasmid-encoded fimbriae) known to mediate adhesion of S. Typhimurium to the small intestine in mice were detected and confined to four S. Typhimurium strains (*pefA*, *pefB*, *pefC*, and *pefD*), while the long polar fimbriae operons (ipfA, ipfB, ipfC, and ipfD) were detected in four S. Abony and four S. Typhimurium. Other fimbriae operons of the chaperon class detected contain fimC, fimD, fimF, fimH, fimI, steA, steB, and steC, which are well conserved in all 48 Salmonella isolates. The four Salmonella invasion proteins (SipsABCD), which have been shown to play essential roles in the secretion and translocation of SPI-1 effectors, are present in all isolates [79].

The *in silico* serotype prediction with Seqsero in comparison to the serotyping scheme passed the Fast-QC threshold, with the QC status indicating "PASS" for 5 serotypes except for serotype *S*. Mokola (6 isolates) showing "WARN QC" status for the quality of the sequences. There was no ST number available for *S*. Mokola from the MLST profile database. This is an indication that the complete genomic sequence of serovar *S*. Mokola has not been deposited in the global *Salmonella* database yet. This result represents the first complete genomic sequence of *S*. Mokola serovar from Nigeria.

Multilocus sequence typing (MLST), allelic profiles, and sequence types (ST) of the 48 Salmonella enterica isolates were assigned by comparing the sequences with those in the MLST profile database. Only one sequence type (ST) each was found for the 17 S. Cotham (ST617), 16 S. Give (ST516), 4 S. Typhimurium (ST19), and 4 S. Abony (ST1483), while S. Senftenberg (ST14) is the only ST generated from segments of seven housekeeping genes (aroC, dnaN, hemD, hisD, purE, sucA, and thrA). All seven MLST loci were successfully recovered for the 48 Salmonella enterica strains isolated from different sources. The presence of such clones in the samples of human, animal, and environmental origin indicates epidemiological links between STs and reservoir isolates. In Sub-Saharan Africa, NTS and iNTS serovars have been a challenge. The MLST of S. Typhimurium is known to be prevalent in Burkina Faso in humans and poultry [80]. Also, S. Typhimurium ST313 strain D23580 isolated from a patient with an iNTS infection has been reported from Malawi [81]. S. Typhimurium ST19 detected in this study belongs to the globally circulating lineage [82]. It is the dominant ST of the MLST database, which, however, consists of sequences of strains isolated from Europe and Northern America. S. Typhimurium ST19 has already caused gastroenteritis in humans [83], which is in accordance with this study as S. Typhimurium ST19 was detected in stool samples of patients with diarrheal disease from two study centers 20 km apart. The isolation of S. Typhimurium ST19 in hospital wastewater in this study is an indication of its dissemination from clinical sources into the environment. In a related study of WGS analysis of Salmonella serovars from animals in North-Central Nigeria, eight diverse sequence types (STs) were detected and the most common STs were ST-321 and ST-19 (n=4) exhibited by S. Muenster and S. Typhimurium, respectively [26]. The detection of invasive S. Typhimurium ST19 clones in the blood of febrile patients with systematic infection has also been reported in Iran [84]. S. Typhimurium ST19 has been documented to colonize the gut and cause inflammation by a Salmonella pathogenicity island (SPI)-1-mediated process when ingested orally [83]. The MLST of the 48 Salmonella isolates based on the source of isolates, type of sample, and clinical prognosis revealed five distinct clusters in the 46 Salmonella isolates. Two of the Salmonella isolates could not be assigned to any cluster. WGS revealed that strains in each MLST Salmonella cluster from this study were closely related and likely shared a common ancestor. The distribution of these clusters within the study areas showed that all MLST clusters, including S. Senftenberg, that were not assigned to any cluster were found in the Alimosho local government area (LGA). All of the LGAs chosen for this investigation had isolates in clusters 1 and 2. Blood from a feverish patient at the Lagos State University teaching hospital and stool from a 3-5-year-old with diarrhea at Messy Street Children Hospital both contained invasive iNTS Cotham ST617 in cluster 1. While a genetically identical strain was discovered in wastewater taken from Gbagada general hospital, a few kilometers from Badagry LGA and Alimosho LGA, Salmonella Cotham ST617 from the same cluster was also detected in stool samples from poultry birds in Badagry and cattle dung along the Governor Road. Similar to this, S. Give (ST516) strains in cluster 2 were found in the Alimosho LGA from human, animal, and environmental samples. A strain of this serovar was also identified from cattle at Odo-eran abattoir in the same LGA, around 1.6 km distant from Alimosho general hospital. It was noted that the Salmonella Give strains that were isolated from wastewater and human samples (stool and blood) were from Alimosho general hospital. Additionally, S. Give (ST516) strains were found in the Lagos Island LGA (Messy Street Children hospital), Badagry LGA (Oko-Afor poultry facility), and Ikeja LGA (place of Lagos University teaching hospital). The discovery of this disease in these three LGAs illustrates the spread and circulation of ST516 within Lagos environments because they are geographically apart. In Nigeria, S. Give and S. Cotham serotypes have recently been reported in water, fecal samples feed, dust, and boot swabs from different poultry farms within the same and different localities [85]. However, local environmental conditions and a lack of biosecurity measures may have been responsible for the dissemination of similar strains [85]. The presence of the two dominant clones (S. Give ST516 and S. Cotham ST617) in samples from human, animal, and environmental sources demonstrated the need for further epidemiologic studies to identify the infection and to tailor countermeasures for the local setting. This study points to the fact that transmission of S. Give ST516 and S. Cotham ST617 may occur not only from person to person but also from animal to human. Controlling environmental contamination and potential control methods that could serve as a guide for appropriate waste management require special consideration. Near Alimosho General Hospital are two large, overburdened garbage dump sites, one of which is only 300 m away and the other is 1.3 km distant. The area is occupied by low-income, lower, and uppermiddle-class residents, but no functional waste disposal treatment unit is available. These dump sites have grossly polluted the environment, including the groundwater [86]. This may have contributed to the high prevalence of Salmonella infection within that area and continuous spread to other areas of the state.

#### Conclusion

The characterization of Salmonella isolates from hospital, environmental, and animal production sources using different molecular tools was conducted. The study revealed six serotypes, with S. Give and S. Cotham as the most predominant ones. Closely related Salmonella clones were detected in these samples, pointing to an epidemiological link between serotypes and sequence types. The study also showed that the isolates were resistant to multiple antibiotics, as reflected by the presence of intrinsic and acquired genes conferring resistance to antibiotics and heavy metals. A wide range of virulence genes that help the organism become pathogenic in the host were detected from the whole genomic sequences. It becomes obvious that it is time to act and develop a strategy for Nigeria against the spread of some emerging NTS Salmonella serovars, such as S. Give and S. Cotham. This strategy must take into consideration food-producing animals, i.e., occupational animal contacts and food contamination, spill-back risk of the already heavily polluted environment, which arises from indiscriminate disposal of refuse and untreated wastewater discharge (effluent) from the hospital environment, and/or other sources, and consumer behavior and food availability. For the One Health context, these results are alarming, and the risk of further spread of plasmid replicon Col440I\_1 and virulence genes is imminent if no immediate action is taken. The first step must be the control and prevention of the evolution of new and more virulent NTS in hospitals and veterinary clinics. Prompt surveillance of emerging pathogens in food chains, proper disposal of refuse, treatment of wastewater from hospitals and food production industries, and control of dump sites near hospitals are essential to preventing outbreaks.

#### Abbreviations

| NTS          | Non-typhoidal Salmonella   |
|--------------|--|
| INTS         | Invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella  |
| MLST         | Multi-locus sequence typing  |
| CgMLST       | Core genome multi-locus sequence typing                                      |
| CgSNP        | Core genome single nucleotide polymorphism                                   |
| MDR          | Multiple-drug resistant  |
| EsβL         | Extended spectrum beta-lactamases  |
| KPC          | Klebsiella pneumoniae producing carbapenemase                                |
| MBL          | Metallo-beta-lactamase   |
| ST           | sequence type  |
| MT           | Metric tons  |
| NAFDAC       | National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and                         |
| 21422        | Control.   |
| PMQR         | plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance  |
| SPI          | Salmonella Pathogenicity Island  |
| LGA          | Local Government Area  |
| RFLP         | Restriction fragment length polymorphism                                     |
| VNTR         | Variable number of tandem repeats  |
| MLVA         | Multiple Locus Variable-Number Tandem Repeat Analysis                        |
| MALDI-TOF MS | matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry |

#### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12866-023-02901-1.

Supplementary Material 1

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the staff of the Department of Microbiology and the management of Lagos State University (LASU). We are equally grateful to the management and staff of the Ministry of Health and the staff of the various hospitals, abattoirs, and animal farms for their contributions to this research. We are grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt (AvH) Foundation, Germany, for sponsoring and funding this research work. We are sincerely grateful to the management of the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute (FLI), Jena, Germany, for financial and technical support.

#### Authors' contributions

K.O.A conceived the study. C.O.F., K.O.A., J.L., and U.M. were responsible for the methodology. C.O.F. J.L., and U.M, were responsible for the software, the validation of the study was done by K.O.A., C.O.F., J.L., U.M., G.W., H.T., and H.N. The formal analysis was done by K.O.A., C.O.F., J.L., and U.M., the investigation was done by K.O.A., C.O.F., J.L., U.M., G.W., and H.T. writing—original draft manuscript was done by C.O.F., critical review of original draft by K.O.A., review, and editing of the manuscript by K.O.A., J.L., G.W., H.T., and H.N., and supervision was done by K.O.A. and H.N.

#### Funding

This study was funded by the Alexander von Humboldt (AvH) Foundation, Germany, and the Friedrich-Loeffler Institute (FLI), Jena, Germany. AvH has no role in the design of the study, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, or in writing the manuscript.

#### Data Availability

Raw sequences from this study are available and were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with bio project accession PRJEB56537 in the ENA bio project database: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB56537.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval from the ethics committee of the following institutions was obtained before patients' enrolment: The Human Research and Ethics Committee of the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital with reference number LREC/06/10/1012 and the Lagos State Health Service Commission with reference number LSHSC/2222/VOL.VC/352. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects and/or their legal guardian(s).

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Bacterial Infections and Zoonoses, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute, Jena, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Bacteriology, Immunology, and Mycology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, PO Box 13736, Toukh, Moshtohor, Egypt

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Infectious Diseases and Infection Control, Jena University Hospital, Am Klinikum 1, 07747 Jena, Germany

#### Received: 27 October 2022 / Accepted: 17 May 2023 Published online: 13 June 2023

#### References

- 1. Crump JA, Luby SP, Mintz ED. The global burden of typhoid fever. Bull World Health Organ. 2004;82(5):346–53.
- Braden CR. Salmonella enterica serotype Enteritidis and eggs: a national epidemic in the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;43:512–7.
- Rotimi V, Jamal W, Pal T, Sonnevend A, Dimitrov T, Albert MJ. Emergence of multidrug-resistant Salmonella spp. and isolates with reduced susceptibility to ciprofloxacin in Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates. Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease 2008, 60(1):71–7.
- Razzaque M, Bedair M, Abbas S, Al-Mutawa T. Economic impact of calf mortality on dairy farms in Kuwait. Pakistan Vet J. 2009;29(3):97–101.
- Parry CM, Thomas S, Aspinall EJ, Cooke RP, Rogerson SJ, Harries AD, Beeching NJ. A retrospective study of secondary bacteraemia in hospitalised adults with community acquired non-typhoidal Salmonella gastroenteritis. BMC Infect Dis. 2013;13(1):1–10.
- 6. Akinyemi K, Iwalokun BA, Oyefolu AOB, Fakorede C. Occurrence of extended-spectrum and AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases in multiple drug resistant Salmonella isolates from clinical samples in Lagos, Nigeria. Infection and drug resistance 2017, 10:19.
- Crump JA, Sjölund-Karlsson M, Gordon MA, Parry CM. Epidemiology, clinical presentation, laboratory diagnosis, antimicrobial resistance, and antimicrobial management of invasive *Salmonella* infections. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015;28:901–37.
- 8. Crump JA, Heyderman RS. A perspective on invasive Salmonella disease in Africa. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;61(suppl4):235–S240.
- Majowicz SE, Musto J, Scallan E, Angulo FJ, Kirk M, O'Brien SJ, Jones TF, Fazil A, Hoekstra RM. Studies ICoEDBol: the global burden of nontyphoidal Salmonella gastroenteritis. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(6):882–9.
- Feasey N, Wansbrough-Jones M, Mabey DC, Solomon AW. Neglected tropical diseases. Br Med Bull. 2010;93(1):179–200.
- 11. Organization WH. Country profiles of environmental burden of disease. Geneva: WHO 2009:35.
- Fagbamila IO, Barco L, Mancin M, Kwaga J, Ngulukun SS, Zavagnin P, Lettini AA, Lorenzetto M, Abdu PA, Kabir J. Salmonella serovars and their distribution in nigerian commercial chicken layer farms. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(3):e0173097.

- Omololu-Aso J, Oluwatoyin O, Omololu-Aso M, Atiene AA, Owolabi A, Shesha A. Salmonellosis and shigellosis associated with cattle dung contaminant from indigenous abattoirs, Osun State, Nigeria. Br J Res 2017, 4(1).
- Sahel. An assessment of the nigerian poultry sector. In : www fafinnigeriacom; 2015: 23.
- 15. Sati NM, Okolocha EC, Kazeem HM, Kabir J, Fagbamila IO, Muhammad M, AA L. Seminar Presentation on the Sources of Salmonella infections in some selected poultry farms in Jos, northern Nigeria.). Sources of Salmonella infections in some selected poultry farms in Jos, northern Nigeria.). Sources of Salmonella infections in some selected poultry farms in Jos, northern Nigeria. In. Jos Nigeria: In.: National veterinary research institute, Jos Nigeria; 2015.
- Obaro SK, Hassan-Hanga F, Olateju EK, Umoru D, Lawson L, Olanipekun G, Ibrahim S, Munir H, Ihesiolor G, Maduekwe A. Salmonella bacteremia among children in central and northwest Nigeria, 2008–2015. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;61(suppl4):325–S331.
- Manyi-Loh C, Mamphweli S, Meyer E, Okoh A. Antibiotic use in agriculture and its consequential resistance in environmental sources: potential public health implications. Molecules 2018, 23(4):795.
- Woerther P-L, Burdet C, Chachaty E, Andremont A. Trends in human fecal carriage of extended-spectrum β-lactamases in the community: toward the globalization of CTX-M. Clinical microbiology reviews 2013, 26(4):744–58.
- Akinyemi KO, Iwalokun BA, Alafe OO, Mudashiru SA, Fakorede C. blaCTX-MI group extended spectrum beta lactamase-producing Salmonella typhi from hospitalized patients in Lagos, Nigeria. Infection and drug resistance 2015, 8:99.
- Iroha IR, Esimone CO, Neumann S, Marlinghaus L, Korte M, Szabados F, Gatermann S, Kaase M. First description of Escherichia coli producing CTX-M-15-extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) in out-patients from south eastern Nigeria. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2012;11(1):1–5.
- 21. Dangel A, Berger A, Messelhäußer U, Konrad R, Hörmansdorfer S, Ackermann N, Sing A. Genetic diversity and delineation of Salmonella Agona outbreak strains by next generation sequencing, Bavaria, Germany, 1993 to 2018. Eurosurveillance. 2019;24(18):1800303.
- Maiden MC, Van Rensburg MJJ, Bray JE, Earle SG, Ford SA, Jolley KA, McCarthy ND. MLST revisited: the gene-by-gene approach to bacterial genomics. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2013;11(10):728–36.
- Ramisse V, Houssu P, Hernandez E, Denoeud F, Hilaire V, Lisanti O, Ramisse F, Cavallo JD, Vergnaud G. Variable number of tandem repeats in Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica for typing purposes. J Clin Microbiol. 2004 Dec;42(12):5722–30.
- Parkhill J, Dougan G, James K, Thomson N, Pickard D, Wain J, Churcher C, Mungall K, Bentley S, Holden M. Complete genome sequence of a multiple drug resistant Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi CT18. Nature. 2001;413(6858):848–52.
- Leopold SR, Goering RV, Witten A, Harmsen D, Mellmann A. Bacterial wholegenome sequencing revisited: portable, scalable, and standardized analysis for typing and detection of virulence and antibiotic resistance genes. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(7):2365–70.
- Raufu IA, Ahmed OA, Aremu A, Ameh JA, Timme RE, Hendriksen RS, Ambali AG. Occurrence, antimicrobial resistance and whole genome sequence analysis of Salmonella serovars from pig farms in llorin, North-central Nigeria. Int J Food Microbiol 2021 Jul 16;350:109245.
- 27. Ikhimiukor OO, Oaikhena AO, Afolayan AO, Fadeyi A, Kehinde A, Ogunleye VO, Aboderin AO, Oduyebo OO, Elikwu CJ, Odih EE, Komolafe I, Argimón S, Egwuenu A, Adebiyi I, Sadare OA, Okwor T, Kekre M, Underwood A, Ihekweazu C, Aanensen DM, Okeke IN. Genomic characterization of invasive typhoidal and non-typhoidal Salmonella in southwestern Nigeria. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2022 Aug;26(8):e0010716.
- England PH. Detection of Salmonella species National Infection Service ood Water and Environmental Microbiology Standard Method. In., vol. 4. Waterloo Road Wellington House London SE1 8UG; 2017.
- Collee JG, Mackie TJ, McCartney JE. Mackie & McCartney practical medical microbiology. Harcourt Health Sciences; 1996.
- Bizzini A, Greub G. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry, a revolution in clinical microbial identification. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2010;16(11):1614–9.
- Lüthje P, Pranada AB, Carruthers-Lay D, Desjardins M, Gaillot O, Wareham D, Ciesielczuk H, Özenci V. Identification of microorganisms grown on chromogenic media by MALDI-TOF MS. J Microbiol methods 2017, 136:17–20.
- 32. Grimont PA, Weill F-X. Antigenic formulae of the Salmonella serovars. WHO collaborating centre for reference and research on Salmonella. 2007;9:1–166.
- 33. Clinical breakpoints and dosing of antibiotics.

- García-Soto S, Tomaso H, Linde J, Methner U. Epidemiological analysis of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Serovar Dublin in german cattle herds using whole-genome sequencing. Microbiol Spectr. 2021;9(2):e00332–00321.
- 36. Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. In.: Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom; 2010.
- 37. Seemann T. Shovill—Assemble Bacterial Isolate Genomes from Illumina Paired-End Reads. GitHub: San Francisco, *CA, USA* 2020.
- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(8):1072–5.
- Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. Genome Biol. 2019;20(1):1–13.
- Yoshida CE, Kruczkiewicz P, Laing CR, Lingohr EJ, Gannon VP, Nash JH, Taboada EN. The Salmonella in silico typing resource (SISTR): an open webaccessible tool for rapidly typing and subtyping draft Salmonella genome assemblies. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(1):e0147101.
- Zhang S, Yin Y, Jones MB, Zhang Z, Deatherage Kaiser BL, Dinsmore BA, Fitzgerald C, Fields PI, Deng X. Salmonella serotype determination utilizing high-throughput genome sequencing data. J Clin Microbiol 2015, 53(5):1685–92.
- Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ, Tolstoy I, Tyson GH, Zhao S, Hsu C-H. McDermott PF: Using the NCBI AMRFinder tool to determine antimicrobial resistance genotype-phenotype correlations within a collection of NARMS isolates. BioRxiv 2019:550707.
- 43. Abricate, Github.
- Liu B, Zheng D, Zhou S, Chen L, Yang J. (2022) VFDB 2022: a general classification scheme for bacterial virulence factors. Nucleic Acids Res 50: D912-D917.S.
- Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L, Møller Aarestrup F, Hasman H. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58(7):3895–903.
- 46. mlst GitHub.
- 47. Snippy. GitHub.
- Jünemann S, Sedlazeck FJ, Prior K, Albersmeier A, John U, Kalinowski J, Mellmann A, Goesmann A, Von Haeseler A, Stoye J. Updating benchtop sequencing performance comparison. Nat Biotechnol. 2013;31(4):294–6.
- Alikhan N-F, Zhou Z, Sergeant MJ, Achtman M. A genomic overview of the population structure of Salmonella. PLoS Genet. 2018;14(4):e1007261.
- Veeraraghavan B, Jacob JJ, Prakash JAJ, Pragasam AK, Neeravi A, Narasimman V, Anandan S. Extensive drug resistant Salmonella enterica serovar senftenberg carrying bla NDM encoding plasmid p5558 (IncA/C) from India. Pathogens and Global Health. 2019;113(1):20–6.
- Jibril AH, Okeke IN, Dalsgaard A, Menéndez VG, Olsen JE. Genomic analysis of antimicrobial resistance and resistance plasmids in Salmonella serovars from poultry in Nigeria. Antibiotics. 2021;10(2):99.
- Eguale T. Non-typhoidal Salmonella serovars in poultry farms in central Ethiopia: prevalence and antimicrobial resistance. BMC Vet Res. 2018;14(1):1–8.
- Andoh L, Dalsgaard A, Obiri-Danso K, Newman M, Barco L, Olsen J. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella serovars isolated from poultry in Ghana. Epidemiol Infect. 2016;144(15):3288–99.
- 54. Helwigh B, Müller L. Annual Report on Zoonoses in Denmark 2017. Annual Report on Zoonoses in Denmark 2017 2018.
- Jansen A, Frank C, Prager R, Oppermann H, Stark K. Nation-wide outbreak of Salmonella give in Germany, 2004. Z Gastroenterol. 2005;43(8):707–13.
- Post AS, Diallo SN, Guiraud I, Lompo P, Tahita MC, Maltha J, Van Puyvelde S, Mattheus W, Ley B, Thriemer K. Supporting evidence for a human reservoir of invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella from household samples in Burkina Faso. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(10):e0007782.
- Fashae K, Hendriksen RS. Diversity and antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella enterica serovars isolated from pig farms in Ibadan, Nigeria. Folia microbiologica 2014, 59(1):69–77.
- Reddy EA, Shaw AV, Crump JA. Community-acquired bloodstream infections in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2010;10(6):417–32.
- Sigaúque B, Roca A, Mandomando I, Morais L, Quintó L, Sacarlal J, Macete E, Nhamposa T, Machevo S, Aide P. Community-acquired bacteremia among

children admitted to a rural hospital in Mozambique. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2009;28(2):108–13.

- 60. Brent AJ, Oundo JO, Mwangi I, Ochola L, Lowe B, Berkley JA. Salmonella bacteremia in kenyan children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2006;25(3):230–6.
- Gordon MA, Graham SM, Walsh AL, Wilson L, Phiri A, Molyneux E, Zijlstra EE, Heyderman RS, Hart CA, Molyneux ME. Epidemics of invasive Salmonella enterica serovar enteritidis and S. enterica Serovar typhimurium infection associated with multidrug resistance among adults and children in Malawi. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46(7):963–9.
- Akinyemi KO, Bamiro BS, Coker AO. Salmonellosis in Lagos, Nigeria: incidence of Plasmodium falciparum-associated co-infection, patterns of antimicrobial resistance, and emergence of reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones. J Health Popul Nutr. 2007;25(3):351.
- Oluwasile B, Agbaje M, Ojo O, Dipeolu M. Antibiotic usage pattern in selected poultry farms in Ogun state. Sokoto J Veterinary Sci. 2014;12(1):45–50.
- Al-Mustapha Al, Adetunji VO, Heikinheimo A. Risk perceptions of antibiotic usage and resistance: a cross-sectional survey of poultry farmers in Kwara State, Nigeria. Antibiotics. 2020;9(7):378.
- 65. Veldman K, Cavaco LM, Mevius D, Battisti A, Franco A, Botteldoorn N, Bruneau M, Perrin-Guyomard A, Cerny T, De Frutos Escobar C. International collaborative study on the occurrence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli isolated from animals, humans, food and the environment in 13 european countries. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66(6):1278–86.
- Fashae K, Folasade O, Frank M, Rene S. Antimicrobial susceptibility and serovars of Salmonella from chickens and humans in Ibadan, Nigeria. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2010;4(8):484–94.
- Fortini D, Fashae K, García-Fernández A, Villa L, Carattoli A. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance and β-lactamases in Escherichia coli from healthy animals from Nigeria. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011, 66(6):1269–72.
- Robicsek A, Sahm D, Strahilevitz J, Jacoby G, Hooper D. Broader distribution of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in the United States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49(7):3001–3.
- Moreno-Switt AI, Pezoa D, Sepúlveda V, González I, Rivera D, Retamal P, Navarrete P, Reyes-Jara A, Toro M. Transduction as a potential dissemination mechanism of a clonal qnrB19-carrying plasmid isolated from Salmonella of multiple serotypes and isolation sources. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:2503.
- Kombade S, Kaur N. Pathogenicity Island in < em > Salmonella. In A. Lamas, P. Regal, & C. M. Franco, editors, Salmonella spp. - A Global Challenge. IntechOpen. 2021. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.96443.
- Jajere SM. A review of Salmonella enterica with particular focus on the pathogenicity and virulence factors, host specificity and antimicrobial resistance including multidrug resistance. Veterinary world. 2019;12(4):504.
- Sabbagh SC, Forest CG, Lepage C, Leclerc J-M, Daigle F. So similar, yet so different: uncovering distinctive features in the genomes of Salmonella enterica serovars Typhimurium and Typhi. FEMS microbiology letters 2010, 305(1):1–13.
- Chang SJ, Jin SC, Jiao X, Galan JE. Unique features in the intracellular transport of typhoid toxin revealed by a genome-wide screen. PLOS Pathogens. 2019; 15(4):e1007704. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007704 PMID: 30951565.
- Langridge GC, Fookes M, Connor TR, Feltwell T, Feasey N, Parsons BN, Seth-Smith HM, Barquist L, Stedman A, Humphrey T. Patterns of genome evolution that have accompanied host adaptation in Salmonella. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2015, 112(3):863–868.
- Trombert AN, Berrocal L, Fuentes JA, Mora GC. Typhimurium sseJ gene decreases the S. Typhi cytotoxicity toward cultured epithelial cells. BMC Microbiol. 2010;10:1–10.
- Valenzuela LM, Hidalgo AA, Rodríguez L, Urrutia IM, Ortega AP, Villagra NA, Paredes-Sabja D, Calderón IL, Gil F, Saavedra CP, Mora GC. Pseudogenization of sopA and sopE2 is functionally linked and contributes to virulence of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi. Infect Genet Evol. 2015;33:131–42.
- Lesnick ML, Reiner NE, Fierer J, Guiney DG. The Salmonella spvB virulence gene encodes an enzyme that ADP-ribosylates actin and destabilizes the cytoskeleton of eukaryotic cells. Mol Microbiol. 2001;39(6):1464–70.
- Matsui H, Bacot CM, Garlington WA, Doyle TJ, Roberts S, Gulig PA. Virulence plasmid-borne spvB and spvC genes can replace the 90-kilobase plasmid in conferring virulence to Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium in subcutaneously inoculated mice. J Bacteriol 2001, 183(15):4652–8.
- 79. Lou L, Zhang P, Piao R, Wang Y. Salmonella pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1) and its complex regulatory network. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2019, 9:270.

- Kagambèga A, Lienemann T, Frye JG, Barro N, Haukka K. Whole genome sequencing of multidrug-resistant Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium isolated from humans and poultry in Burkina Faso. Trop Med health. 2018;46(1):1–5.
- Kingsley RA, Msefula CL, Thomson NR, Kariuki S, Holt KE, Gordon MA, Harris D, Clarke L, Whitehead S, Sangal V. Epidemic multiple drug resistant Salmonella Typhimurium causing invasive disease in sub-saharan Africa have a distinct genotype. Genome Res. 2009;19(12):2279–87.
- Canals R, Hammarlöf DL, Kröger C, Owen SV, Fong WY, Lacharme-Lora L, Zhu X, Wenner N, Carden SE, Honeycutt J. Adding function to the genome of african Salmonella Typhimurium ST313 strain D23580. PLoS Biol. 2019;17(1):e3000059.
- Hughes L, Wigley P, Bennett M, Chantrey J, Williams N. Multi-locus sequence typing of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium isolates from wild birds in northern England suggests host-adapted strain. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2010;51(4):477–9.

- Ranjbar R, Elhaghi P, Shokoohizadeh L. Multilocus sequence typing of the clinical isolates of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium in Tehran Hospitals. Iran J Med Sci. 2017;42(5):443.
- Fagbamila IO, Barco L, Mancin M, Kwaga J, Ngulukun SS, Zavagnin P, Lettini AA, Lorenzetto M, Abdu PA, Kabir J, Umoh J. Salmonella serovars and their distribution in Nigerian commercial chicken layer farms. PLoS One. 2017 Mar 9;12(3):e0173097.
- Salami L, Susu AA. 2019. A comprehensive study of leachate characteristics from three soluos dumpsites in Igando Area of Lagos State, Nigeria. Greener Journal of Environmental Management and Public Safety, 8(1), pp.1–14.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.